

CITING PRODUCERS IN FILMS CHICAGO STYLE RESEARCH

THE IMPORTANCE OF CITING PRODUCERS IN FILMS: A CHICAGO STYLE RESEARCH GUIDE

CITING PRODUCERS IN FILMS CHICAGO STYLE RESEARCH IS A CRUCIAL ELEMENT FOR ACADEMIC AND SCHOLARLY WORK, ENSURING PROPER ATTRIBUTION AND ACKNOWLEDGING THE SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTIONS MADE TO CINEMATIC PRODUCTIONS. THIS COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE DELVES INTO THE INTRICACIES OF ACCURATELY REFERENCING FILM PRODUCERS WITHIN THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE (CMOS), A WIDELY ADOPTED CITATION SYSTEM IN THE HUMANITIES. UNDERSTANDING HOW TO CITE PRODUCERS CORRECTLY ENHANCES THE CREDIBILITY OF YOUR RESEARCH, ALLOWS READERS TO VERIFY YOUR SOURCES, AND DEMONSTRATES A THOROUGH GRASP OF FILM STUDIES AND ACADEMIC INTEGRITY. WE WILL EXPLORE THE FOUNDATIONAL PRINCIPLES OF CMOS FOR CITING AUDIOVISUAL MATERIALS, WITH A SPECIFIC FOCUS ON IDENTIFYING AND CREDITING THE ROLES OF PRODUCERS. ADDITIONALLY, THIS ARTICLE WILL COVER VARIOUS SCENARIOS, INCLUDING HOW TO CITE MULTIPLE PRODUCERS, PRODUCERS WITH SPECIFIC ROLES, AND THE NUANCES OF CITING OLDER OR LESS DOCUMENTED PRODUCTIONS. MASTERING THESE TECHNIQUES WILL EQUIP RESEARCHERS WITH THE NECESSARY SKILLS TO PRODUCE IMPECCABLY CITED ACADEMIC WORK ON CINEMA.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

UNDERSTANDING THE ROLE OF PRODUCERS IN FILM CITATIONS
CORE PRINCIPLES OF CHICAGO STYLE FOR AUDIOVISUAL MATERIALS
CITING A FILM WITH ONE PRODUCER
CITING A FILM WITH MULTIPLE PRODUCERS
IDENTIFYING AND CITING SPECIFIC PRODUCER ROLES
CITING FILMS FROM DIFFERENT ERAS AND FORMATS
PRACTICAL EXAMPLES FOR CITING PRODUCERS IN CHICAGO STYLE
COMMON CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS

UNDERSTANDING THE ROLE OF PRODUCERS IN FILM CITATIONS

THE PRODUCER IS A PIVOTAL FIGURE IN FILMMAKING, OFTEN OVERSEEING THE ENTIRE CREATIVE AND FINANCIAL ASPECTS OF A PRODUCTION FROM CONCEPTION TO DISTRIBUTION. THEIR INVOLVEMENT SPANS SCRIPT DEVELOPMENT, HIRING KEY PERSONNEL, MANAGING BUDGETS, AND ENSURING THE FILM'S ARTISTIC VISION IS REALIZED. IN ACADEMIC RESEARCH, ACKNOWLEDGING THE PRODUCER IS NOT MERELY A FORMALITY; IT REFLECTS AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPLEX COLLABORATIVE NATURE OF FILMMAKING. WHEN CITING A FILM, THE PRODUCER'S NAME IS A CRITICAL PIECE OF INFORMATION THAT SITUATES THE WORK WITHIN ITS PRODUCTION CONTEXT, MUCH LIKE CREDITING THE DIRECTOR OR SCREENWRITER.

WITHIN CHICAGO STYLE, THE EMPHASIS IS ON PROVIDING SUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR A READER TO LOCATE AND VERIFY THE CITED WORK. THIS MEANS THAT WHEN A PRODUCER'S CONTRIBUTION IS CENTRAL TO YOUR ARGUMENT OR WHEN YOU ARE ANALYZING THE PRODUCER'S INFLUENCE, THEIR INCLUSION IN THE CITATION BECOMES PARAMOUNT. FAILING TO CITE PRODUCERS ACCURATELY CAN LEAD TO INCOMPLETE OR MISLEADING REFERENCES, POTENTIALLY UNDERMINING THE RIGOR OF YOUR RESEARCH. THIS SECTION SETS THE STAGE FOR UNDERSTANDING WHY THESE INDIVIDUALS ARE IMPORTANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE IN SCHOLARLY CONTEXTS.

