

CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION US IMPORTANT DATES

THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION US IMPORTANT DATES REPRESENT PIVOTAL MOMENTS IN THE FORMATION OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT. UNDERSTANDING THESE KEY DATES ALLOWS US TO APPRECIATE THE PAINSTAKING PROCESS AND THE PROFOUND COMPROMISES THAT SHAPED THE NATION'S FOUNDATIONAL DOCUMENT. FROM THE INITIAL CALLS FOR A CONVENTION TO THE FINAL RATIFICATION, EACH DATE MARKS A SIGNIFICANT STEP, A DEBATE, OR A DECISION THAT CONTINUES TO INFLUENCE AMERICAN LIFE TODAY. THIS ARTICLE WILL DELVE INTO THE CRUCIAL TIMELINE OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION, EXPLORING THE CONTEXT, THE KEY PLAYERS, AND THE ENDURING SIGNIFICANCE OF THESE LANDMARK DATES.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

THE ROAD TO PHILADELPHIA: PRE-CONVENTION DEVELOPMENTS
THE PHILADELPHIA CONVENTION: GENESIS AND EARLY DAYS
DEBATES AND COMPROMISES: THE HEART OF THE CONVENTION
THE FINAL PUSH: TOWARDS A DRAFTED CONSTITUTION
RATIFICATION: THE CONSTITUTION BECOMES LAW
LEGACY OF THE CONVENTION DATES

THE ROAD TO PHILADELPHIA: PRE-CONVENTION DEVELOPMENTS

BEFORE THE DELEGATES EVEN GATHERED IN PHILADELPHIA, A SERIES OF EVENTS AND GROWING DISSATISFACTION WITH THE EXISTING GOVERNMENTAL STRUCTURE LAID THE GROUNDWORK FOR THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION. THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION, ADOPTED IN 1781, PROVED TO BE A WEAK AND INEFFECTIVE GOVERNING DOCUMENT. IT CREATED A FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WITH VERY LIMITED POWERS, LEAVING MOST AUTHORITY WITH THE INDIVIDUAL STATES. THIS DECENTRALIZED APPROACH, WHILE INTENDED TO PREVENT TYRANNY, ULTIMATELY LED TO CHAOS AND INSTABILITY.

ONE OF THE MOST SIGNIFICANT CATALYSTS FOR CHANGE WAS SHAYS' REBELLION, WHICH ERUPTED IN MASSACHUSETTS IN 1786. THIS UPRISING, LED BY INDEBTED FARMERS PROTESTING HIGH TAXES AND FORECLOSURES, EXPOSED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S INABILITY TO RAISE AN ARMY OR EFFECTIVELY RESPOND TO DOMESTIC UNREST. THE FEAR AND UNCERTAINTY GENERATED BY SHAYS' REBELLION, COUPLED WITH ONGOING ECONOMIC WOES AND INTERSTATE DISPUTES OVER TRADE AND CURRENCY, CONVINCED MANY INFLUENTIAL LEADERS THAT A FUNDAMENTAL REVISION OF THE ARTICLES WAS NECESSARY, OR PERHAPS A COMPLETELY NEW FRAMEWORK WAS IN ORDER.

THE ANNAPOLIS CONVENTION OF 1786

IN RESPONSE TO THESE MOUNTING PROBLEMS, DELEGATES FROM FIVE STATES MET AT THE ANNAPOLIS CONVENTION IN SEPTEMBER 1786. WHILE THEIR PRIMARY OBJECTIVE WAS TO DISCUSS INTERSTATE TRADE ISSUES, THE ATTENDEES QUICKLY RECOGNIZED THE BROADER SYSTEMIC FLAWS OF THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION. LED BY PROMINENT FIGURES LIKE JAMES MADISON AND ALEXANDER HAMILTON, THE ANNAPOLIS DELEGATES ISSUED A REPORT THAT CALLED FOR A LARGER CONVENTION TO MEET THE FOLLOWING YEAR IN PHILADELPHIA. THIS REPORT, THOUGH MODEST IN ITS IMMEDIATE ACCOMPLISHMENTS, WAS A CRITICAL STEP IN ADVOCATING FOR A MORE COMPREHENSIVE REFORM OF THE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT.

