

CONGRESSIONAL POWER TO RAISE REVENUE

THE CONGRESSIONAL POWER TO RAISE REVENUE IS A CORNERSTONE OF AMERICAN GOVERNANCE, GRANTING THE LEGISLATIVE BRANCH THE CRUCIAL AUTHORITY TO FUND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S OPERATIONS AND INITIATIVES. THIS FOUNDATIONAL POWER, ENSHRINED IN THE CONSTITUTION, IS MULTIFACETED, ENCOMPASSING VARIOUS MECHANISMS FOR TAXATION AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT. UNDERSTANDING THE SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS CONGRESSIONAL PREROGATIVE IS VITAL FOR COMPREHENDING THE DYNAMICS OF FISCAL POLICY, THE FEDERAL BUDGET PROCESS, AND THE VERY FRAMEWORK OF OUR REPUBLIC. THIS ARTICLE WILL DELVE INTO THE HISTORICAL ORIGINS, CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS, KEY LEGISLATIVE TOOLS, AND CONTEMPORARY IMPLICATIONS OF CONGRESS'S ABILITY TO GENERATE INCOME FOR THE UNITED STATES. WE WILL EXPLORE HOW THIS POWER SHAPES ECONOMIC POLICY, INFLUENCES PUBLIC SERVICES, AND SERVES AS A CHECK ON EXECUTIVE AUTHORITY, PROVIDING A COMPREHENSIVE OVERVIEW FOR ANYONE SEEKING TO GRASP THIS ESSENTIAL ASPECT OF DEMOCRATIC CONTROL.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

THE CONSTITUTIONAL MANDATE FOR CONGRESSIONAL REVENUE AUTHORITY
KEY MECHANISMS OF CONGRESSIONAL REVENUE GENERATION
THE POWER OF THE PURSE: TAXATION AND LEGISLATION
LIMITATIONS AND CHECKS ON CONGRESSIONAL REVENUE POWER
THE EVOLVING LANDSCAPE OF CONGRESSIONAL REVENUE AUTHORITY
FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

THE CONSTITUTIONAL MANDATE FOR CONGRESSIONAL REVENUE AUTHORITY

THE VERY INCEPTION OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT WAS PREDICATED ON THE IDEA THAT THE LEGISLATIVE BRANCH, REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE, SHOULD CONTROL THE NATION'S FINANCES. ARTICLE I, SECTION 8 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, OFTEN REFERRED TO AS THE "POWER OF THE PURSE," EXPLICITLY GRANTS CONGRESS THE AUTHORITY "TO LAY AND COLLECT TAXES, DUTIES, IMPOSTS AND EXCISES, TO PAY THE DEBTS AND PROVIDE FOR THE COMMON DEFENCE AND GENERAL WELFARE OF THE UNITED STATES." THIS CLAUSE IS NOT MERELY A PROCEDURAL GRANT; IT IS A FUNDAMENTAL ALLOCATION OF POWER, ENSURING THAT NO GOVERNMENT CAN OPERATE WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF ITS ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES. WITHOUT THE ABILITY TO RAISE REVENUE, THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH WOULD BE SEVERELY HOBbled, AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WOULD BE UNABLE TO PERFORM ITS ESSENTIAL FUNCTIONS, FROM NATIONAL DEFENSE TO SOCIAL PROGRAMS.

THE FOUNDING FATHERS RECOGNIZED THE HISTORICAL ABUSES OF MONARCHIES THAT OFTEN LEVIED TAXES WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE GOVERNED. BY VESTING THIS POWER IN CONGRESS, THEY SOUGHT TO CREATE A SYSTEM OF CHECKS AND BALANCES WHERE THE PEOPLE, THROUGH THEIR REPRESENTATIVES, WOULD HAVE ULTIMATE CONTROL OVER HOW THEIR MONEY WAS SPENT AND HOW IT WAS COLLECTED. THIS PRINCIPLE OF CONSENT OF THE GOVERNED IS INTIMATELY LINKED TO THE POWER TO TAX. IT MEANS THAT SIGNIFICANT FISCAL DECISIONS, SUCH AS RAISING TAXES OR AUTHORIZING NEW SPENDING, MUST GO THROUGH THE DELIBERATIVE PROCESS OF CONGRESS, ALLOWING FOR PUBLIC DEBATE AND SCRUTINY.

