

CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM

CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM IS A CRITICAL CONCERN FOR STUDENTS, RESEARCHERS, AND ACADEMICS ACROSS ALL DISCIPLINES, PARTICULARLY WITHIN THE HUMANITIES. UNDERSTANDING HOW TO PROPERLY ATTRIBUTE SOURCES AND AVOID ACCIDENTAL OR INTENTIONAL PLAGIARISM IS PARAMOUNT WHEN ADHERING TO THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE (CMOS). THIS COMPREHENSIVE ARTICLE DELVES INTO THE NUANCES OF PLAGIARISM WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF CHICAGO STYLE, EXPLORING ITS DEFINITION, COMMON FORMS, AND THE STRINGENT GUIDELINES FOR CITATION. WE WILL EXAMINE THE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS FOR BOTH FOOTNOTE/ENDNOTE AND AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEMS, OFFERING DETAILED EXPLANATIONS AND PRACTICAL ADVICE ON HOW TO MAINTAIN ACADEMIC INTEGRITY. BY DISSECTING THE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF CITING EVIDENCE AND PARAPHRASING ACCURATELY, THIS GUIDE AIMS TO EQUIP READERS WITH THE KNOWLEDGE NECESSARY TO NAVIGATE THE COMPLEXITIES OF ACADEMIC HONESTY AND AVOID THE SERIOUS REPERCUSSIONS OF CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

UNDERSTANDING PLAGIARISM IN ACADEMIC WRITING

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE AND ACADEMIC INTEGRITY

COMMON FORMS OF CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM

FOOTNOTES AND ENDNOTES: CITING SOURCES IN CHICAGO STYLE

THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM: AN ALTERNATIVE FOR CITATION

PARAPHRASING AND SUMMARIZING: AVOIDING PLAGIARISM

WHEN IN DOUBT: SEEKING CLARIFICATION ON CHICAGO STYLE AND PLAGIARISM

STRATEGIES FOR PREVENTING PLAGIARISM IN HUMANITIES RESEARCH

THE CONSEQUENCES OF CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM

UNDERSTANDING PLAGIARISM IN ACADEMIC WRITING

PLAGIARISM, AT ITS CORE, IS THE ACT OF PRESENTING SOMEONE ELSE'S WORK OR IDEAS AS YOUR OWN WITHOUT PROPER ATTRIBUTION. THIS CAN ENCOMPASS A WIDE RANGE OF ACADEMIC DISHONESTY, FROM DIRECT COPYING OF TEXT TO MORE SUBTLE FORMS LIKE PARAPHRASING WITHOUT CITATION OR APPROPRIATING UNIQUE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORKS. IN THE HUMANITIES, WHERE THE INTERPRETATION OF TEXTS, HISTORICAL EVENTS, AND PHILOSOPHICAL IDEAS IS CENTRAL, THE ORIGINALITY OF THOUGHT AND THE METICULOUS ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SOURCES ARE ESPECIALLY EMPHASIZED. THE ACADEMIC COMMUNITY RELIES ON TRUST AND TRANSPARENCY, MAKING PLAGIARISM A SEVERE BREACH OF ETHICAL CONDUCT.

THE MOTIVATION BEHIND PLAGIARISM CAN VARY, RANGING FROM A LACK OF UNDERSTANDING OF CITATION RULES TO DELIBERATE ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT. REGARDLESS OF INTENT, THE CONSEQUENCES FOR ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS AND INDIVIDUALS CAN BE SIGNIFICANT. THEREFORE, A ROBUST UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT CONSTITUTES PLAGIARISM AND HOW TO AVOID IT IS A FUNDAMENTAL SKILL FOR ANYONE ENGAGED IN SCHOLARLY PURSUITS, ESPECIALLY WHEN WORKING WITH THE DETAILED CITATION REQUIREMENTS OF CHICAGO STYLE.

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE AND ACADEMIC INTEGRITY

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE (CMOS) IS A WIDELY RESPECTED AND COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE FOR WRITING AND CITATION IN THE UNITED STATES. WHILE IT PROVIDES EXTENSIVE GUIDANCE ON GRAMMAR, PUNCTUATION, AND MANUSCRIPT PREPARATION, A SIGNIFICANT PORTION IS DEDICATED TO HOW AUTHORS SHOULD CITE THEIR SOURCES. ADHERENCE TO CMOS IS OFTEN A REQUIREMENT IN ACADEMIC SETTINGS, PARTICULARLY WITHIN THE HUMANITIES AND SOME SOCIAL SCIENCES, AND IT DIRECTLY SUPPORTS THE PRINCIPLES OF ACADEMIC INTEGRITY BY ENSURING THAT ALL BORROWED MATERIAL IS PROPERLY CREDITED.