CORE PRINCIPLES OF CHICAGO STYLE FOR AUDIOVISUAL MATERIALS

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE PROVIDES GUIDELINES FOR CITING A VARIETY OF MEDIA, INCLUDING FILMS. THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLE IS TO PROVIDE ENOUGH DETAIL FOR A READER TO IDENTIFY THE SPECIFIC WORK AND ITS CREATORS. FOR FILMS, THIS TYPICALLY INCLUDES THE TITLE, THE DIRECTOR, THE PRODUCTION COMPANY, AND THE YEAR OF RELEASE. WHEN DEALING WITH PRODUCERS, THE GENERAL RULE IS TO INCLUDE THEM WHEN THEY ARE CLEARLY IDENTIFIED AS THE PRIMARY RESPONSIBLE PARTY FOR THE FILM'S CREATION, ESPECIALLY IF THE CONTEXT OF YOUR RESEARCH NECESSITATES HIGHLIGHTING THEIR ROLE.

THE FORMAT FOR AUDIOVISUAL CITATIONS IN CMOS GENERALLY FOLLOWS A PATTERN THAT PRIORITIZES THE MOST

ACCESSIBLE OR SIGNIFICANT CREATORS. WHILE DIRECTORS ARE OFTEN THE MOST COMMONLY CITED FIGURE AFTER THE TITLE, PRODUCERS ARE ALSO CONSIDERED KEY CONTRIBUTORS. THE SPECIFIC PLACEMENT AND FORMAT CAN VARY SLIGHTLY DEPENDING ON WHETHER YOU ARE USING FOOTNOTES/ENDNOTES OR A BIBLIOGRAPHY, BUT THE CORE INFORMATION REMAINS CONSISTENT. UNDERSTANDING THESE CORE PRINCIPLES IS THE BEDROCK UPON WHICH ACCURATE PRODUCER CITATIONS ARE BUILT.

FOOTNOTES AND ENDNOTES FOR FILMS

IN FOOTNOTES AND ENDNOTES, THE CITATION OFTEN BEGINS WITH THE FILM'S TITLE, FOLLOWED BY THE DIRECTOR, AND THEN THE PRODUCER(S). THE PRODUCTION COMPANY AND RELEASE YEAR ARE ALSO INCLUDED. FOR INSTANCE, A FOOTNOTE MIGHT LOOK LIKE THIS: *THE GODFATHER*, DIRECTED BY FRANCIS FORD COPPOLA, PRODUCED BY ALBERT S. RUDDY (PARAMOUNT PICTURES, 1972).

BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRIES FOR FILMS

BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRIES ARE TYPICALLY ARRANGED ALPHABETICALLY BY THE LAST NAME OF THE AUTHOR (OR IN THE CASE OF FILMS, OFTEN BY TITLE OR DIRECTOR). THE FORMAT IS SIMILAR TO FOOTNOTES BUT MAY BE SLIGHTLY MORE CONDENSED. A BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRY FOR THE SAME FILM MIGHT APPEAR AS: COPPOLA, FRANCIS FORD, DIRECTOR. *THE GODFATHER*. PRODUCED BY ALBERT S. RUDDY. PARAMOUNT PICTURES, 1972.

CITING A FILM WITH ONE PRODUCER

WHEN A FILM HAS A SINGLE, CLEARLY IDENTIFIED PRODUCER, INCORPORATING THEIR NAME INTO THE CITATION IS STRAIGHTFORWARD. THE PRIMARY GOAL IS TO ENSURE THE PRODUCER'S CREDIT IS PRESENTED ACCURATELY ACCORDING TO CMOS CONVENTIONS. THIS OFTEN INVOLVES PLACING THE PRODUCER'S NAME AFTER THE DIRECTOR BUT BEFORE THE PRODUCTION COMPANY AND YEAR, ESPECIALLY IN FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES. THE BIBLIOGRAPHY FORMAT WILL REQUIRE AN INVERSION OF THE NAME AND A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT STRUCTURE, BUT THE PRODUCER REMAINS A KEY ELEMENT.