FURTHERMORE, THE CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK ENSURES THAT THE POWER TO RAISE REVENUE IS NOT ABSOLUTE. IT IS TIED TO SPECIFIC PURPOSES: PAYING DEBTS, PROVIDING FOR NATIONAL DEFENSE, AND PROMOTING THE GENERAL WELFARE. THIS IMPLIES THAT REVENUE-RAISING MEASURES MUST HAVE A LEGITIMATE PUBLIC PURPOSE AND CANNOT BE USED FOR ARBITRARY OR OPPRESSIVE ENDS. THE SUBSEQUENT JURISPRUDENCE OF THE SUPREME COURT HAS FURTHER REFINED THE UNDERSTANDING OF THIS POWER, AFFIRMING ITS BROAD SCOPE WHILE ALSO ESTABLISHING CERTAIN BOUNDARIES AND PROTECTIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES AND STATES' RIGHTS.

KEY MECHANISMS OF CONGRESSIONAL REVENUE GENERATION

CONGRESS EMPLOYS A VARIETY OF TOOLS TO GENERATE THE REVENUE NECESSARY TO FUND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. THESE MECHANISMS ARE DIVERSE, REFLECTING THE COMPLEXITY OF A MODERN ECONOMY AND THE DIFFERING PHILOSOPHIES ON HOW TO

BEST DISTRIBUTE THE TAX BURDEN. UNDERSTANDING THESE TOOLS IS CRUCIAL TO APPRECIATING THE IMPACT OF CONGRESSIONAL DECISIONS ON INDIVIDUALS, BUSINESSES, AND THE OVERALL ECONOMIC LANDSCAPE. THESE INSTRUMENTS RANGE FROM DIRECT TAXES ON INCOME AND WEALTH TO INDIRECT TAXES ON CONSUMPTION AND TRADE.

INCOME TAXES

PERHAPS THE MOST SIGNIFICANT SOURCE OF FEDERAL REVENUE IN THE UNITED STATES IS THE INCOME TAX. CONGRESS HAS THE AUTHORITY TO LEVY TAXES ON THE EARNINGS OF INDIVIDUALS AND CORPORATIONS. THIS POWER WAS FORMALLY ESTABLISHED AND EXPANDED THROUGH THE SIXTEENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION, WHICH STATES, "THE CONGRESS SHALL HAVE POWER TO LAY AND COLLECT TAXES ON INCOMES, FROM WHATEVER SOURCE DERIVED, WITHOUT APPORTIONMENT AMONG THE SEVERAL STATES, AND WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY CENSUS OR ENUMERATION." THIS AMENDMENT WAS A PIVOTAL MOMENT, ENABLING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO ENACT A PROGRESSIVE INCOME TAX SYSTEM THAT CAN ADJUST RATES BASED ON INCOME LEVELS.

THE STRUCTURE OF THE INCOME TAX IS COMPLEX, INVOLVING VARIOUS DEDUCTIONS, CREDITS, AND EXEMPTIONS THAT CAN SIGNIFICANTLY ALTER AN INDIVIDUAL'S OR CORPORATION'S TAX LIABILITY. CONGRESS CONTINUALLY DEBATES AND MODIFIES THESE PROVISIONS, INFLUENCING ECONOMIC BEHAVIOR, INCENTIVIZING CERTAIN INVESTMENTS, AND REDISTRIBUTING WEALTH. THE ANNUAL PROCESS OF BUDGET RECONCILIATION AND TAX REFORM OFTEN CENTERS ON THESE INCOME TAX POLICIES, HIGHLIGHTING THEIR CENTRAL ROLE IN FISCAL POLICY.