CMOS OFFERS TWO PRIMARY CITATION SYSTEMS: THE NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM (FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES) AND THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM. BOTH SYSTEMS, WHEN IMPLEMENTED CORRECTLY, SERVE THE CRITICAL PURPOSE OF GUIDING READERS TO THE ORIGINAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION, IDEAS, AND DIRECT QUOTATIONS. THIS TRANSPARENCY IS THE BEDROCK OF ACADEMIC HONESTY, ALLOWING FOR VERIFICATION, FURTHER RESEARCH, AND THE AVOIDANCE OF ANY IMPUTATION OF CHICAGO STYLE

COMMON FORMS OF CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM

PLAGIARISM CAN MANIFEST IN VARIOUS INSIDIOUS WAYS, AND RECOGNIZING THESE FORMS IS THE FIRST STEP IN PREVENTING THEM, ESPECIALLY WHEN EMPLOYING CHICAGO STYLE. UNDERSTANDING THESE DISTINCTIONS IS CRUCIAL FOR ANYONE AIMING TO AVOID ACCUSATIONS OF CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM.

DIRECT COPYING (VERBATIM PLAGIARISM)

THIS IS THE MOST STRAIGHTFORWARD FORM OF PLAGIARISM, INVOLVING THE EXACT DUPLICATION OF TEXT FROM A SOURCE WITHOUT ENCLOSING IT IN QUOTATION MARKS AND PROVIDING A CITATION. EVEN A FEW WORDS OR PHRASES COPIED WITHOUT ATTRIBUTION CAN BE CONSIDERED PLAGIARISM. IN CHICAGO STYLE, DIRECT QUOTATIONS MUST ALWAYS BE ENCLOSED IN QUOTATION MARKS AND FOLLOWED BY A CORRESPONDING FOOTNOTE OR ENDNOTE, OR AN IN-TEXT CITATION IF USING THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, WITH A PAGE NUMBER.

PARAPHRASING PLAGIARISM

PARAPHRASING INVOLVES RESTATING AN AUTHOR'S IDEAS OR ARGUMENTS IN YOUR OWN WORDS. WHILE THIS IS A LEGITIMATE AND NECESSARY SKILL IN ACADEMIC WRITING, IT BECOMES PLAGIARISM IF THE PARAPHRASED CONTENT IS NOT ATTRIBUTED TO THE ORIGINAL SOURCE. MERELY CHANGING A FEW WORDS OR SENTENCE STRUCTURE IS INSUFFICIENT; THE ESSENCE OF THE ORIGINAL IDEA MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED. CHICAGO STYLE REQUIRES A CITATION EVEN FOR PARAPHRASED MATERIAL.

MOSAIC PLAGIARISM (PATCHWRITING)

THIS OCCURS WHEN A WRITER BORROWS PHRASES, SENTENCES, OR EVEN LONGER PASSAGES FROM A SOURCE AND WEAVES THEM INTO THEIR OWN TEXT WITHOUT QUOTATION MARKS OR PROPER CITATION, OFTEN CHANGING JUST A FEW WORDS OR REARRANGING THE ORDER. IT IS A SUBTLE FORM OF PLAGIARISM THAT CAN BE DIFFICULT TO DETECT BUT IS STILL A VIOLATION OF ACADEMIC INTEGRITY. PROPER PARAPHRASING AND SUMMARIZING, GUIDED BY CHICAGO STYLE'S CITATION RULES, ARE ESSENTIAL TO AVOID THIS.

SELF-PLAGIARISM

WHILE LESS COMMON IN UNDERGRADUATE WORK, SELF-PLAGIARISM INVOLVES RE-USING ONE'S OWN PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED OR SUBMITTED WORK WITHOUT PROPER ACKNOWLEDGMENT. THIS CAN BE PROBLEMATIC IN ACADEMIC CONTEXTS WHERE ORIGINALITY IS EXPECTED FOR EACH NEW SUBMISSION. WHILE THE ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS DIFFER FROM PLAGIARIZING ANOTHER AUTHOR, PRESENTING OLD WORK AS NEW CAN STILL BE CONSIDERED DISHONEST.

IDEA PLAGIARISM

THIS FORM OF PLAGIARISM INVOLVES PRESENTING ANOTHER PERSON'S UNIQUE IDEAS, THEORIES, OR RESEARCH FINDINGS AS ONE'S OWN, EVEN IF THE WORDING IS ENTIRELY ORIGINAL. IN THE HUMANITIES, WHERE THE DEVELOPMENT OF THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS IS PARAMOUNT, ATTRIBUTING INTELLECTUAL DEBTS IS VITAL. CHICAGO STYLE'S CITATION SYSTEM FACILITATES THE ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SUCH FOUNDATIONAL IDEAS.