THE SPECIFIC PHRASING CAN DEPEND ON THE EXACT EMPHASIS OF YOUR RESEARCH. IF YOU ARE ANALYZING THE PRODUCER'S SINGULAR VISION OR IMPACT, EXPLICITLY STATING "PRODUCED BY" FOLLOWED BY THEIR NAME IS APPROPRIATE. THIS LEVEL OF DETAIL DISTINGUISHES YOUR WORK AND DEMONSTRATES A NUANCED UNDERSTANDING OF FILM PRODUCTION ROLES.

EXAMPLE IN FOOTNOTE/ENDNOTE

FOR A FILM WITH ONE PRODUCER, A FOOTNOTE OR ENDNOTE ENTRY WOULD TYPICALLY READ:

- FILM TITLE, DIRECTED BY DIRECTOR'S NAME, PRODUCED BY PRODUCER'S NAME, PRODUCTION COMPANY, YEAR OF RELEASE.

FOR EXAMPLE: *CASABLANCA*, DIRECTED BY MICHAEL CURTIZ, PRODUCED BY HAL B. WALLIS, WARNER BROS., 1942.

EXAMPLE IN BIBLIOGRAPHY

IN THE BIBLIOGRAPHY, THE ENTRY WOULD BE STRUCTURED TO FACILITATE ALPHABETICAL ORDERING, USUALLY BY DIRECTOR'S LAST NAME, BUT THE PRODUCER'S CREDIT IS STILL ESSENTIAL:

- CURTIZ, MICHAEL, DIRECTOR. CASABLANCA. PRODUCED BY HAL B. WALLIS. WARNER BROS., 1942.

CITING A FILM WITH MULTIPLE PRODUCERS

MANY CONTEMPORARY FILMS FEATURE MULTIPLE PRODUCERS, OFTEN WITH DIFFERENT LEVELS OF INVOLVEMENT OR SPECIFIC TITLES (E.G., EXECUTIVE PRODUCER, CO-PRODUCER). CHICAGO STYLE OFFERS GUIDANCE ON HOW TO HANDLE THESE SITUATIONS. WHEN CITING A FILM WITH MULTIPLE PRODUCERS, YOU SHOULD LIST THEM ALL IF THEIR ROLES ARE SIMILAR OR IF THEY ARE ALL CREDITED AS PRIMARY PRODUCERS. THE ORDER IN WHICH THEY ARE LISTED CAN SOMETIMES FOLLOW THE ON-SCREEN CREDITS, OR THEY MAY BE LISTED ALPHABETICALLY IF NO CLEAR HIERARCHY IS ESTABLISHED.

IT IS CRUCIAL TO ACCURATELY CAPTURE ALL CREDITED PRODUCERS TO AVOID OMITTING SIGNIFICANT FIGURES IN THE FILM'S CREATION. THIS IS ESPECIALLY IMPORTANT IF YOUR RESEARCH FOCUSES ON THE COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS OF PRODUCERS OR THE IMPACT OF A SPECIFIC PRODUCING TEAM. THE KEY IS TO BE THOROUGH AND CONSISTENT IN YOUR APPROACH.

LISTING MULTIPLE PRODUCERS IN FOOTNOTES/ENDNOTES

WHEN MULTIPLE PRODUCERS SHARE THE PRIMARY PRODUCING CREDIT, THEY ARE LISTED SEQUENTIALLY. THE CONNECTOR "AND" IS TYPICALLY USED BEFORE THE FINAL PRODUCER'S NAME. FOR EXAMPLE:

- THE LORD OF THE RINGS: THE FELLOWSHIP OF THE RING, DIRECTED BY PETER JACKSON, PRODUCED BY BARRIE M. OSBORNE, PETER JACKSON, FRAN WALSH, AND RICK PORRAS, NEW LINE CINEMA, 2001.

BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRIES FOR MULTIPLE PRODUCERS

THE BIBLIOGRAPHY FORMAT WILL ALSO LIST ALL CREDITED PRODUCERS. THE CONVENTION IS TO LIST THEM AFTER THE DIRECTOR AND BEFORE THE PRODUCTION COMPANY AND YEAR, MAINTAINING THE ORDER AS SEEN IN THE ON-SCREEN CREDITS OR IN A CONSISTENT, LOGICAL MANNER IF CREDITS ARE AMBIGUOUS.

- JACKSON, PETER, DIRECTOR. THE LORD OF THE RINGS: THE FELLOWSHIP OF THE RING. PRODUCED BY BARRIE M. OSBORNE, PETER JACKSON, FRAN WALSH, AND RICK PORRAS. NEW LINE CINEMA, 2001.

IDENTIFYING AND CITING SPECIFIC PRODUCER ROLES

FILMMAKING OFTEN INVOLVES VARIOUS PRODUCER ROLES BEYOND THE GENERAL "PRODUCER," SUCH AS EXECUTIVE PRODUCER, ASSOCIATE PRODUCER, OR CO-PRODUCER. CHICAGO STYLE RECOMMENDS INCLUDING THESE SPECIFIC ROLES WHEN THEY ARE SIGNIFICANT TO YOUR RESEARCH OR WHEN THEY ARE PROMINENTLY CREDITED. IDENTIFYING THE PRECISE ROLE OF EACH INDIVIDUAL ALLOWS FOR A MORE NUANCED ANALYSIS OF THE PRODUCTION HIERARCHY AND THE SPECIFIC CONTRIBUTIONS MADE TO THE FILM.

WHEN CITING A FILM WITH INDIVIDUALS HOLDING DISTINCT PRODUCER TITLES, IT IS BEST TO INCLUDE THESE TITLES WITHIN THE CITATION. THIS ADDS CLARITY AND PRECISION, ENSURING THAT THE READER UNDERSTANDS THE DIFFERENT CAPACITIES IN WHICH THESE INDIVIDUALS CONTRIBUTED TO THE FILM'S REALIZATION. THIS DETAIL IS ESPECIALLY VALUABLE WHEN DISCUSSING THE DIVISION OF LABOR IN FILM PRODUCTION OR THE INFLUENCE OF EXECUTIVE PRODUCERS WHO MAY HAVE FACILITATED FUNDING OR DEVELOPMENT.

FOOTNOTES/ENDNOTES WITH DIFFERENTIATED ROLES

IN FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES, YOU CAN SPECIFY THE ROLES. FOR EXAMPLE:

- NO COUNTRY FOR OLD MEN, DIRECTED BY JOEL AND ETHAN COEN, PRODUCED BY SCOTT RUDIN, EXECUTIVE PRODUCERS MARK D. SCHOLES AND ROBERT GRAF, MIRAMAX FILMS, 2007.

NOTE THAT THE PRIMARY PRODUCERS ARE LISTED WITH "PRODUCED BY," AND EXECUTIVE PRODUCERS ARE SPECIFIED WITH "EXECUTIVE PRODUCERS."

BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRIES WITH DIFFERENTIATED ROLES

THE BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRY WOULD SIMILARLY REFLECT THESE SPECIFIC ROLES:

- COEN, JOEL, AND ETHAN COEN, DIRECTORS. NO COUNTRY FOR OLD MEN. PRODUCED BY SCOTT RUDIN, EXECUTIVE PRODUCERS MARK D. SCHOLES AND ROBERT GRAF. MIRAMAX FILMS, 2007.

CITING FILMS FROM DIFFERENT ERAS AND FORMATS

THE PROCESS OF CITING PRODUCERS IN FILMS CAN BECOME MORE COMPLEX WHEN DEALING WITH OLDER PRODUCTIONS OR FILMS RELEASED IN DIFFERENT FORMATS (E.G., SILENT FILMS, TELEVISION FILMS, DOCUMENTARIES). CHICAGO STYLE'S PRINCIPLES REMAIN CONSISTENT: AIM FOR CLARITY AND VERIFIABILITY. FOR OLDER FILMS, PRODUCER CREDITS MIGHT BE LESS CONSISTENTLY DOCUMENTED OR ATTRIBUTED. IN SUCH CASES, RELY ON THE MOST AUTHORITATIVE AND READILY AVAILABLE SOURCES FOR CREDIT INFORMATION.