PAYROLL TAXES

ANOTHER SUBSTANTIAL STREAM OF REVENUE FOR THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT COMES FROM PAYROLL TAXES. THESE ARE TAXES LEVIED ON WAGES AND SALARIES, PRIMARILY TO FUND SOCIAL SECURITY AND MEDICARE. EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES EACH CONTRIBUTE A PORTION OF THE EMPLOYEE'S EARNINGS TO THESE PROGRAMS. CONGRESS SETS THE TAX RATES AND THE WAGE BASE TO WHICH THESE RATES APPLY, DIRECTLY IMPACTING THE TAKE-HOME PAY OF WORKERS AND THE LABOR COSTS FOR BUSINESSES.

THE DEDICATED NATURE OF PAYROLL TAXES, FUNDING SPECIFIC SOCIAL INSURANCE PROGRAMS, DISTINGUISHES THEM FROM GENERAL REVENUE TAXES. HOWEVER, CONGRESS STILL HOLDS THE POWER TO ADJUST THESE RATES AND THE PARAMETERS OF THE PROGRAMS THEY SUPPORT, MAKING THEM A SIGNIFICANT LEVER IN FISCAL MANAGEMENT. THE LONG-TERM SOLVENCY OF THESE PROGRAMS OFTEN DEPENDS ON CONGRESSIONAL DECISIONS REGARDING PAYROLL TAX RATES AND BENEFIT STRUCTURES.

EXCISE TAXES

EXCISE TAXES ARE TAXES LEVIED ON SPECIFIC GOODS AND SERVICES, SUCH AS GASOLINE, ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND AIRLINE TICKETS. THESE TAXES ARE OFTEN IMPOSED TO DISCOURAGE CONSUMPTION OF CERTAIN PRODUCTS, TO FUND SPECIFIC INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS (LIKE HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION FUNDED BY GASOLINE TAXES), OR SIMPLY TO GENERATE REVENUE. CONGRESS HAS THE DISCRETION TO IMPOSE NEW EXCISE TAXES OR TO MODIFY EXISTING ONES, AFFECTING THE PRICES CONSUMERS PAY FOR THESE GOODS AND INFLUENCING THEIR PURCHASING DECISIONS.

THE REVENUE GENERATED FROM EXCISE TAXES, WHILE NOT AS SUBSTANTIAL AS INCOME TAXES, CAN BE SIGNIFICANT AND OFTEN SERVES TARGETED PURPOSES. DEBATES OVER SIN TAXES, FOR INSTANCE, FREQUENTLY INVOLVE THE USE OF EXCISE TAXES TO INFLUENCE PUBLIC HEALTH OUTCOMES. THE ABILITY TO FINE-TUNE THESE TAXES ALLOWS CONGRESS TO ADDRESS SPECIFIC SOCIETAL CONCERNS THROUGH ECONOMIC DISINCENTIVES.

CUSTOMS DUTIES AND TARIFFS

HISTORICALLY, CUSTOMS DUTIES AND TARIFFS – TAXES ON IMPORTED GOODS – WERE A PRIMARY SOURCE OF REVENUE FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. WHILE THEIR RELATIVE IMPORTANCE HAS DIMINISHED WITH THE RISE OF INCOME AND PAYROLL TAXES, CONGRESS STILL RETAINS THE AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE AND ADJUST TARIFFS. THIS POWER IS OFTEN INTERTWINED WITH FOREIGN POLICY AND TRADE NEGOTIATIONS, AS TARIFFS CAN BE USED AS TOOLS TO PROTECT DOMESTIC INDUSTRIES OR TO EXERT ECONOMIC PRESSURE ON OTHER NATIONS.