CALLS FOR A CONVENTION

THE CALL FOR A CONVENTION ISSUED BY THE ANNAPOLIS CONVENTION GAINED TRACTION THROUGHOUT THE WINTER OF 1786-1787. MANY STATE LEGISLATURES, INITIALLY HESITANT, BEGAN TO SEE THE WISDOM IN A BROADER GATHERING TO ADDRESS THE NATION'S PRESSING ISSUES. BY THE TIME THE DATE FOR THE PHILADELPHIA CONVENTION WAS SET, THIRTEEN STATES HAD AGREED TO SEND DELEGATES, DEMONSTRATING A WIDESPREAD CONSENSUS, ALBEIT WITH DIFFERING MOTIVATIONS, THAT THE CURRENT SYSTEM WAS UNSUSTAINABLE. THIS COLLECTIVE DECISION TO CONVENE IN PHILADELPHIA MARKED A MOMENTOUS TURNING POINT, A RECOGNITION THAT THE EXPERIMENT IN SELF-GOVERNANCE WAS AT A CRITICAL JUNCTURE.

THE PHILADELPHIA CONVENTION: GENESIS AND EARLY DAYS

THE PHILADELPHIA CONVENTION, OFFICIALLY KNOWN AS THE "GRAND CONVENTION," COMMENCED ON **MAY 25, 1787**. THIS DATE IS ABSOLUTELY FUNDAMENTAL, MARKING THE OFFICIAL OPENING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN INDEPENDENCE HALL. THE INITIAL GOAL, AS NARROWLY DEFINED BY THE CONGRESSIONAL RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CONVENTION, WAS TO REVISE THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION. HOWEVER, IT QUICKLY BECAME APPARENT TO THE ASSEMBLED DELEGATES THAT A MERE REVISION WOULD NOT SUFFICE; A COMPLETE OVERHAUL WAS REQUIRED. THE SECRECY SURROUNDING THE CONVENTION, WITH DELIBERATIONS HELD BEHIND CLOSED DOORS, WAS A DELIBERATE CHOICE TO ALLOW FOR FRANK AND OPEN DISCUSSION WITHOUT THE IMMEDIATE PRESSURE OF PUBLIC OPINION OR PARTISAN POLITICS.

THE EARLY DAYS OF THE CONVENTION WERE CHARACTERIZED BY THE ESTABLISHMENT OF PROCEDURES AND THE INITIAL PRESENTATION OF COMPETING VISIONS FOR THE NEW GOVERNMENT. THE DELEGATES WERE A DISTINGUISHED GROUP, INCLUDING LUMINARIES SUCH AS GEORGE WASHINGTON, BENJAMIN FRANKLIN, JAMES MADISON, ALEXANDER HAMILTON, AND ROGER SHERMAN. THEIR DIVERSE BACKGROUNDS AND PERSPECTIVES, WHILE SOMETIMES LEADING TO HEATED DEBATE, ALSO BROUGHT A WEALTH OF EXPERIENCE AND INTELLECT TO THE TASK AT HAND. THE SHEER GRAVITY OF THEIR UNDERTAKING WAS PALPABLE; THEY WERE, IN ESSENCE, DRAFTING A BLUEPRINT FOR A NEW NATION.

