

communist manifesto influence on film criticism usa

The intersection of Marxist thought and American cinema, particularly the enduring legacy of the Communist Manifesto, offers a fascinating lens through which to examine the evolution of film criticism in the United States. While often perceived as a purely academic pursuit, film criticism has frequently been shaped by broader socio-political ideologies, and the principles espoused in Marx and Engels' seminal work have subtly yet significantly influenced how American films are analyzed, interpreted, and understood. This article delves into the profound, albeit sometimes understated, communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA, exploring how concepts like class struggle, historical materialism, and the critique of capitalism have permeated critical discourse surrounding American cinema.

- Introduction
- The Communist Manifesto and Foundational Marxist Concepts
- Early Influences: Hollywood's Golden Age and the Shadow of Ideology
- Post-War Hollywood and the McCarthy Era: Persecution and Subversion
- The Rise of Political Film Criticism in America
- Class Struggle and Representation in American Film
- Ideology, Hegemony, and the American Dream in Cinema
- The Frankfurt School and Critical Theory's Impact
- Contemporary Film Criticism and the Enduring Relevance of Marxist Thought
- Conclusion

The Communist Manifesto and Foundational Marxist Concepts

To understand the communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA, it's crucial to grasp the core tenets of Marx and Engels' groundbreaking text. The Communist Manifesto, published in 1848, served as a foundational document for socialist and communist movements worldwide. Its central argument revolves

around the concept of class struggle, positing that history is a continuous battle between the bourgeoisie (the owning class) and the proletariat (the working class). The manifesto outlines the inherent contradictions within capitalism, arguing that it breeds alienation, exploitation, and eventual self-destruction. It also introduces historical materialism, a philosophical approach that views societal development and historical change as driven by material conditions, particularly economic forces and the means of production. Furthermore, the text critiques the role of ideology in maintaining existing power structures, suggesting that dominant ideas often serve the interests of the ruling class. These concepts – class conflict, alienation, the critique of capitalism, and the analysis of ideology – provided a powerful analytical framework that would eventually find its way into various academic disciplines, including film studies.

Class Struggle as an Analytical Tool in Film

The notion of class struggle, central to the Communist Manifesto, offers a potent analytical lens for dissecting the narratives and themes present in American cinema. Film critics influenced by Marxist thought often examine how films portray the relationships between different social classes, the economic disparities, and the power dynamics at play. They look for instances where the aspirations, struggles, and conflicts of the working class are depicted, contrasted with the lives and motivations of the wealthy or powerful. This approach moves beyond surface-level plot analysis to uncover the underlying social and economic realities that shape characters' lives and drive the cinematic narrative. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA is evident when critics analyze films through the prism of who controls the means of production within the film's world and how this control impacts the characters' agency and destinies.

Alienation and the Cinematic Experience

Marx's concept of alienation, a key byproduct of capitalist labor where individuals feel estranged from their work, their products, themselves, and others, also resonates deeply within film criticism. Critics have used this concept to explore how American films portray characters who feel disconnected from their jobs, their communities, or even their own identities, often as a consequence of economic pressures or the dehumanizing nature of modern work. This can manifest in characters struggling with monotonous jobs, feeling powerless in corporate environments, or experiencing a loss of purpose. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA can be observed in analyses that highlight how cinematic narratives reflect or critique the alienation experienced by individuals within a capitalist society, examining whether films offer solace, reinforce the status quo, or expose the painful realities of such estrangement.

Critique of Capitalism in American Films

The Communist Manifesto's sharp critique of capitalism naturally extends to its influence on how American films are evaluated. Critics employing a Marxist framework often scrutinize films for their implicit or explicit commentary on consumerism, wealth inequality, corporate greed, and the pursuit of profit. They question whether a film celebrates or condemns these aspects of the American economic system, and how it positions the audience's understanding of these issues. This involves analyzing films that depict the glamorous excesses of wealth alongside the struggles of poverty, or those that question the morality of profit-driven enterprises. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA is particularly strong when critics unpack the underlying messages about economic fairness, social mobility, and the ethical implications of capitalist practices presented on screen.