DOCUMENTARIES, IN PARTICULAR, OFTEN HAVE INTRICATE PRODUCTION CREDITS, WITH NUMEROUS INDIVIDUALS CONTRIBUTING IN VARIOUS PRODUCING CAPACITIES. THE KEY IS TO CONSULT THE FILM'S OPENING OR CLOSING CREDITS, RELIABLE FILM DATABASES (LIKE IMDB, THOUGH ALWAYS CROSS-REFERENCED), OR SCHOLARLY ARTICLES ON THE FILM FOR ACCURATE PRODUCER INFORMATION. THE FORMAT SHOULD ADAPT TO CLEARLY PRESENT THE AVAILABLE INFORMATION.

DOCUMENTARIES AND PRODUCER CREDITS

DOCUMENTARIES CAN HAVE MANY INDIVIDUALS FULFILLING PRODUCER ROLES, INCLUDING THOSE RESPONSIBLE FOR RESEARCH, FIELDWORK, AND FINANCING. IT IS ESSENTIAL TO CAPTURE THESE CREDITS ACCURATELY. FOR EXAMPLE:

- HOOP DREAMS, DIRECTED BY STEVE JAMES, PRODUCED BY FREDERICK MARX, STEVE JAMES, AND PETER GILBERT, KARTEMQUIN FILMS, 1994.

OLDER FILMS AND VARIATIONS IN CREDITS

FOR FILMS FROM THE EARLY TO MID-20TH CENTURY, PRODUCER CREDITS MIGHT BE LESS STANDARDIZED. IF A FILM HAS A SINGLE INDIVIDUAL CREDITED WITH OVERSEEING THE PRODUCTION AND THE TERM "PRODUCER" IS USED, CITE THEM ACCORDINGLY. IF THE TERMINOLOGY DIFFERS, USE THE CLOSEST EQUIVALENT CREDIT THAT ACCURATELY REFLECTS THEIR ROLE IN OVERSEEING THE

PROJECT.

- CITIZEN KANE, DIRECTED BY ORSON WELLES, PRODUCED BY ORSON WELLES, MERCURY PRODUCTIONS, 1941.

IN THIS CASE, WELLES IS CREDITED AS BOTH DIRECTOR AND PRODUCER, WHICH IS COMMON FOR CERTAIN AUTEUR-DRIVEN FILMS. CITE THEM AS THEY APPEAR IN THE FILM'S PRIMARY CREDITS.

PRACTICAL EXAMPLES FOR CITING PRODUCERS IN CHICAGO STYLE

TO SOLIDIFY UNDERSTANDING, LET'S REVIEW A FEW MORE PRACTICAL EXAMPLES DEMONSTRATING CHICAGO STYLE FOR CITING PRODUCERS IN VARIOUS SCENARIOS. THESE EXAMPLES HIGHLIGHT CONSISTENCY IN APPLYING THE RULES, WHETHER DEALING WITH A SINGLE PRODUCER, MULTIPLE PRODUCERS, OR SPECIFIC PRODUCER ROLES. ATTENTION TO DETAIL IN THESE EXAMPLES WILL HELP RESEARCHERS AVOID COMMON PITFALLS.

THE FOLLOWING EXAMPLES ARE INTENDED TO SERVE AS TEMPLATES. ALWAYS REFER TO THE MOST CURRENT EDITION OF THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE FOR DEFINITIVE GUIDANCE, AS CITATION PRACTICES CAN EVOLVE. THE CONSISTENT APPLICATION OF THESE PRINCIPLES IS KEY TO PRODUCING HIGH-QUALITY, WELL-ATTRIBUTED RESEARCH.

