

# ADVERSE SELECTION EXPLAINED

## ADVERSE SELECTION EXPLAINED: UNDERSTANDING THE ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION CHALLENGE

**ADVERSE SELECTION EXPLAINED**, AT ITS CORE, REFERS TO A SITUATION WHERE ONE PARTY IN A TRANSACTION HAS MORE OR BETTER INFORMATION THAN THE OTHER, LEADING TO AN OUTCOME THAT IS DISADVANTAGEOUS FOR THE LESS INFORMED PARTY. THIS PERVERSIVE ECONOMIC PHENOMENON, OFTEN ROOTED IN ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION, CAN SIGNIFICANTLY DISTORT MARKETS AND LEAD TO MARKET FAILURES. UNDERSTANDING ADVERSE SELECTION IS CRUCIAL FOR GRASPING HOW INSURANCE MARKETS FUNCTION, HOW LENDERS ASSESS RISK, AND EVEN HOW EMPLOYERS MAKE HIRING DECISIONS. THIS COMPREHENSIVE ARTICLE WILL DELVE DEEP INTO THE INTRICACIES OF ADVERSE SELECTION, EXPLORING ITS DEFINITION, COMMON CAUSES, THE VARIOUS MARKETS IT IMPACTS, AND THE STRATEGIES EMPLOYED TO MITIGATE ITS NEGATIVE EFFECTS. WE WILL EXAMINE REAL-WORLD EXAMPLES AND PROVIDE A CLEAR, DETAILED UNDERSTANDING OF THIS COMPLEX CONCEPT.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

WHAT IS ADVERSE SELECTION?

THE ROOT CAUSE: ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION

HOW ADVERSE SELECTION MANIFESTS IN DIFFERENT MARKETS

ADVERSE SELECTION IN INSURANCE MARKETS

ADVERSE SELECTION IN LENDING AND FINANCE

ADVERSE SELECTION IN EMPLOYMENT

STRATEGIES TO MITIGATE ADVERSE SELECTION

THE ROLE OF SCREENING AND SIGNALING

GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION AND REGULATION

CONCLUSION: NAVIGATING THE INFORMATION GAP

## WHAT IS ADVERSE SELECTION?

ADVERSE SELECTION OCCURS WHEN A TRANSACTION IS DISPROPORTIONATELY INFLUENCED BY PARTICIPANTS WHO HAVE A GREATER INCENTIVE TO ENGAGE IN THAT TRANSACTION DUE TO PRIVATE INFORMATION THEY POSSESS. IN SIMPLER TERMS, IT'S A SCENARIO WHERE THE "BAD RISKS" ARE MORE LIKELY TO PARTICIPATE IN A TRANSACTION THAN THE "GOOD RISKS," LEADING TO AN IMBALANCE THAT CAN BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE PARTY ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE DEAL.

IMAGINE YOU'RE SELLING A USED CAR. YOU KNOW ITS TRUE CONDITION, INCLUDING ANY HIDDEN MECHANICAL ISSUES, BUT A POTENTIAL BUYER DOESN'T. IF YOU PRICE THE CAR BASED ON AN AVERAGE EXPECTATION OF ITS CONDITION, YOU MIGHT ATTRACT BUYERS WHO ARE MORE LIKELY TO HAVE THE CAR BREAK DOWN SOON AFTER PURCHASE BECAUSE THEY ARE WILLING TO PAY MORE FOR A POTENTIALLY PROBLEMATIC CAR THAN A WELL-INFORMED BUYER WOULD. THIS IS A RUDIMENTARY EXAMPLE OF ADVERSE SELECTION AT PLAY, WHERE THE SELLER'S PRIVATE INFORMATION ABOUT THE CAR'S CONDITION INFLUENCES WHO IS MOST LIKELY TO BUY IT AT A GIVEN PRICE.

THE FUNDAMENTAL ISSUE IS THAT THE PRICE OR TERMS OF THE TRANSACTION ARE SET WITHOUT FULL KNOWLEDGE OF THE UNDERLYING RISKS, LEADING TO A SELECTION BIAS. THIS BIAS FAVORS THE PARTY WITH SUPERIOR INFORMATION, OFTEN RESULTING IN HIGHER COSTS, LOWER QUALITY, OR EVEN MARKET COLLAPSE IF LEFT UNCHECKED.