THE VIRGINIA PLAN AND THE NEW JERSEY PLAN

A PIVOTAL MOMENT IN THE EARLY PROCEEDINGS WAS THE INTRODUCTION OF THE VIRGINIA PLAN ON **MAY 29, 1787**. THIS PROPOSAL, DRAFTED PRIMARILY BY JAMES MADISON AND PRESENTED BY EDMUND RANDOLPH, ADVOCATED FOR A STRONG NATIONAL GOVERNMENT WITH A BICAMERAL LEGISLATURE, WHERE REPRESENTATION IN BOTH HOUSES WOULD BE BASED ON POPULATION. THIS PLAN IMMEDIATELY GENERATED SIGNIFICANT DEBATE, PARTICULARLY FROM SMALLER STATES WHO FEARED BEING DOMINATED BY LARGER, MORE POPULOUS STATES. IN RESPONSE, WILLIAM PATERSON OF NEW JERSEY INTRODUCED THE NEW JERSEY PLAN ON **JUNE 15, 1787**. THIS COUNTER-PROPOSAL FAVORED A UNICAMERAL LEGISLATURE WITH EQUAL REPRESENTATION FOR EACH STATE, MUCH LIKE THE SYSTEM UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION, BUT WITH ENHANCED FEDERAL POWERS.

THE CLASH BETWEEN THE VIRGINIA PLAN AND THE NEW JERSEY PLAN HIGHLIGHTED THE FUNDAMENTAL DIVIDE BETWEEN LARGE AND SMALL STATES REGARDING REPRESENTATION, A TENSION THAT WOULD PERMEATE MUCH OF THE CONVENTION'S DISCUSSIONS. THE DELEGATES UNDERSTOOD THAT FINDING A SOLUTION TO THIS REPRESENTATION PUZZLE WAS CRUCIAL FOR ANY HOPE OF CREATING A UNIFIED AND WORKABLE GOVERNMENT. THESE EARLY PRESENTATIONS SET THE STAGE FOR THE INTENSE NEGOTIATIONS AND COMPROMISES THAT WOULD FOLLOW, SHAPING THE VERY STRUCTURE OF THE LEGISLATIVE BRANCH.

DEBATES AND COMPROMISES: THE HEART OF THE CONVENTION

THE PERIOD FROM JUNE THROUGH AUGUST 1787 WAS THE CRUCIBLE OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION. IT WAS DURING THESE INTENSE WEEKS THAT DELEGATES GRAPPLED WITH THE MOST CONTENTIOUS ISSUES, ENGAGING IN SPIRITED DEBATES AND ULTIMATELY FORGING THE COMPROMISES NECESSARY TO CREATE A VIABLE CONSTITUTION. THE TENSION BETWEEN THE LARGE-STATE AND SMALL-STATE FACTIONS OVER REPRESENTATION REMAINED A CENTRAL THEME, LEADING TO SIGNIFICANT PROCEDURAL AND SUBSTANTIVE DEBATES.

THE DELEGATES WERE NOT JUST DEBATING ABSTRACT PRINCIPLES; THEY WERE WRESTLING WITH PRACTICALITIES OF GOVERNANCE, POWER DISTRIBUTION, AND THE PROTECTION OF VARIOUS INTERESTS. THE VERY LEGITIMACY OF THE CONVENTION HINGED ON THEIR ABILITY TO BRIDGE THESE DIVIDES AND PRODUCE A DOCUMENT THAT COULD BE ACCEPTED BY THE STATES. THE LONG HOURS AND THE INTELLECTUAL RIGOR DISPLAYED BY THE DELEGATES DURING THIS TIME UNDERSCORE THE IMMENSE CHALLENGES THEY FACED.

THE GREAT COMPROMISE (CONNECTICUT COMPROMISE)

THE DEADLOCK OVER REPRESENTATION THREATENED TO DERAIL THE ENTIRE CONVENTION. THE LARGE STATES, CHAMPIONING THE VIRGINIA PLAN, ARGUED FOR PROPORTIONAL REPRESENTATION, WHILE THE SMALL STATES, ADVOCATING FOR THE NEW JERSEY PLAN, INSISTED ON EQUAL REPRESENTATION. THE IMPASSE WAS FINALLY BROKEN BY WHAT BECAME KNOWN AS THE GREAT COMPROMISE, OR THE CONNECTICUT COMPROMISE. SPEARHEADED BY ROGER SHERMAN AND OLIVER ELLSWORTH OF CONNECTICUT, THIS CRUCIAL AGREEMENT WAS REACHED ON **JULY 16, 1787**.