EXAMPLE 1: STANDARD FEATURE FILM

- FOOTNOTE/ENDNOTE: PARASITE, DIRECTED BY BONG JOON HO, PRODUCED BY KWAK SIN-AE AND MOON YANG-KWON, BARUNSON E&A, 2019.
- BIBLIOGRAPHY: BONG JOON HO, DIRECTOR. PARASITE. PRODUCED BY KWAK SIN-AE AND MOON YANG-KWON. BARUNSON E&A, 2019.

EXAMPLE 2: FILM WITH AN EXECUTIVE PRODUCER HIGHLIGHTED

- FOOTNOTE/ENDNOTE: SELMA, DIRECTED BY AVA DUVERNAY, PRODUCED BY CHRISTIAN COLSON, EXECUTIVE PRODUCER OPRAH WINFREY, PATHFILMS, 2014.
- BIBLIOGRAPHY: DUVERNAY, AVA, DIRECTOR. SELMA. PRODUCED BY CHRISTIAN COLSON, EXECUTIVE PRODUCER OPRAH WINFREY. PATHFILMS, 2014.

EXAMPLE 3: OLDER FILM WITH CLEAR PRODUCER CREDIT

- FOOTNOTE/ENDNOTE: IT HAPPENED ONE NIGHT, DIRECTED BY FRANK CAPRA, PRODUCED BY HARRY COHN, COLUMBIA PICTURES, 1934.
- BIBLIOGRAPHY: COHN, HARRY, PRODUCER. IT HAPPENED ONE NIGHT. DIRECTED BY FRANK CAPRA. COLUMBIA PICTURES, 1934.

NOTE: IN SOME OLDER FILM CITATIONS, PARTICULARLY WHEN THE PRODUCER'S ROLE WAS EXCEPTIONALLY DOMINANT OR WHEN CITING A PRODUCER-DRIVEN STUDIO SYSTEM, THE PRODUCER MIGHT BE LISTED FIRST IN THE BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRY, FOLLOWED BY THE DIRECTOR. HOWEVER, THE PREVAILING CMOS CONVENTION OFTEN PRIORITIZES THE DIRECTOR UNLESS THE PRODUCER IS THE PRIMARY FOCUS OF THE ANALYSIS AND IS EXPLICITLY LISTED AS THE PRIMARY CREDITED AUTHOR OF THE WORK.

COMMON CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS

RESEARCHERS OFTEN ENCOUNTER CHALLENGES WHEN ATTEMPTING TO CITE PRODUCERS IN FILMS, PARTICULARLY REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY AND CLARITY OF INFORMATION. ONE COMMON ISSUE IS INCONSISTENT OR MISSING PRODUCER CREDITS IN ONLINE DATABASES OR OLDER FILM PRINTS. WHEN FACED WITH SUCH DISCREPANCIES, IT IS ADVISABLE TO CONSULT MULTIPLE REPUTABLE SOURCES, SUCH AS ACADEMIC FILM JOURNALS, SCHOLARLY BOOKS ON FILM PRODUCTION, OR OFFICIAL FILM ARCHIVES, TO VERIFY THE CREDITS. CROSS-REFERENCING IS YOUR BEST DEFENSE AGAINST MISINFORMATION.

ANOTHER CHALLENGE ARISES WITH FILMS THAT HAVE COMPLEX OR EVOLVING PRODUCTION CREDITS. FOR INSTANCE, SOME FILMS MIGHT HAVE DIFFERENT INDIVIDUALS CREDITED AS PRODUCERS AT VARIOUS STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT VERSUS FINAL RELEASE. IN THESE CASES, IT IS BEST PRACTICE TO CITE THE INDIVIDUALS CREDITED IN THE FINAL RELEASED VERSION OF THE FILM, AS THIS REPRESENTS THE OFFICIALLY RECOGNIZED PRODUCTION TEAM. IF YOUR RESEARCH SPECIFICALLY INVESTIGATES PRE-PRODUCTION OR DEVELOPMENT, YOU MAY NEED TO NOTE THIS DISTINCTION IN YOUR TEXT OR IN A MORE DETAILED CITATION, BUT FOR STANDARD CITATIONS, THE FINAL CREDITS PREVAIL.