## THE ROOT CAUSE: ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION

THE ENGINE DRIVING ADVERSE SELECTION IS ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION. THIS OCCURS WHEN ONE PARTY IN A POTENTIAL TRANSACTION POSSESSES INFORMATION THAT THE OTHER PARTY LACKS, AND THIS INFORMATION IS RELEVANT TO THE OUTCOME OF THE TRANSACTION. THIS INFORMATION IMBALANCE CAN BE ABOUT QUALITY, RISK, OR FUTURE INTENTIONS.

IN MANY ECONOMIC EXCHANGES, IT IS SIMPLY IMPOSSIBLE OR PROHIBITIVELY EXPENSIVE FOR ONE PARTY TO KNOW EVERYTHING ABOUT THE OTHER. FOR INSTANCE, WHEN YOU BUY A HEALTH INSURANCE POLICY, THE INSURANCE COMPANY CANNOT PERFECTLY

ASCERTAIN YOUR INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS. YOU KNOW YOUR LIFESTYLE, YOUR FAMILY'S MEDICAL HISTORY, AND YOUR PROPENSITY FOR ENGAGING IN RISKY BEHAVIORS FAR BETTER THAN THE INSURER DOES. THIS DISPARITY IN KNOWLEDGE CREATES AN ENVIRONMENT RIFE FOR ADVERSE SELECTION.

THIS INFORMATION GAP CAN LEAD TO A SITUATION WHERE THOSE WHO ANTICIPATE NEEDING THE SERVICE OR PRODUCT THE MOST (AND ARE THUS "HIGHER RISK") ARE MORE INCLINED TO PARTICIPATE, WHILE THOSE WHO ANTICIPATE NEEDING IT LESS (AND ARE THUS "LOWER RISK") ARE LESS INCLINED, ESPECIALLY IF THE PRICING REFLECTS AN AVERAGE RISK.

## How Adverse Selection Manifests in Different Markets

ADVERSE SELECTION ISN'T CONFINED TO A SINGLE INDUSTRY; ITS TENTACLES REACH ACROSS A WIDE ARRAY OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES. UNDERSTANDING ITS PRESENCE IN VARIOUS SECTORS HELPS ILLUSTRATE ITS PERVASIVE NATURE AND THE CHALLENGES IT POSES TO EFFICIENT MARKET FUNCTIONING.

THE CORE PROBLEM OF ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION, WHICH FUELS ADVERSE SELECTION, MEANS THAT MARKETS CAN STRUGGLE TO FUNCTION OPTIMALLY. WHEN ONE SIDE OF THE MARKET HAS A SIGNIFICANT INFORMATIONAL ADVANTAGE, IT CAN LEAD TO OUTCOMES THAT ARE NOT PARETO EFFICIENT, MEANING THAT RESOURCES ARE NOT ALLOCATED IN A WAY THAT BENEFITS EVERYONE WITHOUT MAKING SOMEONE WORSE OFF.

LET'S EXPLORE SOME OF THE MOST PROMINENT AREAS WHERE ADVERSE SELECTION PLAYS A SIGNIFICANT ROLE.

### ADVERSE SELECTION IN INSURANCE MARKETS

THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY IS PERHAPS THE MOST CLASSIC AND WIDELY STUDIED ARENA FOR ADVERSE SELECTION. INSURANCE, BY ITS VERY NATURE, RELIES ON POOLING RISK. INSURERS COLLECT PREMIUMS FROM A LARGE GROUP OF PEOPLE TO PAY FOR THE LOSSES OF A SMALLER SUBSET WHO EXPERIENCE THE INSURED-AGAINST EVENT.