FOOTNOTES AND ENDNOTES: CITING SOURCES IN CHICAGO STYLE

THE NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, UTILIZING FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES, IS A HALLMARK OF THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE, PARTICULARLY PREVALENT IN HISTORICAL AND LITERARY SCHOLARSHIP. THIS METHOD INVOLVES PLACING A NUMBERED NOTE MARKER IN THE TEXT AFTER THE BORROWED MATERIAL AND THEN PROVIDING THE FULL CITATION DETAILS IN EITHER FOOTNOTES (AT THE BOTTOM OF THE PAGE) OR ENDNOTES (AT THE END OF THE CHAPTER OR DOCUMENT). THIS SYSTEM ALLOWS FOR DETAILED AND EXTENSIVE DOCUMENTATION, WHICH IS OFTEN APPRECIATED IN HUMANITIES RESEARCH WHERE THE LINEAGE OF IDEAS CAN BE COMPLEX.

THE FIRST CITATION OF A SOURCE IN A FOOTNOTE OR ENDNOTE IS TYPICALLY A FULL CITATION, CONTAINING ALL NECESSARY BIBLIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION (AUTHOR, TITLE, PUBLICATION DETAILS, PAGE NUMBER). SUBSEQUENT CITATIONS OF THE SAME SOURCE ARE OFTEN SHORTENED, USUALLY INCLUDING THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME, A SHORTENED TITLE, AND THE PAGE NUMBER. THIS METICULOUS APPROACH IS DESIGNED TO ELIMINATE AMBIGUITY AND PREVENT ANY INSTANCE OF CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM.

KEY ELEMENTS OF A CHICAGO STYLE FOOTNOTE/ENDNOTE INCLUDE:

- AUTHOR'S NAME (FIRST NAME, THEN LAST NAME)
- TITLE OF THE WORK (IN ITALICS OR QUOTATION MARKS, DEPENDING ON THE TYPE OF WORK)
- PUBLICATION INFORMATION (PLACE, PUBLISHER, YEAR)
- PAGE NUMBER(S) WHERE THE INFORMATION WAS FOUND

THE BIBLIOGRAPHY AT THE END OF THE WORK PROVIDES A COMPREHENSIVE ALPHABETICAL LIST OF ALL SOURCES CONSULTED AND CITED, OFFERING ANOTHER LAYER OF TRANSPARENCY AND ACCESSIBILITY FOR READERS INTERESTED IN THE SCHOLARLY FOUNDATION OF THE WORK, THEREBY REINFORCING THE PREVENTION OF CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM.

THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM: AN ALTERNATIVE FOR CITATION

THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, ALSO SUPPORTED BY THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE, OFFERS A MORE CONCISE IN-TEXT CITATION METHOD. THIS SYSTEM INVOLVES PLACING THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME AND THE PUBLICATION YEAR IN PARENTHESES WITHIN THE TEXT, IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING THE BORROWED MATERIAL. FOR DIRECT QUOTATIONS, A PAGE NUMBER IS ALSO INCLUDED. THIS IS OFTEN PREFERRED IN SCIENTIFIC AND SOCIAL SCIENCE DISCIPLINES BUT IS ALSO ACCEPTED IN SOME HUMANITIES CONTEXTS.

FOR EXAMPLE, A DIRECT QUOTE MIGHT APPEAR AS: "THE EXISTENTIAL DREAD OF MODERN MAN IS A RECURRING THEME" (SMITH 2022, 45). A PARAPHRASED IDEA WOULD BE CITED SIMILARLY: (SMITH 2022). THIS BREVITY IN-TEXT IS COMPLEMENTED BY A REFERENCE LIST AT THE END OF THE DOCUMENT, WHICH INCLUDES FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC DETAILS FOR EVERY SOURCE CITED IN THE TEXT, ARRANGED ALPHABETICALLY BY AUTHOR'S LAST NAME. THE REFERENCE LIST SERVES THE SAME ESSENTIAL FUNCTION AS THE BIBLIOGRAPHY IN THE NOTES SYSTEM: TO PROVIDE COMPLETE SOURCE INFORMATION AND REINFORCE ACADEMIC INTEGRITY BY MAKING IT EASY TO TRACK DOWN ORIGINAL SOURCES AND THUS AVOID CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM.