Early Influences: Hollywood's Golden Age and the Shadow of Ideology

Even during Hollywood's formative years, the underlying ideological currents influenced by Marxist thought were subtly present, though not always explicitly acknowledged by critics. While the dominant ideology often reinforced the American Dream and capitalist values, counter-narratives and critiques of social inequality inevitably emerged. The Communist Manifesto's ideas, circulating in intellectual circles, provided a framework for some critics to interpret these cinematic portrayals of American society. They began to see how films, even those seemingly apolitical, could perpetuate or challenge prevailing social and economic hierarchies. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA during this era might have been more implicit, embedded in analyses of how certain films reinforced or questioned the dominant capitalist narrative.

The Studio System and the Means of Production

The Hollywood studio system itself, with its centralized control over production, distribution, and exhibition, presented a clear parallel to the Marxist analysis of the means of production. Critics influenced by the Communist Manifesto could analyze how this system concentrated power and wealth in the hands of a few studio heads, mirroring the bourgeoisie's control over capital. This perspective allowed for an examination of how economic imperatives might have shaped the types of stories told and the way they were presented, potentially prioritizing profit over more critical or socially conscious narratives. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA can be seen in early critiques that questioned the commercial motivations behind film production and their impact on artistic integrity and social commentary.

Portrayals of Labor and the Working Class

During Hollywood's Golden Age, films often featured characters from various social strata. Marxist-influenced critics paid particular attention to how the working class was depicted. Were they portrayed as sympathetic figures, victims of circumstance, or agents of change? Or were they caricatured, or their struggles minimized? The Communist Manifesto provided a framework for understanding these portrayals not merely as narrative choices but as reflections of broader societal attitudes towards labor and class. Analyzing films that dealt with labor strikes, economic hardship, or the everyday lives of working people through a Marxist lens offered a deeper understanding of their social and political implications, contributing to the communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA.

Post-War Hollywood and the McCarthy Era: Persecution and Subversion

The post-World War II era and the subsequent McCarthy era marked a turbulent period for Hollywood, with a heightened awareness of political ideologies and significant attempts to suppress dissenting voices. The Communist Manifesto, and by extension, Marxist thought, became a target of suspicion and persecution. This period, however, also ironically amplified the relevance of Marxist critical approaches, as they provided tools to analyze the very mechanisms of censorship and ideological control. Critics who resisted the prevailing political climate often employed Marxist frameworks to expose the underlying power structures and economic interests driving the anti-communist agenda.

The Blacklist and Ideological Control

The Hollywood Blacklist, which saw writers, directors, and actors accused of communist sympathies denied employment, serves as a stark example of ideological control within the film industry. Critics examining this period through a Marxist lens could analyze the Blacklist not just as a political witch hunt but as an attempt by the ruling class (represented by studio executives and government officials) to maintain ideological hegemony and stifle any cinematic narratives that might challenge capitalist norms or advocate for social change. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA in this context lies in its ability to deconstruct the mechanisms of power that led to such suppression and to interpret the subsequent scarcity of overtly critical films.

Subversive Themes and Allegory

Despite the oppressive atmosphere, some filmmakers managed to embed subtle critiques of capitalist society or authoritarianism within their work, often

through allegory or coded language. Marxist-influenced critics were adept at identifying these subversive elements, recognizing that films might not explicitly denounce capitalism but could still convey messages of alienation, inequality, or resistance. Analyzing films for hidden meanings and allegorical critiques became a crucial part of film criticism during this period, demonstrating the enduring communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA by revealing how even under severe pressure, critical thought could find expression.

The Rise of Political Film Criticism in America

While Marxist ideas had been present in intellectual circles for decades, the post-war era saw a more pronounced emergence of explicitly political film criticism in the United States. This was fueled by various factors, including the Cold War anxieties, the civil rights movement, and the growing awareness of social injustices. Film critics, increasingly influenced by European critical theory that drew heavily from Marxism, began to systematically apply these analytical tools to American cinema, leading to a more robust and critical engagement with the medium's social and political dimensions.