THE IMPOSITION OF TARIFFS CAN LEAD TO INCREASED PRICES FOR CONSUMERS AND CAN TRIGGER RETALIATORY MEASURES FROM TRADING PARTNERS. CONGRESS'S DECISIONS IN THIS AREA HAVE PROFOUND IMPLICATIONS FOR INTERNATIONAL COMMERCE AND THE DOMESTIC ECONOMY. THE TRADE ACT OF 1974, FOR EXAMPLE, DELEGATED SOME TARIFF-SETTING AUTHORITY TO THE PRESIDENT BUT RETAINED CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT AND THE ULTIMATE POWER TO LEGISLATE ON TRADE MATTERS.

THE POWER OF THE PURSE: TAXATION AND LEGISLATION

THE PHRASE "POWER OF THE PURSE" ENCAPSULATES THE PROFOUND INFLUENCE CONGRESS WIELDS OVER THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S FINANCIAL LIFEBLOOD. THIS POWER IS EXERCISED NOT JUST THROUGH THE INITIAL IMPOSITION OF TAXES BUT ALSO THROUGH THE INTRICATE PROCESS OF APPROPRIATING FUNDS AND OVERSEEING THEIR EXPENDITURE. CONGRESS'S ABILITY TO DICTATE HOW MONEY IS RAISED AND HOW IT IS SPENT MAKES IT THE CENTRAL PLAYER IN SHAPING NATIONAL POLICY AND PRIORITIES.

EVERY YEAR, CONGRESS ENGAGES IN A COMPLEX BUDGETARY PROCESS. THIS INVOLVES THE PRESIDENT SUBMITTING A BUDGET PROPOSAL, WHICH CONGRESS THEN REVIEWS, AMENDS, AND ULTIMATELY APPROVES THROUGH A SERIES OF APPROPRIATIONS BILLS. THESE BILLS AUTHORIZE SPECIFIC AMOUNTS OF MONEY TO BE SPENT BY VARIOUS GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND PROGRAMS. WITHOUT THESE APPROPRIATIONS, EVEN CONSTITUTIONALLY MANDATED FUNCTIONS CANNOT BE CARRIED OUT. THIS MEANS THAT CONGRESS, BY CONTROLLING THE PURSE STRINGS, CAN EFFECTIVELY INFLUENCE THE SCOPE AND SCALE OF VIRTUALLY EVERY GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY.

BEYOND DIRECT APPROPRIATIONS, CONGRESS ALSO USES ITS TAXING POWER TO INFLUENCE BEHAVIOR. TAX EXPENDITURES, WHICH ARE PROVISIONS IN THE TAX CODE THAT ALLOW FOR DEDUCTIONS, CREDITS, OR EXCLUSIONS, FUNCTION MUCH LIKE SPENDING PROGRAMS. FOR INSTANCE, TAX CREDITS FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY INCENTIVIZE INVESTMENT IN GREEN TECHNOLOGIES, WHILE DEDUCTIONS FOR MORTGAGE INTEREST ENCOURAGE HOMEOWNERSHIP. THESE "BACKDOOR SPENDING" MECHANISMS DEMONSTRATE THE FAR-REACHING IMPLICATIONS OF CONGRESS'S REVENUE-RAISING AUTHORITY, ALLOWING IT TO SHAPE ECONOMIC ACTIVITY AND SOCIAL OUTCOMES WITHOUT DIRECTLY APPROPRIATING FUNDS.

THE OVERSIGHT ROLE OF CONGRESS IS ALSO A CRUCIAL COMPONENT OF ITS FISCAL POWER. THROUGH COMMITTEES AND HEARINGS, CONGRESS INVESTIGATES HOW FEDERAL FUNDS ARE BEING USED, ENSURING ACCOUNTABILITY AND PREVENTING WASTE, FRAUD, AND ABUSE. THIS OVERSIGHT FUNCTION REINFORCES THE PRINCIPLE THAT PUBLIC MONEY IS BEING SPENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH LEGISLATIVE INTENT AND PUBLIC INTEREST. IT SERVES AS A VITAL CHECK ON THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH AND ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCIES, ENSURING THAT THEY OPERATE WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES SET BY CONGRESS.

