

chicago style illustration citation

Mastering the Chicago Style Illustration Citation: A Comprehensive Guide

chicago style illustration citation is a crucial aspect of academic and professional writing, ensuring proper attribution and avoiding plagiarism when incorporating visual elements into your work. This guide delves into the intricacies of citing illustrations, figures, charts, and other visual media according to the Chicago Manual of Style (CMOS), a widely respected citation system. We will explore the fundamental principles of Chicago style for illustrations, differentiate between notes and bibliography entries, and provide detailed instructions for citing various types of visual content, from photographs and paintings to maps and digital graphics. Understanding these elements is paramount for researchers, students, and anyone who needs to present visual information accurately and ethically within the Chicago framework.

What is a Chicago Style Illustration Citation?

Key Components of a Chicago Style Illustration Citation

Chicago Style Illustration Citation: Notes System vs. Bibliography System

Citing Specific Types of Illustrations in Chicago Style

Photographs and Artwork

Maps and Diagrams

Charts and Graphs

Digital Images and Online Illustrations

Illustrations from Books and Journals

Citing Illustrations with Unknown Authorship or Publication Dates

Tips for Accurate Chicago Style Illustration Citation

What is a Chicago Style Illustration Citation?

A Chicago style illustration citation is a formal method of crediting the source of any visual material used within a document that adheres to the guidelines of the Chicago Manual of Style. This includes, but is not limited to, photographs, paintings, drawings, charts, graphs, maps, and digital images. Proper citation acknowledges the creator's intellectual property and allows readers to locate the original source for further study or verification. Failing to cite illustrations can lead to accusations of plagiarism, undermining the credibility of your work and potentially incurring academic or professional repercussions.

The Chicago Manual of Style offers two primary citation systems: the notes-bibliography system and the author-date system. While both systems are comprehensive, this guide will primarily focus on the nuances of citing illustrations within the notes-bibliography system, which is more commonly employed in the humanities and arts, and often preferred for detailed scholarly work. The principles, however, remain consistent in ensuring clear attribution and source identification.

Key Components of a Chicago Style Illustration Citation

Regardless of the specific type of illustration or the chosen citation system within Chicago style, several core components are typically required for a complete and accurate citation. These elements work together to provide readers with enough information to identify and locate the original source of the visual material. Understanding these components is the first step toward mastering Chicago style illustration citation.

Essential Information for Attribution

The essential information needed for a Chicago style illustration citation includes the creator of the work, the title of the illustration (if applicable), the date of creation or publication, and the source from which the illustration was accessed. Each piece of information plays a vital role in identifying the visual asset and its origin. When a piece of information is unavailable, standard practices for handling

omissions within Chicago style should be followed.

The Role of Captions and Figure Numbers

In addition to formal citations, Chicago style often utilizes captions to identify illustrations within the text. These captions are typically numbered sequentially (e.g., Figure 1, Figure 2) and include a brief description of the illustration's content. The caption serves as a direct link to the formal citation, which will appear either in a footnote/endnote or in the bibliography. The clarity and consistency of these captions are crucial for reader comprehension.

Chicago Style Illustration Citation: Notes System vs. Bibliography System

The Chicago Manual of Style presents two main approaches to citation: the notes-bibliography system and the author-date system. When citing illustrations, the application of these systems differs in where the full citation information is presented. Understanding these distinctions is fundamental to applying Chicago style correctly.

Notes–Bibliography System for Illustrations

In the notes-bibliography system, a brief note (footnote or endnote) is placed at the end of the sentence or clause containing the reference to the illustration. This note contains essential citation details, often with a shortened format if the source has been cited before. A full citation for each illustration will also appear in the bibliography at the end of the document. This method provides readers with immediate, localized information and a comprehensive overview of all sources.

A typical first note for an illustration might include the artist/creator, the title of the artwork, the date, and the publication details, including page or figure number. Subsequent notes for the same illustration can be abbreviated. The bibliography entry will provide the complete bibliographic details for the illustration and its source.