THE GREAT COMPROMISE ESTABLISHED A BICAMERAL LEGISLATURE: THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, WITH REPRESENTATION BASED ON STATE POPULATION (SATISFYING THE LARGER STATES), AND THE SENATE, WITH EQUAL REPRESENTATION FOR EACH STATE (APPEASING THE SMALLER STATES). THIS INGENUOUS SOLUTION BALANCED THE COMPETING INTERESTS AND ALLOWED THE CONVENTION TO MOVE FORWARD. IT'S A TESTAMENT TO THE DELEGATES' WILLINGNESS TO FIND COMMON GROUND, EVEN ON SEEMINGLY INTRACTABLE ISSUES. WITHOUT THIS COMPROMISE, IT'S HIGHLY PROBABLE THAT THE UNITED STATES WOULD NOT HAVE A SINGLE, UNIFIED CONSTITUTION.

OTHER KEY DEBATES AND COMPROMISES

BEYOND REPRESENTATION, NUMEROUS OTHER CRITICAL DEBATES SHAPED THE CONSTITUTION. THE ISSUE OF SLAVERY WAS PARTICULARLY DIVISIVE. SOUTHERN STATES, RELIANT ON ENSLAVED LABOR, SOUGHT PROTECTIONS FOR THEIR "PECULIAR INSTITUTION," WHILE NORTHERN STATES, WITH GROWING ABOLITIONIST SENTIMENTS, WANTED TO RESTRICT OR ABOLISH IT. THIS LED TO THE CONTROVERSIAL THREE-FIFTHS COMPROMISE, AGREED UPON AROUND **JULY 12, 1787**, WHICH COUNTED THREE-FIFTHS OF THE ENSLAVED POPULATION FOR BOTH REPRESENTATION IN THE HOUSE AND FOR DIRECT TAXATION. FURTHERMORE, THE CONVENTION ALSO AGREED TO PROHIBIT CONGRESS FROM BANNING THE SLAVE TRADE FOR 20 YEARS, A CONCESSION THAT DEEPLY TROUBLED MANY.

DEBATES ALSO RAGED OVER THE POWERS OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH, THE JUDICIARY, AND THE BALANCE OF POWER BETWEEN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND THE STATES. THE STRUCTURE OF THE PRESIDENCY, THE IMPEACHMENT PROCESS, AND THE METHOD OF ELECTING THE PRESIDENT (CULMINATING IN THE ELECTORAL COLLEGE) WERE ALL SUBJECTS OF INTENSE DISCUSSION. THE DELEGATES METICULOUSLY CONSIDERED EVERY FACET OF GOVERNANCE, AIMING TO CREATE A SYSTEM OF CHECKS AND BALANCES THAT WOULD PREVENT ANY ONE BRANCH OR FACTION FROM BECOMING TOO POWERFUL.

THE FINAL PUSH: TOWARDS A DRAFTED CONSTITUTION

AS THE SUMMER WORE ON, THE FOCUS SHIFTED FROM INTENSE DEBATE TO CONSOLIDATING THE AGREEMENTS REACHED AND DRAFTING THE ACTUAL DOCUMENT. THE COMMITTEE OF DETAIL, APPOINTED IN JULY, WORKED TO ORGANIZE THE VARIOUS RESOLUTIONS AND PROPOSALS INTO A COHERENT FRAMEWORK. BY **AUGUST 6, 1787**, THEY PRESENTED THE FIRST DRAFT OF THE CONSTITUTION TO THE CONVENTION. THIS DRAFT WAS A SIGNIFICANT STEP, PROVIDING A CONCRETE TEXT FOR THE DELEGATES TO REVIEW, AMEND, AND REFINE.