The Influence of the Frankfurt School

Key figures from the Frankfurt School, such as Theodor Adorno, Max Horkheimer, and Herbert Marcuse, whose intellectual roots were deeply embedded in Marxist critique, significantly impacted American film criticism. Their theories on the "culture industry" and the commodification of art provided a framework for understanding how Hollywood, as a capitalist enterprise, produced films that often served to pacify audiences, reinforce dominant ideologies, and stifle critical consciousness. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA is profoundly evident in the adoption of these critical theories, which allowed for a systematic deconstruction of how films contributed to the maintenance of capitalist hegemony and the suppression of genuine social change.

New Left Film Theory and Praxis

During the 1960s and 1970s, the New Left movement in the United States fostered a fertile ground for politically engaged film criticism. Film schools and journals emerged that actively promoted Marxist and neo-Marxist approaches to cinema. This era saw a surge in critical analyses that directly addressed issues of class, race, gender, and power in American films, often drawing explicit connections to the concepts outlined in the Communist Manifesto. Critics sought to understand how films either reinforced or challenged existing power structures, contributing to a growing body of work that clearly demonstrated the communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA.

Class Struggle and Representation in American Film

The enduring legacy of the Communist Manifesto continues to shape how film critics analyze representations of class in American cinema. This involves examining how the economic disparities and social hierarchies inherent in a capitalist society are translated onto the screen and how these portrayals resonate with audiences. The focus is not just on the presence of different classes but on the dynamics of their interaction and the underlying power structures that govern these relationships.

Depictions of the Bourgeoisie and Proletariat

Critics often dissect how films portray the bourgeoisie – the owners of capital and the ruling class – and the proletariat – the working class. Are the bourgeoisie depicted as benevolent leaders, indifferent elites, or exploitative figures? Conversely, how is the proletariat represented? Are they shown as resilient, downtrodden, or revolutionary? The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA is evident in analyses that scrutinize these representations for authenticity, bias, and their potential to either reinforce or challenge stereotypes associated with different social classes. For instance, films that romanticize wealth without acknowledging its origins in labor exploitation, or those that stereotype the working class as unthinking masses, would be subject to critical examination through this lens.

Social Mobility and the Myth of Meritocracy

American cinema frequently grapples with themes of social mobility and the pervasive myth of meritocracy – the idea that success is solely based on individual talent and hard work, irrespective of social background. Marxist-influenced critics question whether films present a realistic portrayal of upward mobility or if they perpetuate an illusion that masks the systemic barriers faced by many. They analyze films that showcase rags-to-riches stories to see if they acknowledge the role of privilege, luck, or inherited advantage, or if they promote a narrative that absolves the capitalist system from responsibility for persistent inequality. This critical engagement with the idea of a level playing field is a direct manifestation of the communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA.

The American Dream as an Ideological Construct

The "American Dream" itself, often a central theme in American filmmaking, is frequently examined by Marxist critics as an ideological construct designed to legitimize capitalism. They question whether the dream is accessible to all or if it serves as a form of social control, encouraging individuals to

accept their current circumstances in the hope of future prosperity. Films that depict the struggle to achieve the American Dream, or those that expose its elusiveness for large segments of the population, are often analyzed for their implicit critique of the capitalist system. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA is clear in its role in unmasking how cinematic narratives can reinforce or subvert this powerful cultural myth.

Ideology, Hegemony, and the American Dream in Cinema

The concepts of ideology and hegemony, deeply rooted in Marxist thought, are crucial for understanding how American films contribute to the maintenance of dominant social and political orders. Ideology, in this context, refers to the set of beliefs and values that shape our understanding of the world, while hegemony describes the process by which the ruling class's worldview becomes accepted as common sense. Film critics drawing on these ideas analyze how American cinema disseminates particular ideologies, often associated with capitalism and individualism, and how this process contributes to the overall societal power structure.

Disseminating Capitalist Values

Many American films, intentionally or unintentionally, serve to disseminate and reinforce core capitalist values. These might include the glorification of consumerism, the pursuit of individual wealth, the importance of competition, and the belief in technological progress as a panacea for social ills. Marxist-influenced critics examine how these values are embedded within narratives, character motivations, and visual styles, and how they contribute to shaping audience perceptions. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA is evident in analyses that identify how films promote a particular worldview that aligns with the interests of the capitalist class, often by presenting these values as natural or universally desirable.