LIMITATIONS AND CHECKS ON CONGRESSIONAL REVENUE POWER

WHILE THE CONGRESSIONAL POWER TO RAISE REVENUE IS EXTENSIVE, IT IS NOT WITHOUT ITS LIMITATIONS AND CHECKS. THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, DESIGNED TO PREVENT THE CONCENTRATION OF UNCHECKED POWER, IMPOSES SEVERAL CONSTRAINTS ON CONGRESS'S FISCAL AUTHORITY. THESE LIMITATIONS ENSURE THAT REVENUE-RAISING MEASURES ARE FAIR, SERVE LEGITIMATE PUBLIC PURPOSES, AND DO NOT INFRINGE UPON FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS OR THE BALANCE OF POWER BETWEEN FEDERAL AND STATE GOVERNMENTS.

ONE SIGNIFICANT CHECK IS THE REQUIREMENT FOR APPORTIONMENT FOR DIRECT TAXES, ALTHOUGH THIS WAS SIGNIFICANTLY ALTERED BY THE SIXTEENTH AMENDMENT FOR INCOME TAXES. THE ORIGINAL CONSTITUTION REQUIRED THAT DIRECT TAXES BE APPORTIONED AMONG THE STATES BASED ON POPULATION. THIS WAS A SAFEGUARD AGAINST THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IMPOSING A HEAVY DIRECT TAX BURDEN ON A FEW STATES. HOWEVER, THE SUPREME COURT'S INTERPRETATION AND THE

SIXTEENTH AMENDMENT HAVE LARGELY EXEMPTED INCOME TAXES FROM THIS REQUIREMENT, GIVING CONGRESS MUCH MORE FLEXIBILITY IN THIS AREA.

ANOTHER CRUCIAL LIMITATION IS THE COMMERCE CLAUSE AND THE SUPREMACY CLAUSE. WHILE CONGRESS CAN TAX, ITS TAXING POWER CANNOT BE USED TO REGULATE PURELY INTRASTATE COMMERCE OR TO UNDULY BURDEN STATES. THE SUPREME COURT HAS, AT TIMES, STRUCK DOWN FEDERAL TAXES THAT WERE FOUND TO EXCEED CONGRESSIONAL AUTHORITY OR TO INFRINGE UPON STATE SOVEREIGNTY. THE PRINCIPLE OF FEDERALISM DICTATES THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S POWERS, INCLUDING ITS TAXING POWER, ARE LIMITED AND CANNOT PREEMPT LEGITIMATE STATE AUTHORITY.

FURTHERMORE, INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS ARE PROTECTED FROM EXCESSIVE OR DISCRIMINATORY TAXATION. FOR EXAMPLE, THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT COULD POTENTIALLY BE INVOKED AGAINST A TAX THAT UNFAIRLY TARGETS A SPECIFIC GROUP. WHILE CONGRESS HAS BROAD LATITUDE IN SETTING TAX POLICY, IT MUST DO SO IN A MANNER THAT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE BILL OF RIGHTS AND OTHER CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEES OF INDIVIDUAL LIBERTY. THE PROCESS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW PROVIDES A MECHANISM TO CHALLENGE REVENUE MEASURES THAT ARE DEEMED UNCONSTITUTIONAL.

FINALLY, THE POLITICAL PROCESS ITSELF ACTS AS A POWERFUL CHECK. MEMBERS OF CONGRESS ARE ACCOUNTABLE TO THEIR CONSTITUENTS. IF THEY ENACT TAX POLICIES THAT ARE PERCEIVED AS UNFAIR, BURDENSOME, OR ECONOMICALLY DAMAGING, THEY RISK ELECTORAL DEFEAT. THIS INHERENT DEMOCRATIC ACCOUNTABILITY ENSURES THAT CONGRESS MUST, TO SOME EXTENT, CONSIDER THE WILL OF THE PEOPLE WHEN EXERCISING ITS POWER TO RAISE REVENUE.