THE PERIOD THAT FOLLOWED THIS INITIAL DRAFT SAW FURTHER DEBATE AND REFINEMENT. DELEGATES PROPOSED AMENDMENTS, ARGUED OVER SPECIFIC WORDING, AND ENSURED THAT THE DOCUMENT REFLECTED THE COMPROMISES MADE. THE COMMITTEE OF STYLE AND ARRANGEMENT, APPOINTED ON SEPTEMBER 10, 1787, WAS TASKED WITH POLISHING THE LANGUAGE, ORGANIZING THE ARTICLES, AND PREPARING THE FINAL ENGROSSED COPY. THIS COMMITTEE, COMPRISING GOUVERNEUR MORRIS, WILLIAM SAMUEL JOHNSON, ALEXANDER HAMILTON, JAMES MADISON, AND RUFUS KING, PLAYED A CRUCIAL ROLE IN SHAPING THE ELEGANT PROSE OF THE CONSTITUTION.

SIGNING OF THE CONSTITUTION

THE CULMINATION OF NEARLY FOUR MONTHS OF ARDUOUS WORK ARRIVED ON **SEPTEMBER 17, 1787**. ON THIS HISTORIC DATE,

THE DELEGATES TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION AFFIXED THEIR SIGNATURES TO THE NEWLY DRAFTED UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. WHILE NOT EVERY DELEGATE PRESENT AGREED WITH EVERY PROVISION, A MAJORITY RECOGNIZED THE IMPORTANCE OF PRESENTING A UNITED FRONT AND SENDING A COMPLETED DOCUMENT TO THE STATES FOR RATIFICATION. GEORGE WASHINGTON, AS PRESIDENT OF THE CONVENTION, WAS AMONG THE FIRST TO SIGN, SETTING A POWERFUL EXAMPLE FOR THE OTHERS.

THE SIGNING CEREMONY ITSELF WAS MARKED BY A SENSE OF BOTH ACCOMPLISHMENT AND APPREHENSION. THE DELEGATES UNDERSTOOD THAT THE REAL WORK OF SECURING THE CONSTITUTION'S LEGITIMACY LAY AHEAD IN THE RATIFICATION PROCESS. NEVERTHELESS, **SEPTEMBER 17, 1787**, REMAINS AN ENDURING DATE, SIGNIFYING THE COMPLETION OF THE CONVENTION'S PRIMARY OBJECTIVE: THE CREATION OF A FRAMEWORK FOR A NEW, STRONGER FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

RATIFICATION: THE CONSTITUTION BECOMES LAW

THE ADOPTION OF THE CONSTITUTION BY THE CONVENTION ON SEPTEMBER 17, 1787, WAS MERELY THE BEGINNING OF ITS JOURNEY. THE DOCUMENT THEN HAD TO BE RATIFIED BY AT LEAST NINE OF THE THIRTEEN STATES TO BECOME THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND. THIS PERIOD, SPANNING FROM LATE 1787 THROUGH MID-1788, WAS CHARACTERIZED BY FERVENT PUBLIC DEBATE, WITH STRONG ARGUMENTS PRESENTED BY BOTH FEDERALISTS (SUPPORTERS OF THE CONSTITUTION) AND ANTI-FEDERALISTS (OPPONENTS). THE FEDERALIST PAPERS, A SERIES OF ESSAYS WRITTEN BY JAMES MADISON, ALEXANDER HAMILTON, AND JOHN JAY, WERE INSTRUMENTAL IN PERSUADING THE PUBLIC AND DELEGATES IN STATE RATIFYING CONVENTIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION'S MERITS.

THE RATIFICATION PROCESS WAS NOT A FOREGONE CONCLUSION. MANY STATES HAD STRONG RESERVATIONS ABOUT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S POTENTIAL POWER AND THE ABSENCE OF A BILL OF RIGHTS. THE DEBATES WERE OFTEN INTENSE, AND THE OUTCOME IN SEVERAL KEY STATES HUNG PRECARIOUSLY IN THE BALANCE. UNDERSTANDING THE DATES OF RATIFICATION PROVIDES INSIGHT INTO THE DECENTRALIZED NATURE OF THE NATION'S EARLY POLITICAL LANDSCAPE AND THE CRITICAL ROLE OF PUBLIC DISCOURSE.

KEY RATIFICATION DATES

THE RATIFICATION PROCESS UNFOLDED STATE BY STATE, WITH EACH APPROVAL BRINGING THE NEW GOVERNMENT CLOSER TO REALITY.