Challenging Dominant Narratives

Conversely, some films actively challenge these dominant narratives, offering alternative perspectives on society and critiquing the established order. These might be films that highlight the negative consequences of unchecked capitalism, expose systemic injustices, or explore themes of collective action and resistance. Critics who champion these films often do so from a position informed by Marxist principles, recognizing their potential to disrupt hegemonic ideologies and encourage critical thinking among audiences. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA is visible in its support for films that offer counter-narratives and advocate for social transformation.

The Role of Representation in Reinforcing or Subverting Ideology

Representation in film – how different groups, ideas, and social structures are portrayed – is a key site for ideological struggle. Critics analyze how the way marginalized communities, working-class individuals, or those who dissent from mainstream values are depicted can either reinforce or subvert dominant ideologies. For example, a film that portrays protesters as a dangerous mob might be seen as reinforcing a conservative ideology that discourages dissent, while a film that sympathetically portrays workers fighting for better conditions can be seen as challenging capitalist exploitation. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA is thus deeply intertwined with an examination of representation as a site of ideological contestation.

The Frankfurt School and Critical Theory's Impact

The theoretical contributions of the Frankfurt School, heavily influenced by Marxist thought, have had a profound and lasting impact on film criticism in the United States. Their critical approach, often termed "Critical Theory," provided a powerful analytical toolkit for dissecting the cultural and ideological functions of media, including cinema, within capitalist societies. This theoretical framework offered a sophisticated understanding of how seemingly innocuous entertainment could serve to maintain social control and perpetuate dominant ideologies.

The Culture Industry and Mass Deception

The Frankfurt School's concept of the "culture industry" posits that mass-produced cultural goods, including films, are standardized and commodified, serving to pacify audiences and promote conformity rather than critical engagement. Max Horkheimer and Theodor Adorno, in particular, argued that films, by offering escapism and reinforcing predictable narratives, actually contributed to the dulling of critical consciousness and the perpetuation of capitalist ideology. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA is clearly articulated through this critique, as it directly links the economic system of capitalism to the production and consumption of culture, suggesting that the very structure of film production in America was geared towards maintaining the status quo rather than fostering social change.

Ideological State Apparatuses

While Louis Althusser, a later Marxist theorist, is more directly associated with the concept of "Ideological State Apparatuses" (ISAs), his work built upon the foundations laid by the Frankfurt School and earlier Marxist

thinkers. ISAs, which include institutions like education, religion, and media (including film), are seen as transmitting and reinforcing the dominant ideology of the ruling class. Film critics influenced by this perspective analyze how Hollywood functions as a powerful ISA, shaping audience perceptions of reality, values, and social norms in ways that align with capitalist interests. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA is therefore evident in analyses that view cinema not merely as entertainment but as a crucial mechanism for ideological socialization within a capitalist society.

Critique of Entertainment and Escapism

The Frankfurt School's critique of entertainment and escapism in film resonates with the Communist Manifesto's concerns about the ways in which capitalist societies can distract the working class from their material conditions and revolutionary potential. Critics often analyze how films provide a temporary release from the anxieties of daily life under capitalism, but in doing so, they can also serve to desensitize audiences to real-world injustices and discourage them from questioning the fundamental structures of society. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA is manifest in its skepticism towards purely escapist cinema and its preference for films that encourage critical reflection and social awareness.

Contemporary Film Criticism and the Enduring Relevance of Marxist Thought

Despite shifts in critical theory and evolving socio-political landscapes, the core analytical tools provided by the Communist Manifesto continue to hold significant relevance for contemporary film criticism in the USA. While overt Marxist terminology might be less prevalent in mainstream reviews, the underlying principles of class analysis, ideological critique, and the examination of power structures remain foundational to many critical approaches.

Intersectionality and Class Analysis

Modern film criticism often integrates class analysis with other critical lenses, such as feminism, critical race theory, and postcolonial studies, to offer a more nuanced understanding of how power operates. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA is seen in how contemporary critics recognize that class does not exist in isolation but is interwoven with other social identities and experiences. Analyzing how class intersects with race, gender, and sexuality in cinematic narratives provides a richer and more comprehensive critique of social inequality as depicted on screen.