THE EVOLVING LANDSCAPE OF CONGRESSIONAL REVENUE AUTHORITY

THE CONGRESSIONAL POWER TO RAISE REVENUE HAS NOT REMAINED STATIC; IT HAS EVOLVED SIGNIFICANTLY SINCE THE NATION'S FOUNDING, SHAPED BY HISTORICAL EVENTS, ECONOMIC TRANSFORMATIONS, AND SHIFTS IN POLITICAL IDEOLOGY. THE EARLY REPUBLIC RELIED HEAVILY ON TARIFFS AND EXCISE TAXES, BUT THE DEMANDS OF FINANCING WARS, EXPANDING INFRASTRUCTURE, AND DEVELOPING SOCIAL PROGRAMS NECESSITATED A BROADER REVENUE BASE.

THE CIVIL WAR AND SUBSEQUENT INDUSTRIALIZATION PAVED THE WAY FOR A MORE ROBUST FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND, CONSEQUENTLY, A GREATER RELIANCE ON INCOME TAXATION. THE SIXTEENTH AMENDMENT IN 1913 CEMENTED THIS SHIFT, PROVIDING A CONSTITUTIONAL FOUNDATION FOR A FEDERAL INCOME TAX THAT COULD BE LEVIED WITHOUT APPORTIONMENT. THIS FUNDAMENTALLY ALTERED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S FINANCIAL CAPACITY, ENABLING IT TO FUND NEW INITIATIVES AND RESPOND TO NATIONAL CRISES WITH GREATER FISCAL POWER.

IN THE 20TH CENTURY, THE GREAT DEPRESSION AND WORLD WAR II FURTHER EXPANDED THE ROLE AND REVENUE-GENERATING CAPACITY OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SOCIAL SECURITY AND MEDICARE, FUNDED LARGELY THROUGH PAYROLL TAXES, CREATED NEW, SIGNIFICANT REVENUE STREAMS. CONGRESS HAS CONTINUALLY GRAPPLED WITH HOW TO BEST FINANCE THESE AND OTHER PROGRAMS, LEADING TO CYCLES OF TAX INCREASES, TAX CUTS, AND REFORMS AIMED AT SIMPLIFYING THE TAX CODE OR INCENTIVIZING SPECIFIC ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES.

TODAY, DEBATES SURROUNDING CONGRESSIONAL POWER TO RAISE REVENUE OFTEN FOCUS ON ISSUES OF TAX FAIRNESS, ECONOMIC GROWTH, AND FISCAL SUSTAINABILITY. THE RISE OF GLOBALIZATION AND THE DIGITAL ECONOMY PRESENT NEW CHALLENGES, RAISING QUESTIONS ABOUT HOW TO TAX INTERNATIONAL CORPORATIONS AND DIGITAL SERVICES EFFECTIVELY. THE ONGOING DISCUSSIONS ABOUT NATIONAL DEBT AND DEFICITS ALSO PLACE A SPOTLIGHT ON CONGRESS'S REVENUE-RAISING DECISIONS, AS POLICYMAKERS WEIGH THE NEED FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES AGAINST THE BURDEN OF TAXATION. THE POWER TO RAISE REVENUE REMAINS A DYNAMIC AND OFTEN CONTENTIOUS ASPECT OF AMERICAN GOVERNANCE, CONSTANTLY ADAPTING TO THE NATION'S CHANGING ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL LANDSCAPE.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

Q: WHAT IS THE PRIMARY SOURCE OF REVENUE FOR THE U.S. FEDERAL GOVERNMENT?

A: THE PRIMARY SOURCE OF REVENUE FOR THE U.S. FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAXES, FOLLOWED CLOSELY BY PAYROLL TAXES, CORPORATE INCOME TAXES, AND EXCISE TAXES.