INCONSISTENT CREDITS ONLINE

IF ONLINE SOURCES LIKE IMDB PRESENT CONFLICTING INFORMATION ABOUT PRODUCER CREDITS, PRIORITIZE SOURCES THAT ARE MORE AUTHORITATIVE FOR ACADEMIC CITATION. THIS MIGHT INCLUDE ACADEMIC DATABASES, UNIVERSITY LIBRARY RESOURCES, OR OFFICIAL STUDIO ARCHIVES IF ACCESSIBLE. ALWAYS AIM FOR THE MOST OFFICIAL AND VERIFIABLE CREDIT INFORMATION.

DETERMINING THE PRIMARY PRODUCER

IN FILMS WITH MANY INDIVIDUALS CREDITED IN PRODUCING ROLES, IDENTIFYING THE "PRIMARY" PRODUCER FOR CITATION CAN BE AMBIGUOUS. CHICAGO STYLE GENERALLY ADVISES LISTING ALL INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE CREDITED AS "PRODUCER" OR "EXECUTIVE PRODUCER" IF THEY APPEAR TO HAVE HAD SIGNIFICANT OVERSIGHT. IF YOUR RESEARCH FOCUSES ON A SPECIFIC ASPECT OF PRODUCTION, YOU MIGHT CHOOSE TO HIGHLIGHT A PARTICULAR PRODUCER AND EXPLAIN THEIR ROLE IN YOUR TEXT WHILE STILL PROVIDING A COMPREHENSIVE CITATION.

Q: HOW DO I CITE AN EXECUTIVE PRODUCER IN CHICAGO STYLE IF THE FILM ALSO HAS A PRIMARY PRODUCER?

A: WHEN CITING A FILM WITH BOTH A PRIMARY PRODUCER AND AN EXECUTIVE PRODUCER IN CHICAGO STYLE, YOU SHOULD LIST BOTH INDIVIDUALS AND CLEARLY IDENTIFY THEIR RESPECTIVE ROLES. IN FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES, THIS CAN BE DONE BY STATING "PRODUCED BY [PRIMARY PRODUCER'S NAME], EXECUTIVE PRODUCER [EXECUTIVE PRODUCER'S NAME]." FOR BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRIES, THE FORMAT REMAINS SIMILAR, ENSURING CLARITY OF EACH INDIVIDUAL'S CONTRIBUTION.

Q: WHAT IF A FILM HAS A VERY LONG LIST OF CO-PRODUCERS? DO I NEED TO LIST ALL

OF THEM?

A: CHICAGO STYLE GENERALLY RECOMMENDS LISTING ALL CREDITED PRODUCERS IF THEY APPEAR TO HAVE HAD SIGNIFICANT OVERSIGHT OR IF THEIR ROLES ARE CENTRAL TO YOUR RESEARCH. HOWEVER, IF A FILM HAS AN EXCEPTIONALLY EXTENSIVE LIST OF CO-PRODUCERS AND YOUR RESEARCH DOES NOT SPECIFICALLY FOCUS ON THEIR COLLECTIVE CONTRIBUTIONS, YOU MAY REFER TO THE MOST PROMINENT ONES OR USE A PHRASE LIKE "AND OTHERS" WITH AN EXPLANATION IN YOUR TEXT OR A MORE DETAILED FOOTNOTE/ENDNOTE REFERENCING THE FULL LIST ELSEWHERE. ALWAYS PRIORITIZE ACCURACY AND THOROUGHNESS WHEN POSSIBLE.

Q: HOW DO I CITE A FILM WHEN THE PRODUCER IS ALSO THE DIRECTOR?

A: IF AN INDIVIDUAL IS CREDITED AS BOTH THE DIRECTOR AND THE PRODUCER OF A FILM, YOU SHOULD LIST THEM IN BOTH CAPACITIES. IN FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES, YOU MIGHT STATE "DIRECTED AND PRODUCED BY [NAME]." IN BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRIES, THEIR NAME WILL APPEAR, FOLLOWED BY "DIRECTOR" AND THEN THE CREDIT FOR PRODUCTION. FOR EXAMPLE: "[NAME], DIRECTOR AND PRODUCER."

Q: WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CITING A FILM IN FOOTNOTES VERSUS THE BIBLIOGRAPHY IN CHICAGO STYLE REGARDING PRODUCERS?