THE CHALLENGE ARISES BECAUSE INDIVIDUALS KNOW MORE ABOUT THEIR OWN HEALTH, DRIVING HABITS, OR PROPENSITY FOR FILING CLAIMS THAN THE INSURANCE COMPANY DOES. FOR EXAMPLE, A PERSON WHO KNOWS THEY HAVE A PRE-EXISTING CONDITION THAT MAKES THEM HIGHLY LIKELY TO NEED EXPENSIVE MEDICAL TREATMENT WILL BE MUCH MORE MOTIVATED TO PURCHASE COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH INSURANCE THAN A YOUNG, HEALTHY INDIVIDUAL WHO RARELY GETS SICK.

IF AN INSURANCE COMPANY SETS ITS PREMIUMS BASED ON THE AVERAGE RISK OF THE GENERAL POPULATION, IT WILL FIND THAT THE INDIVIDUALS WHO PURCHASE THE POLICY ARE DISPROPORTIONATELY THOSE WITH HIGHER-THAN-AVERAGE RISK. THIS MEANS THE INSURER WILL LIKELY PAY OUT MORE IN CLAIMS THAN IT COLLECTS IN PREMIUMS, LEADING TO FINANCIAL LOSSES. IN EXTREME CASES, THIS CAN CAUSE INSURANCE COMPANIES TO FAIL OR SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASE PREMIUMS FOR EVERYONE, POTENTIALLY DRIVING LOWER-RISK INDIVIDUALS OUT OF THE MARKET ALTOGETHER – A PHENOMENON KNOWN AS "MARKET UNRAVELING."

### ADVERSE SELECTION IN LENDING AND FINANCE

LENDERS, LIKE INSURANCE COMPANIES, FACE THE PROBLEM OF ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION WHEN MAKING LOANS. A BORROWER KNOWS THEIR OWN FINANCIAL SITUATION, THEIR ABILITY TO REPAY, AND THEIR WILLINGNESS TO TAKE ON RISK MUCH BETTER THAN THE BANK DOES.

CONSIDER A SCENARIO WHERE A BANK OFFERS LOANS AT A SINGLE INTEREST RATE. BORROWERS WHO ARE MORE LIKELY TO DEFAULT ON THEIR LOANS (PERHAPS BECAUSE THEY ARE LESS FINANCIALLY RESPONSIBLE OR ARE UNDERTAKING RISKIER VENTURES) WILL BE MORE EAGER TO ACCEPT THE LOAN AT THAT RATE COMPARED TO BORROWERS WHO ARE VERY CONFIDENT IN THEIR ABILITY TO REPAY AND MIGHT FIND THE RATE TOO HIGH FOR THEIR CONSERVATIVE INVESTMENT PLANS.

THE LENDER, UNAWARE OF THESE DIFFERING RISK PROFILES, MIGHT END UP LENDING A DISPROPORTIONATE AMOUNT OF MONEY TO HIGHER-RISK INDIVIDUALS. THIS INCREASES THE LENDER'S EXPOSURE TO POTENTIAL LOSSES, FORCING THEM TO EITHER RAISE INTEREST RATES FOR ALL BORROWERS (POTENTIALLY PRICING OUT GOOD BORROWERS) OR TO TIGHTEN LENDING STANDARDS SIGNIFICANTLY, WHICH CAN STIFLE ECONOMIC ACTIVITY.

## ADVERSE SELECTION IN EMPLOYMENT

EVEN IN THE JOB MARKET, ADVERSE SELECTION CAN REAR ITS HEAD. WHEN AN EMPLOYER ADVERTISES A JOB OPENING, THEY HAVE LIMITED INFORMATION ABOUT THE TRUE SKILLS, WORK ETHIC, AND PRODUCTIVITY OF THE POOL OF APPLICANTS. JOB SEEKERS, ON THE OTHER HAND, POSSESS INTIMATE KNOWLEDGE OF THEIR OWN CAPABILITIES AND MOTIVATIONS.

THIS CAN LEAD TO A SITUATION WHERE LESS QUALIFIED OR LESS MOTIVATED CANDIDATES ARE MORE WILLING TO APPLY FOR A JOB AT A CERTAIN SALARY OR WITH A PARTICULAR JOB DESCRIPTION, ESPECIALLY IF THE EMPLOYER'S UNDERSTANDING OF THE REQUIRED SKILLS IS SOMEWHAT VAGUE. THE EMPLOYER MIGHT INADVERTENTLY ATTRACT INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE LESS PRODUCTIVE OR ARE MORE LIKELY TO LEAVE THE JOB QUICKLY IF THEY DON'T HAVE A STRONG ATTACHMENT TO THE ROLE OR COMPANY.