Author-Date System for Illustrations

While the notes-bibliography system is more common for citing visual art and illustrations in many disciplines, the author-date system is also a valid option within Chicago style, particularly in fields like social sciences. In this system, parenthetical in-text citations are used, typically including the author's last name and the year of publication. Corresponding full citations are then listed in a reference list at the end of the paper. For illustrations, this might involve referencing a specific figure number within the author-date citation.

The key difference lies in the emphasis: notes-bibliography emphasizes detailed bibliographic information and attribution through footnotes/endnotes and a bibliography, while author-date prioritizes chronological ordering and quick source identification through in-text parentheticals and a reference list.

Citing Specific Types of Illustrations in Chicago Style

The complexity of citing illustrations often arises from the diversity of visual media. Chicago style provides guidelines for various types of visual content, each requiring specific attention to detail to ensure accuracy and completeness. The core principle remains consistent: provide enough information for the reader to find the original source.

Photographs and Artwork

When citing photographs, paintings, sculptures, or other forms of fine art, it is crucial to identify the artist or photographer, the title of the artwork, the medium, the dimensions (if significant), the date of creation, and the current location of the artwork (e.g., museum, gallery). If the artwork is reproduced in a book or online, the citation must also include details of the reproduction, such as the book title, publisher, date, and page number, or the website URL and access date.

For example, a note might look like: "Vincent van Gogh, *The Starry Night*, 1889, oil on canvas, 73.7 cm × 92.1 cm, Museum of Modern Art, New York. Reproduced in Meyer Schapiro, *Vincent van Gogh*, (New York: Harry N. Abrams, 1983), pl. 42."

Maps and Diagrams

Citing maps and diagrams requires identifying the cartographer or creator (if known), the title of the map or diagram, the publisher, the date of publication, and the scale (if relevant). If the map is part of a larger work, such as an atlas or a book, the citation should also include the title of the larger work and the page or plate number where the map appears.

For a map in a book: "Atlas of the World, 3rd ed. (Washington, DC: National Geographic Society, 2010), 112." If the map has a specific title, include it: "Smith, John. 'Map of the City of Chicago.' In *Historical Atlas of Illinois*, edited by Jane Doe, 45. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2015."

Charts and Graphs

Charts and graphs, often created to present data, should be cited by identifying the creator or author of the data presentation, the title of the chart or graph (if it has one), the source where it was

published or presented, and the date. If the chart or graph is embedded within a publication, the citation needs to include the publication details, such as the book or article title, publisher, and page number, or the website where it is found.

If a chart is from a published report: "U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. 'Unemployment Rate Trends, 2020-2022.' In *Monthly Labor Review*, October 2023, 15." If it is a self-created chart based on data, you would cite the original data source and then describe your chart.

Digital Images and Online Illustrations

Citing digital images and online illustrations requires careful attention to the details available. This includes the creator or copyright holder of the image, the title of the image (if any), the name of the website or platform where it was found, the URL, and the date of access. It is also important to note any copyright information or licensing terms associated with the image.

A common format for an online image: "Doe, Jane. 'Sunset Over Lake Michigan.' Flickr, May 10, 2022. <https://www.flickr.com/photos/janedoe/1234567890> (accessed October 26, 2023)." For an image from a digital archive: "Smithsonian Institution. 'Photograph of Abraham Lincoln.' National Portrait Gallery, accessed October 26, 2023. https://www.npg.si.edu/object/npg_GPL_33627."

Illustrations from Books and Journals

When an illustration is taken from a printed book or a scholarly journal, the citation should reference the specific work it appears in. This means including the author(s) and title of the book or journal article, the publication information for the book (publisher, date, page number), or the journal (journal title, volume, issue number, date, page range). The specific location of the illustration within the book or journal, such as a plate number or figure number, is also critical.

For a figure in a journal article: "Johnson, Robert. 'The Impact of Climate Change on Avian Migration.' *Journal of Ornithology* 45, no. 2 (2021): 112-120, fig. 3." For a plate in a book: "Smith, Jane. *A History of American Art*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2019, pl. 15."

Citing Illustrations with Unknown Authorship or Publication Dates

In cases where the author or publication date of an illustration is unknown, Chicago style provides conventions for handling these omissions. For unknown authors, you would typically start the citation with the title of the illustration or the name of the organization or institution that holds the work. For unknown publication dates, the abbreviation "n.d." (no date) is used.