- **DECEMBER 7, 1787:** DELAWARE BECAME THE FIRST STATE TO RATIFY THE CONSTITUTION, MARKING A SIGNIFICANT EARLY VICTORY FOR THE FEDERALISTS.
- **DECEMBER 18, 1787:** PENNSYLVANIA FOLLOWED, BECOMING THE SECOND STATE TO RATIFY.
- **JANUARY 2, 1788:** NEW JERSEY BECAME THE THIRD STATE TO RATIFY.
- **JANUARY 9, 1788:** GEORGIA RATIFIED, SECURING ITS PLACE AS THE FOURTH STATE.
- **FEBRUARY 6, 1788:** MASSACHUSETTS RATIFIED, A CRUCIAL WIN AS IT WAS A LARGE AND INFLUENTIAL STATE, THOUGH IT RECOMMENDED AMENDMENTS.
- **APRIL 28, 1788:** MARYLAND RATIFIED, BECOMING THE SEVENTH STATE.
- **MAY 23, 1788:** SOUTH CAROLINA RATIFIED, THE EIGHTH STATE.
- **JUNE 21, 1788:** NEW HAMPSHIRE BECAME THE NINTH STATE TO RATIFY, OFFICIALLY PUTTING THE CONSTITUTION INTO EFFECT AND ESTABLISHING THE NEW GOVERNMENT. THIS DATE IS PARTICULARLY SIGNIFICANT AS IT MET THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENT FOR RATIFICATION.
- **JUNE 26, 1788:** VIRGINIA, A POWERFUL STATE WHOSE RATIFICATION WAS HIGHLY CONTESTED, FINALLY RATIFIED.

- **JULY 26, 1788:** NEW YORK, ANOTHER CRITICAL STATE, RATIFIED AFTER INTENSE DEBATE, LARGELY INFLUENCED BY THE FEDERALIST PAPERS AND PROMISES OF A BILL OF RIGHTS.

THE RATIFICATION PROCESS CONTINUED WITH NORTH CAROLINA IN 1789 AND RHODE ISLAND IN 1790, BOTH OF WHICH HAD BEEN RESISTANT. THE SLOW AND DELIBERATE PROCESS OF RATIFICATION UNDERScoreD THE REVOLUTIONARY NATURE OF THE CONSTITUTION AND THE CAREFUL DELIBERATION THAT WENT INTO ITS ADOPTION, DEMONSTRATING THAT THE FORMATION OF THE UNITED STATES WAS TRULY A COLLECTIVE ENDEAVOR. THE DEBATES DURING THIS PERIOD ALSO DIRECTLY LED TO THE DRAFTING AND ADOPTION OF THE BILL OF RIGHTS, WHICH WAS RATIFIED IN 1791.

LEGACY OF THE CONVENTION DATES

THE DATES ASSOCIATED WITH THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION ARE MORE THAN JUST ENTRIES IN A HISTORICAL TIMELINE; THEY ARE MARKERS OF PROFOUND DECISION-MAKING THAT SHAPED THE TRAJECTORY OF THE UNITED STATES. FROM THE INITIAL CALLS FOR REFORM TO THE FINAL ACT OF RATIFICATION, EACH IMPORTANT DATE REPRESENTS A MOMENT OF CRISIS, DEBATE, COMPROMISE, AND ULTIMATELY, CREATION. THESE DATES REMIND US OF THE INTELLECTUAL COURAGE AND THE PRACTICAL POLITICAL MANEUVERING REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH A LASTING REPUBLIC.

THE ENDURING SIGNIFICANCE OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION LIES IN ITS SUCCESS IN CRAFTING A DOCUMENT THAT HAS, FOR OVER TWO CENTURIES, PROVIDED A FRAMEWORK FOR GOVERNANCE, INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES, AND NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT. THE DEBATES AND COMPROMISES OF THAT SUMMER IN PHILADELPHIA, MARKED BY THESE KEY DATES, CONTINUE TO INFORM OUR UNDERSTANDING OF AMERICAN DEMOCRACY, FEDERALISM, AND THE DELICATE BALANCE OF POWER. STUDYING THESE SPECIFIC DATES ALLOWS US TO CONNECT WITH THE HISTORICAL CONTEXT AND APPRECIATE THE MONUMENTAL EFFORT INVOLVED IN FOUNDING THE UNITED STATES.