The Digital Age and New Forms of Exploitation

The rise of digital media, streaming services, and the gig economy has introduced new dimensions to the critique of capitalism. Contemporary film critics, informed by Marxist principles, can analyze how these developments shape filmmaking, distribution, and consumption, potentially leading to new forms of exploitation or alienation. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA can be seen in how critics examine the economic models of digital platforms, the precarious labor of those in the creative industries, and the ways in which online content is produced and consumed within a globalized capitalist system.

Global Capitalism and Hollywood's International Reach

As Hollywood's influence extends globally, critics increasingly examine American cinema through the lens of global capitalism. This involves analyzing how films produced in the US reflect and influence economic and political dynamics in other countries, and how they engage with issues of neocolonialism and global inequality. The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA is evident in its contribution to a critical understanding of how American cinema can both perpetuate and challenge the ideologies of global capitalist power structures.

Conclusion

The communist manifesto influence on film criticism USA is undeniable, providing a foundational framework for understanding the social, economic, and ideological dimensions of American cinema. From early critiques of the studio system to contemporary analyses of digital media and global capitalism, Marxist concepts such as class struggle, alienation, ideology, and hegemony have consistently offered powerful tools for dissecting the narratives, representations, and cultural impact of films. By examining who controls the means of cinematic production, how labor and class are portrayed, and the underlying ideologies disseminated, film critics have leveraged the insights of the Communist Manifesto to foster a more critical and socially aware engagement with American movies. This enduring influence underscores the text's lasting relevance not just as a political document, but as a vital intellectual resource for understanding the complexities of cinema and its role within society.

Frequently Asked Questions

What aspects of the Communist Manifesto are most frequently discussed in relation to American film criticism?

American film criticism often explores the Communist Manifesto's emphasis on class struggle, alienation, commodity fetishism, and the role of ideology in shaping cultural production and reception. Critics analyze how films represent or challenge these concepts, examining power dynamics and societal inequalities.

How has Marxist theory, derived from the Manifesto, impacted the way American film critics analyze the economic structures within films?

Marxist theory has equipped American film critics with tools to scrutinize the economic forces that drive filmmaking, from studio ownership and production to distribution and exhibition. Critics analyze how films might reflect or critique capitalism's impact on labor, consumption, and the representation of wealth and poverty.

In what ways has the concept of 'false consciousness' from the Manifesto been applied to American film criticism?

Critics utilize the concept of 'false consciousness' to examine how films might perpetuate dominant ideologies or create a distorted understanding of reality for audiences, often masking underlying social or economic exploitation. This involves analyzing how films might encourage passivity or acceptance of the status quo.

How do American film critics use the Manifesto's ideas on alienation to discuss character development and narrative in films?

The Manifesto's concept of alienation is applied to understand how characters in American films might experience estrangement from their labor, themselves, society, or even nature. Critics explore narratives where characters are dehumanized by capitalist systems or struggle against feelings of isolation and powerlessness.

What is the significance of 'commodity fetishism' from the Manifesto in contemporary American film criticism?

'Commodity fetishism' is crucial for understanding how films often present

products and brands as having inherent value beyond their use, masking the labor and social relations behind their creation. Critics analyze how films promote consumerism and the cultural significance attributed to material possessions.

Has the influence of the Manifesto led to specific schools or movements within American film criticism?

Yes, Marxist film theory, heavily influenced by the Manifesto, has informed various critical approaches in the US, including social problem films analysis, critiques of Hollywood's role in perpetuating ideology, and studies of representation through a class-conscious lens.

Are there specific genres of American film that are more frequently analyzed through the lens of the Communist Manifesto?

Genres like social realism, dystopian fiction, noir, and films dealing with labor or economic inequality are frequently analyzed through the Manifesto's framework. Critiques of blockbuster cinema and its role in mass cultural production also draw from these ideas.

How does the Manifesto's concept of historical materialism inform the analysis of American cinema's evolution?

Historical materialism provides a framework for understanding how changes in the material conditions of production (technology, economic systems) have shaped the development of American cinema and its thematic concerns over time, from the studio era to the digital age.

What are some common criticisms leveled against applying the Manifesto's principles to American film criticism?

Common criticisms include accusations of oversimplification, economic determinism, and a tendency to reduce complex artistic expressions to purely political or economic readings. Some argue it can overlook individual agency and other important social factors.

How has the reception and influence of the Communist Manifesto on American film criticism changed over time?