For an artwork with no known artist: "Untitled Portrait of a Woman. Circa 1850. Oil on canvas. Private Collection." For an online image with no date: "Global Warming Trends. Infographic. Accessed October 26, 2023. <http://www.example.com/infographic>." If both are unknown, you would use the title and then "n.d." if the date is missing.

Tips for Accurate Chicago Style Illustration Citation

Achieving accuracy in Chicago style illustration citation requires attention to detail and a systematic approach. By following best practices, you can ensure your citations are correct, credible, and conform to the Chicago Manual of Style's rigorous standards.

Consistency is Key

Maintain absolute consistency in your citation format throughout your document. Whether you are using the notes-bibliography system or the author-date system, ensure that the structure and

punctuation of each citation are uniform. This applies to the capitalization of titles, the use of commas and periods, and the order of bibliographic elements.

Utilize Primary Sources When Possible

Whenever feasible, consult the original source of the illustration rather than a secondary reproduction. This often leads to more accurate and complete citation details, such as original dimensions, medium, and precise publication information. When relying on reproductions, meticulously note the details of the reproduction itself.

When in Doubt, Consult the Manual

The Chicago Manual of Style is the definitive authority on its citation guidelines. For complex or unusual cases, always refer to the latest edition of the manual. Online resources and style guides can offer helpful summaries, but the primary source remains the most reliable for resolving ambiguities and ensuring adherence to current standards.

Frequently Asked Questions about Chicago Style Illustration Citation

Q: What is the difference between citing a digital image and a printed photograph in Chicago style?

A: When citing a digital image, the URL and access date are crucial components, reflecting its online accessibility. For printed photographs, the citation focuses on the publication details where the

photograph is reproduced, such as a book title, publisher, and page number, or information about the physical object if it's an artwork being discussed in its original form.

Q: Do I need to cite every illustration I use, even if it's my own creation?

A: If the illustration is your original creation and you are presenting it as such within your work, you do not need to cite yourself as the source in the same way you would cite an external source. However, if you are reusing an illustration you created for a previous project, it is good practice to acknowledge that it is a prior work.

Q: How do I cite a chart or graph found in a newspaper article using Chicago style?

A: To cite a chart or graph from a newspaper article, you would cite the newspaper article itself, including the newspaper's name, the date of publication, and the page number. The citation would then mention the chart or graph, often by referring to its title or a brief description, within the context of the article. For example: "John Smith. 'Economic Forecast Shows Growth.' *The Daily Chronicle*, October 26, 2023, A1. Chart titled 'Projected GDP Increase.'"

Q: What if an illustration has multiple creators?

A: If an illustration has multiple creators, list them all in the citation according to Chicago style guidelines. For two creators, list both names. For three or more creators, you may list the first creator followed by "et al." (and others), depending on the specific context and the preference outlined by your instructor or publisher. Always refer to the latest edition of the Chicago Manual of Style for precise instructions.

Q: How should I handle illustrations that are part of a larger collection or archive?

A: When citing illustrations from a larger collection or archive, you need to provide information about both the specific item and the collection it belongs to. This includes the creator and title of the individual illustration, followed by the name of the archive or collection, the institution that houses it, and its location within the archive (e.g., box number, folder number).

Q: Is it necessary to include the dimensions of an artwork in a Chicago style citation?

A: Including dimensions for artworks is generally recommended, especially for fine art, as it provides context about the scale of the piece. However, it is not always mandatory and may be omitted if not deemed essential for your analysis or if the information is not readily available. Always prioritize completeness when possible.

Q: What if an illustration is an adaptation of another work?

A: If an illustration is an adaptation of another work, you should cite both the original work and the adapter. This acknowledges the original source while also crediting the new creation. The citation might look like: "Jane Doe, adaptation of The Starry Night by Vincent van Gogh, 2022. Digital artwork."

[Chicago Style Illustration Citation](#)

Chicago Style Illustration Citation

Related Articles

- [chicago style for theses in progress](#)
- [chicago style for thesis formatting psychology](#)
- [chicago style indirect quoting guidelines](#)

[Back to Home](#)