Q: CAN CONGRESS TAX STATE GOVERNMENTS?

A: YES, CONGRESS CAN TAX STATE GOVERNMENTS, BUT THIS POWER IS SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS TO PRESERVE FEDERALISM. FOR INSTANCE, FEDERAL TAXES CANNOT DISCRIMINATE AGAINST STATE GOVERNMENTS.

Q: WHAT ARE "EARMARKED" TAXES?

A: EARMARKED TAXES ARE REVENUES THAT ARE SPECIFICALLY DEDICATED TO FUNDING A PARTICULAR GOVERNMENT PROGRAM OR FUNCTION. PAYROLL TAXES FOR SOCIAL SECURITY AND MEDICARE ARE COMMON EXAMPLES OF EARMARKED TAXES.

Q: HOW DOES THE PRESIDENT'S ROLE INTERACT WITH CONGRESS'S POWER TO RAISE REVENUE?

A: WHILE CONGRESS HOLDS THE ULTIMATE POWER TO RAISE REVENUE, THE PRESIDENT PLAYS A SIGNIFICANT ROLE BY PROPOSING BUDGETS, RECOMMENDING TAX POLICIES, AND SIGNING OR VETOING LEGISLATION PASSED BY CONGRESS RELATED TO REVENUE GENERATION.

Q: WHAT DOES THE SIXTEENTH AMENDMENT ALLOW CONGRESS TO DO REGARDING REVENUE?

A: THE SIXTEENTH AMENDMENT ALLOWS CONGRESS TO LAY AND COLLECT TAXES ON INCOMES, FROM WHATEVER SOURCE DERIVED, WITHOUT NEEDING TO APPORTION THESE TAXES AMONG THE STATES BASED ON POPULATION. THIS SIGNIFICANTLY EXPANDED CONGRESS'S REVENUE-RAISING CAPABILITIES.

Q: ARE THERE LIMITS ON HOW MUCH CONGRESS CAN TAX?

A: WHILE THE CONSTITUTION GRANTS BROAD TAXING POWER, THERE ARE IMPLICIT AND EXPLICIT LIMITS. THESE INCLUDE THE REQUIREMENT THAT TAXES SERVE A PUBLIC PURPOSE, THE PROTECTION OF INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS, AND THE PRINCIPLE OF FEDERALISM, WHICH PREVENTS FEDERAL OVERREACH INTO STATE AFFAIRS. JUDICIAL REVIEW CAN ALSO LIMIT CONGRESSIONAL TAXING AUTHORITY IF A LAW IS FOUND UNCONSTITUTIONAL.

Q: CAN CONGRESS USE ITS TAXING POWER TO REGULATE BEHAVIOR?

A: YES, CONGRESS CAN USE ITS TAXING POWER, PARTICULARLY THROUGH EXCISE TAXES AND TAX INCENTIVES, TO INFLUENCE BEHAVIOR. FOR EXAMPLE, TAXES ON TOBACCO AND ALCOHOL AIM TO DISCOURAGE THEIR CONSUMPTION, WHILE TAX CREDITS CAN ENCOURAGE INVESTMENT IN RENEWABLE ENERGY.

Q: HOW DO TARIFFS FIT INTO CONGRESS'S REVENUE-RAISING POWERS?

A: TARIFFS, OR CUSTOMS DUTIES ON IMPORTED GOODS, ARE A HISTORICAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL METHOD FOR CONGRESS TO RAISE REVENUE. CONGRESS HAS THE AUTHORITY TO SET THESE RATES, WHICH CAN ALSO BE USED AS A TOOL FOR TRADE POLICY.

Congressional Power To Raise Revenue

Congressional Power To Raise Revenue

Related Articles

- [congressional power to act as a check on presidential power](#)
- [confucian virtue ethics](#)
- [congressional power to declare war](#)

[Back to Home](#)