EMPLOYERS TRY TO COMBAT THIS THROUGH RIGOROUS SCREENING PROCESSES, INTERVIEWS, AND REFERENCE CHECKS, ALL AIMED AT REDUCING THE INFORMATION ASYMMETRY AND SELECTING THE BEST CANDIDATES FROM THE APPLICANT POOL.

## STRATEGIES TO MITIGATE ADVERSE SELECTION

RECOGNIZING THE DETRIMENTAL EFFECTS OF ADVERSE SELECTION, VARIOUS STRATEGIES HAVE BEEN DEVELOPED TO COMBAT ITS INFLUENCE AND FOSTER MORE BALANCED AND EFFICIENT MARKETS. THESE STRATEGIES GENERALLY AIM TO EITHER REDUCE THE INFORMATION ASYMMETRY OR TO ALIGN THE INCENTIVES OF THE PARTIES INVOLVED.

IT'S NOT ABOUT ELIMINATING ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION ENTIRELY, WHICH IS OFTEN IMPOSSIBLE. INSTEAD, IT'S ABOUT MANAGING ITS IMPACT AND ENSURING THAT THE BENEFITS OF A TRANSACTION CAN STILL BE REALIZED WITHOUT DISPROPORTIONATELY HARMING ONE PARTY.

LET'S EXPLORE SOME OF THE PRIMARY METHODS USED.

## THE ROLE OF SCREENING AND SIGNALING

SCREENING REFERS TO THE EFFORTS MADE BY THE LESS INFORMED PARTY TO GATHER MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE MORE INFORMED PARTY. THINK OF IT AS THE "DETECTIVE WORK" OF THE MARKET.

- **IN INSURANCE:** INSURERS USE MEDICAL EXAMS, QUESTIONNAIRES ABOUT LIFESTYLE AND HEALTH HISTORY, AND EVEN CREDIT SCORES TO ASSESS THE RISK LEVEL OF POTENTIAL POLICYHOLDERS. THIS ALLOWS THEM TO OFFER DIFFERENT POLICY TERMS OR PREMIUMS BASED ON THE INDIVIDUAL'S RISK PROFILE.
- **IN LENDING:** BANKS CONDUCT CREDIT CHECKS, REQUIRE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, AND PERFORM INCOME VERIFICATION TO GAUGE A BORROWER'S CREDITWORTHINESS AND ABILITY TO REPAY A LOAN.
- **IN EMPLOYMENT:** EMPLOYERS USE RESUMES, INTERVIEWS, SKILLS TESTS, AND BACKGROUND CHECKS TO SCREEN CANDIDATES AND IDENTIFY THE MOST SUITABLE INDIVIDUALS FOR A ROLE.

SIGNALING, ON THE OTHER HAND, IS WHEN THE MORE INFORMED PARTY TAKES ACTIONS TO CREDIBLY CONVEY THEIR PRIVATE INFORMATION TO THE LESS INFORMED PARTY. THIS IS LIKE A JOB APPLICANT OBTAINING A PRESTIGIOUS DEGREE OR CERTIFICATIONS TO SIGNAL THEIR COMPETENCE.

- **IN INSURANCE:** A HEALTHY INDIVIDUAL MIGHT SIGNAL THEIR LOW RISK BY OPTING FOR A HIGHER DEDUCTIBLE ON THEIR INSURANCE POLICY, KNOWING THEY ARE LESS LIKELY TO NEED TO MAKE A CLAIM.
- **IN EMPLOYMENT:** EARNING A DEGREE FROM A REPUTABLE UNIVERSITY OR OBTAINING PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATIONS CAN SIGNAL A CANDIDATE'S SKILLS AND DEDICATION TO A POTENTIAL EMPLOYER.

BOTH SCREENING AND SIGNALING ARE VITAL TOOLS IN COMBATING ADVERSE SELECTION, AS THEY WORK TO BRIDGE THE INFORMATION GAP THAT FUELS THE PROBLEM.

## GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION AND REGULATION

IN MANY CASES, PARTICULARLY IN AREAS LIKE HEALTHCARE AND FINANCE, GOVERNMENTS PLAY A SIGNIFICANT ROLE IN REGULATING MARKETS TO ADDRESS ADVERSE SELECTION. THIS INTERVENTION IS OFTEN DRIVEN BY THE IDEA THAT CERTAIN MARKETS ARE ESSENTIAL FOR SOCIETAL WELL-BEING AND REQUIRE A SAFETY NET TO ENSURE FAIR ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY.

- **MANDATORY INSURANCE PROGRAMS:** FOR EXAMPLE, MANDATORY CAR INSURANCE OR THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES INDIVIDUALS TO PURCHASE HEALTH INSURANCE. THIS BROADENS THE RISK POOL, FORCING HEALTHIER INDIVIDUALS TO PARTICIPATE AND THEREBY SUBSIDIZING THE COST FOR HIGHER-RISK INDIVIDUALS.
- **RISK ADJUSTMENT MECHANISMS:** IN HEALTH INSURANCE, RISK ADJUSTMENT PROGRAMS TRANSFER FUNDS BETWEEN INSURANCE COMPANIES BASED ON THE HEALTH STATUS OF THEIR ENROLLEES. THIS DISCOURAGES INSURERS FROM TRYING TO ATTRACT ONLY HEALTHY INDIVIDUALS AND PENALIZES THEM FOR ENROLLING SICKER ONES.
- **STANDARDIZED CONTRACTS AND DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS:** REGULATIONS CAN MANDATE CLEAR AND STANDARDIZED CONTRACT TERMS IN INSURANCE AND FINANCE, MAKING IT EASIER FOR CONSUMERS TO COMPARE OPTIONS AND UNDERSTAND THE RISKS INVOLVED.

GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION CAN BE A POWERFUL TOOL TO ENSURE THAT MARKETS DON'T UNRAVEL DUE TO ADVERSE SELECTION, ESPECIALLY WHEN MARKET FAILURES COULD LEAD TO SIGNIFICANT SOCIAL COSTS.

NAVIGATING THE COMPLEXITIES OF ADVERSE SELECTION REQUIRES A NUANCED UNDERSTANDING OF INFORMATION ASYMMETRY AND ITS ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES. BY EMPLOYING STRATEGIES LIKE SCREENING, SIGNALING, AND, WHEN NECESSARY, GOVERNMENT REGULATION, MARKETS CAN BECOME MORE STABLE, EQUITABLE, AND EFFICIENT, ENSURING THAT VALUABLE TRANSACTIONS CAN OCCUR FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL PARTICIPANTS.

## FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

### Q: WHAT IS THE MOST COMMON EXAMPLE OF ADVERSE SELECTION?

A: THE MOST FREQUENTLY CITED AND EASIEST-TO-UNDERSTAND EXAMPLE OF ADVERSE SELECTION OCCURS IN HEALTH INSURANCE MARKETS. INDIVIDUALS WHO KNOW THEY ARE AT HIGHER RISK OF NEEDING SIGNIFICANT MEDICAL CARE ARE MORE LIKELY TO PURCHASE COMPREHENSIVE INSURANCE PLANS THAN HEALTHIER INDIVIDUALS. THIS CAN LEAD TO INCREASED PREMIUMS FOR

EVERYONE.

### **Q: HOW DOES ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION LEAD TO ADVERSE SELECTION?**

A: ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION IS THE FUNDAMENTAL DRIVER OF ADVERSE SELECTION. WHEN ONE PARTY IN A TRANSACTION HAS PRIVATE INFORMATION ABOUT THEIR OWN RISK OR QUALITY THAT THE OTHER PARTY LACKS, IT CREATES AN IMBALANCE. THE PARTY WITH BETTER INFORMATION CAN USE IT TO THEIR ADVANTAGE, LEADING TO A SITUATION WHERE PARTICIPANTS WITH HIGHER RISK ARE MORE LIKELY TO ENGAGE IN THE TRANSACTION, DISADVANTAGING THE LESS INFORMED PARTY.