FAQ SECTION

Q: WHEN DID THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION OFFICIALLY BEGIN?

A: THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION OFFICIALLY BEGAN ON MAY 25, 1787, WHEN A QUORUM OF DELEGATES WAS PRESENT IN PHILADELPHIA.

Q: WHAT WAS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DATE SEPTEMBER 17, 1787?

A: SEPTEMBER 17, 1787, IS THE DATE THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION WAS SIGNED BY THE DELEGATES AT THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION, MARKING THE COMPLETION OF THE DRAFTING PROCESS.

Q: WHICH STATE WAS THE FIRST TO RATIFY THE CONSTITUTION AND WHEN?

A: DELAWARE WAS THE FIRST STATE TO RATIFY THE CONSTITUTION ON DECEMBER 7, 1787.

Q: WHAT DATE MARKS THE POINT AT WHICH THE CONSTITUTION OFFICIALLY WENT INTO EFFECT?

A: THE CONSTITUTION OFFICIALLY WENT INTO EFFECT ON JUNE 21, 1788, WHEN NEW HAMPSHIRE BECAME THE NINTH STATE TO RATIFY IT, MEETING THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENT FOR ITS ADOPTION.

Q: WAS THERE A SPECIFIC DATE WHEN THE GREAT COMPROMISE WAS REACHED?

A: THE GREAT COMPROMISE, ALSO KNOWN AS THE CONNECTICUT COMPROMISE, WHICH ESTABLISHED THE BICAMERAL LEGISLATURE, WAS LARGELY AGREED UPON BY JULY 16, 1787.

Q: WHEN DID THE CONVENTION DELEGATES FIRST BEGIN TO CONSIDER PROPOSALS FOR A NEW GOVERNMENT STRUCTURE?

A: WHILE THE CONVENTION OFFICIALLY BEGAN ON MAY 25, 1787, SIGNIFICANT PROPOSALS FOR A NEW GOVERNMENT STRUCTURE, LIKE THE VIRGINIA PLAN, WERE INTRODUCED SHORTLY AFTER, WITH THE VIRGINIA PLAN BEING PRESENTED ON MAY 29, 1787.

Q: WHAT ROLE DID THE ANNAPOLIS CONVENTION PLAY IN SETTING THE DATE FOR THE PHILADELPHIA CONVENTION?

A: THE ANNAPOLIS CONVENTION, HELD IN SEPTEMBER 1786, WAS INSTRUMENTAL IN CALLING FOR A LARGER CONVENTION TO ADDRESS THE WEAKNESSES OF THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION, LEADING TO THE SCHEDULING OF THE PHILADELPHIA CONVENTION FOR MAY 1787.

Q: WHY IS THE DATE OF THE VIRGINIA'S RATIFICATION IMPORTANT?

A: VIRGINIA'S RATIFICATION ON JUNE 26, 1788, WAS CRUCIAL BECAUSE IT WAS A LARGE AND INFLUENTIAL STATE. ITS APPROVAL AFTER INTENSE DEBATE SIGNALLED GROWING MOMENTUM FOR THE CONSTITUTION.

Q: DID THE CONVENTION HAVE A SET END DATE FROM THE BEGINNING?

A: NO, THE CONVENTION DID NOT HAVE A PREDETERMINED END DATE. IT BEGAN ON MAY 25, 1787, AND CONTINUED ITS DELIBERATIONS AND DRAFTING UNTIL THE CONSTITUTION WAS SIGNED ON SEPTEMBER 17, 1787.

Constitutional Convention Us Important Dates

Constitutional Convention Us Important Dates

Related Articles

- [consumer economics principles](#)
- [contemporary art for dummies](#)
- [consumer choice utility maximization](#)

[Back to Home](#)