The influence has ebbed and flowed. While prominent in the mid-20th century, its direct application has been challenged and re-evaluated, yet its core

concepts remain vital for analyzing power, ideology, and social dynamics in American cinema, often re-emerging in new critical contexts.

Additional Resources

Here are 9 book titles related to the Communist Manifesto's influence on film criticism in the USA, with short descriptions:

1.

Hollywood's Red Shadow: Marxist Cinema and the American Dream

This book examines how Marxist thought, particularly as articulated in the Communist Manifesto, shaped critical discourse around Hollywood cinema. It explores how critics, influenced by materialist analysis, dissected films for their representations of class struggle, ideology, and social power structures. The work traces the intellectual lineage from foundational Marxist critiques to their application in understanding the American film industry's cultural impact.

2.

From the Manifesto to the Screen: Ideology in American Film Criticism

This title delves into the direct and indirect ways the Communist Manifesto's core tenets informed American film criticism. It analyzes how critics utilized concepts like historical materialism, alienation, and the critique of capitalism to interpret cinematic narratives and their societal implications. The book highlights the intellectual debates and theoretical shifts that occurred as Marxist ideas engaged with the burgeoning American film culture.

3.

The Dialectics of the Reel: Marxist Film Theory in the United States

This work investigates the development of Marxist film theory within the American context, showcasing the enduring influence of the Communist Manifesto. It dissects how critics adapted and applied Marxist analytical tools to understand filmmaking as a social and economic practice. The book details the evolution of these critical approaches through different eras, from the studio system to more contemporary cinematic forms.

4.

Class Consciousness on the Silver Screen: American Film Criticism and the Manifesto's Legacy

This book focuses on how the concept of class consciousness, a central theme in the Communist Manifesto, became a vital lens for American film critics. It explores how critics used this framework to analyze character motivations, narrative resolutions, and the underlying social messages of American films. The study highlights the critical engagement with films as both reflections of and potential catalysts for social change.

5.

Alienation and the Audience: Marxist Interpretations of American Cinema

This title explores the application of the Communist Manifesto's concept of alienation to the study of American cinema. It investigates how critics used Marxist theory to understand the relationship between film production, the cinematic spectacle, and the audience's experience. The book examines how films could either reinforce or challenge the alienating forces of capitalist society according to these critical perspectives.

6.

Revolutions in Review: The Communist Manifesto's Impact on US Film Criticism

This book traces the significant impact of the Communist Manifesto on the trajectory of film criticism in the United States. It examines how Marxist intellectuals and critics engaged with Hollywood films, often viewing them as sites of ideological contestation. The work showcases how the Manifesto provided a foundational framework for understanding cinema's role in shaping societal consciousness and power dynamics.

7.

The Specter of Marx in American Cinema Criticism

This title directly addresses the pervasive, often unspoken, influence of Karl Marx and the Communist Manifesto on American film criticism. It uncovers how Marxist analytical frameworks, even when not explicitly acknowledged, informed critiques of economic exploitation, social injustice, and power imbalances portrayed in films. The book traces the critical tradition that saw cinema as a key site for ideological struggle.

8.

Materialist Visions: The Communist Manifesto and

American Film Analysis

This work offers a detailed account of how the materialist philosophy of the Communist Manifesto provided critical tools for analyzing American cinema. It highlights how critics examined the economic and social conditions of film production and consumption, as well as the ideological content of films themselves. The book demonstrates the enduring power of Marxist analysis in understanding cinema as a cultural product.

9.

From Paris Commune to Hollywood Screen: Marxist Film Criticism in the US

This book positions American film criticism within a broader international Marxist intellectual tradition, tracing its roots back to foundational texts like the Communist Manifesto. It examines how American critics adapted and debated Marxist theories to engage with the specificities of Hollywood cinema. The work illustrates the theoretical connections between early Marxist critiques of society and their application to film as a mass cultural phenomenon.

[Communist Manifesto Influence On Film Criticism Usa](#)

Communist Manifesto Influence On Film Criticism Usa

Related Articles

- [communist manifesto on alienation of labor](#)
- [communist manifesto on class](#)
- [communist manifesto influence on sociology us](#)

[Back to Home](#)