A: THE CORE INFORMATION FOR CITING PRODUCERS REMAINS THE SAME IN BOTH FOOTNOTES/ENDNOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRIES. THE PRIMARY DIFFERENCE LIES IN THE FORMATTING AND THE PURPOSE. FOOTNOTES AND ENDNOTES ARE TYPICALLY MORE DESCRIPTIVE AND PROVIDE IMMEDIATE CITATION FOR SPECIFIC PASSAGES. BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRIES ARE MORE CONDENSED AND ARE ARRANGED ALPHABETICALLY FOR A COMPREHENSIVE LIST OF SOURCES. IN BOTH, HOWEVER, PRODUCER CREDITS ARE INCLUDED TO ACCURATELY ATTRIBUTE THE WORK.

Q: ARE THERE SPECIFIC GUIDELINES FOR CITING PRODUCERS OF TELEVISION SERIES EPISODES IN CHICAGO STYLE?

A: YES, CHICAGO STYLE HAS SPECIFIC GUIDELINES FOR CITING TELEVISION SERIES EPISODES, WHICH ARE SIMILAR TO CITING FILMS BUT OFTEN INCLUDE THE EPISODE TITLE, SEASON, AND EPISODE NUMBERS. PRODUCER CREDITS FOR INDIVIDUAL EPISODES SHOULD BE INCLUDED IF THEY ARE RELEVANT TO YOUR RESEARCH, FOLLOWING THE SAME PRINCIPLES OF CLEAR ATTRIBUTION AND IDENTIFICATION OF ROLES AS WITH FEATURE FILMS.

Q: WHAT IF THE PRODUCER'S NAME IS DIFFICULT TO VERIFY OR SEEMS UNUSUAL?

A: WHEN ENCOUNTERING PRODUCER NAMES THAT ARE DIFFICULT TO VERIFY, IT IS CRUCIAL TO CONSULT MULTIPLE REPUTABLE SOURCES. ACADEMIC FILM DATABASES, SCHOLARLY ARTICLES, AND OFFICIAL FILM ARCHIVES ARE MORE RELIABLE THAN USER-GENERATED CONTENT. IF DEFINITIVE CONFIRMATION REMAINS ELUSIVE, NOTE THE DISCREPANCY IN YOUR RESEARCH AND CITE THE NAME AS IT APPEARS IN THE MOST AUTHORITATIVE SOURCE YOU CAN FIND, POTENTIALLY ADDING A BRIEF EXPLANATORY NOTE.

Q: SHOULD I CITE PRODUCERS FOR SHORT FILMS USING THE SAME CHICAGO STYLE AS FEATURE FILMS?

A: THE PRINCIPLES FOR CITING PRODUCERS IN SHORT FILMS ARE THE SAME AS FOR FEATURE FILMS. ENSURE YOU IDENTIFY THE DIRECTOR AND ALL CREDITED PRODUCERS, INCLUDING EXECUTIVE PRODUCERS, AND FOLLOW THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE FORMATTING FOR AUDIOVISUAL MATERIALS. THE KEY IS TO PROVIDE COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ATTRIBUTION FOR THE CREATORS OF THE WORK.

Q: HOW DOES CHICAGO STYLE HANDLE THE CITATION OF PRODUCERS FOR SILENT

FILMS?

A: FOR SILENT FILMS, PRODUCER CREDITS MAY NOT ALWAYS BE AS PROMINENT OR CLEARLY DEFINED AS IN LATER ERAS. HOWEVER, IF A PRODUCER IS CREDITED, THEIR NAME SHOULD BE INCLUDED FOLLOWING CHICAGO STYLE CONVENTIONS. CONSULT AUTHORITATIVE FILM HISTORY RESOURCES TO DETERMINE THE CREDITED PRODUCER(S) AND THEIR SPECIFIC ROLES IN THE PRODUCTION OF SILENT FILMS.

Citing Producers In Films Chicago Style Research

Citing Producers In Films Chicago Style Research

Related Articles

- [cholesterol and sleep quality heart health](#)
- [citation style tips](#)
- [circulatory process simple](#)

[Back to Home](#)