### **Q: CAN ADVERSE SELECTION CAUSE A MARKET TO FAIL COMPLETELY?**

A: YES, IN EXTREME CASES, ADVERSE SELECTION CAN LEAD TO MARKET FAILURE. IF PREMIUMS BECOME SO HIGH DUE TO THE CONCENTRATION OF HIGH-RISK INDIVIDUALS THAT LOW-RISK INDIVIDUALS CAN NO LONGER AFFORD OR JUSTIFY THE COST OF PARTICIPATION, THEY MAY EXIT THE MARKET. THIS FURTHER CONCENTRATES RISK, DRIVING PREMIUMS EVEN HIGHER, AND CAN EVENTUALLY LEAD TO THE MARKET BECOMING UNSUSTAINABLE AND COLLAPSING.

### **Q: WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ADVERSE SELECTION AND MORAL HAZARD?**

A: ADVERSE SELECTION OCCURS BEFORE A TRANSACTION TAKES PLACE, RELATING TO WHO CHOOSES TO PARTICIPATE BASED ON THEIR HIDDEN INFORMATION. MORAL HAZARD OCCURS AFTER A TRANSACTION, WHERE ONE PARTY CHANGES THEIR BEHAVIOR BECAUSE THEY ARE PROTECTED FROM THE FULL CONSEQUENCES OF THEIR ACTIONS (E.G., AN INSURED PERSON TAKING MORE RISKS BECAUSE THE INSURANCE COMPANY WILL COVER THE LOSSES).

### **Q: HOW DO COMPANIES TRY TO PREVENT ADVERSE SELECTION IN THEIR HIRING PROCESSES?**

A: COMPANIES USE VARIOUS SCREENING TECHNIQUES SUCH AS RESUMES, INTERVIEWS, SKILLS ASSESSMENTS, BACKGROUND CHECKS, AND REFERENCE CHECKS TO GATHER INFORMATION ABOUT CANDIDATES. THIS PROCESS HELPS THEM TO IDENTIFY THE MOST QUALIFIED AND SUITABLE APPLICANTS AND MITIGATE THE RISK OF HIRING SOMEONE WHO MAY NOT BE A GOOD FIT OR IS LESS PRODUCTIVE.

### **Q: WHAT IS "MARKET UNRAVELING" IN THE CONTEXT OF ADVERSE SELECTION?**

A: MARKET UNRAVELING IS A TERM USED TO DESCRIBE THE PROCESS BY WHICH A MARKET COLLAPSES DUE TO ADVERSE SELECTION. IT HAPPENS WHEN INCREASING PREMIUMS, DRIVEN BY THE DISPROPORTIONATE PARTICIPATION OF HIGH-RISK INDIVIDUALS, CAUSE LOW-RISK INDIVIDUALS TO LEAVE THE MARKET. THIS FURTHER CONCENTRATES RISK AND LEADS TO EVEN HIGHER PREMIUMS, EVENTUALLY MAKING THE MARKET UNSUSTAINABLE.

### **Q: ARE THERE ANY SITUATIONS WHERE ADVERSE SELECTION IS NOT A PROBLEM?**

A: ADVERSE SELECTION IS A PROBLEM WHEN IT LEADS TO INEFFICIENT OUTCOMES OR MARKET FAILURE. IN SITUATIONS WHERE INFORMATION ASYMMETRY IS MINIMAL OR WHERE PARTIES HAVE STRONG INCENTIVES TO REVEAL THEIR TRUE CHARACTERISTICS (E.G., THROUGH CREDIBLE SIGNALING), ADVERSE SELECTION MAY HAVE LESS OF AN IMPACT. HOWEVER, IN MOST TRANSACTIONAL SETTINGS, SOME DEGREE OF INFORMATION ASYMMETRY EXISTS, MAKING ADVERSE SELECTION A POTENTIAL CONCERN.

# **Adverse Selection Explained**

Adverse Selection Explained

## **Related Articles**

- [advocacy writing for environmental advocacy](#)
- [aerodynamics of wind energy systems](#)
- [advantages of solar energy for future generations usa](#)

[Back to Home](#)