PARAPHRASING AND SUMMARIZING: AVOIDING PLAGIARISM

MASTERING THE ART OF PARAPHRASING AND SUMMARIZING IS CRUCIAL FOR PRODUCING ORIGINAL SCHOLARLY WORK AND IS FUNDAMENTAL TO AVOIDING CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM. PARAPHRASING INVOLVES EXPRESSING AN AUTHOR'S

IDEAS, ARGUMENTS, OR FINDINGS IN YOUR OWN WORDS AND SENTENCE STRUCTURE. IT IS NOT MERELY SUBSTITUTING SYNONYMS OR SLIGHTLY REARRANGING PHRASES; IT REQUIRES A DEEP UNDERSTANDING OF THE SOURCE MATERIAL TO REARTICULATE IT FAITHFULLY BUT DISTINCTLY.

SUMMARIZING, ON THE OTHER HAND, INVOLVES CONDENSING THE MAIN POINTS OF A LARGER WORK OR A SECTION OF TEXT INTO A BRIEFER OVERVIEW. BOTH PARAPHRASES AND SUMMARIES MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY A CITATION ACCORDING TO CHICAGO STYLE GUIDELINES, WHETHER USING FOOTNOTES/ENDNOTES OR THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM. THIS ENSURES THAT THE READER KNOWS WHERE THE IDEAS ORIGINATED, EVEN IF THEY ARE PRESENTED IN YOUR OWN VOICE.

TO PARAPHRASE EFFECTIVELY:

- READ THE ORIGINAL PASSAGE THOROUGHLY TO UNDERSTAND ITS MEANING.
- PUT THE ORIGINAL TEXT ASIDE AND WRITE DOWN THE IDEA IN YOUR OWN WORDS.
- COMPARE YOUR PARAPHRASE TO THE ORIGINAL TO ENSURE ACCURACY AND THAT YOU HAVE NOT INADVERTENTLY USED TOO MUCH OF THE ORIGINAL PHRASING.
- CITE THE SOURCE METICULOUSLY.

FAILING TO CITE PARAPHRASED OR SUMMARIZED MATERIAL IS A COMMON PITFALL THAT LEADS TO UNINTENTIONAL CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM.

WHEN IN DOUBT: SEEKING CLARIFICATION ON CHICAGO STYLE AND PLAGIARISM

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY IS A COMPLEX AND EVOLVING LANDSCAPE. IF THERE IS ANY UNCERTAINTY REGARDING WHETHER A PARTICULAR INSTANCE CONSTITUTES PLAGIARISM OR HOW TO CORRECTLY APPLY CHICAGO STYLE CITATION RULES, SEEKING CLARIFICATION IS NOT A SIGN OF WEAKNESS BUT OF INTELLECTUAL RESPONSIBILITY. UNIVERSITIES AND ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS PROVIDE RESOURCES TO HELP STUDENTS NAVIGATE THESE ISSUES. PROFESSORS, ACADEMIC ADVISORS, WRITING CENTERS, AND LIBRARIANS ARE INVALUABLE RESOURCES FOR UNDERSTANDING THE NUANCES OF CITATION AND AVOIDING ACCIDENTAL CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM.

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE ITSELF OFFERS DETAILED EXAMPLES AND EXPLANATIONS FOR VARIOUS CITATION SCENARIOS. REVIEWING ITS GUIDELINES AND CONSULTING ITS COMPREHENSIVE INDEX CAN RESOLVE MANY COMMON QUESTIONS. FURTHERMORE, ENGAGING IN DISCUSSIONS ABOUT ETHICAL SCHOLARSHIP WITH PEERS AND MENTORS CAN DEEPEN ONE'S UNDERSTANDING. PROACTIVE ENGAGEMENT WITH THESE RESOURCES IS FAR MORE BENEFICIAL THAN FACING THE CONSEQUENCES OF A PLAGIARISM ACCUSATION.

STRATEGIES FOR PREVENTING PLAGIARISM IN HUMANITIES RESEARCH

PREVENTING PLAGIARISM IN HUMANITIES RESEARCH REQUIRES A COMBINATION OF DILIGENT PRACTICE, ETHICAL AWARENESS, AND EFFECTIVE ORGANIZATIONAL SKILLS. BY IMPLEMENTING PROACTIVE STRATEGIES, RESEARCHERS CAN SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCE THE RISK OF UNINTENTIONAL CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM AND ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THEIR WORK.

EFFECTIVE NOTE-TAKING IS A CORNERSTONE OF PLAGIARISM PREVENTION. WHEN TAKING NOTES FROM SOURCES, IT IS ADVISABLE TO:

- CLEARLY DISTINGUISH BETWEEN DIRECT QUOTATIONS, PARAPHRASES, AND YOUR OWN THOUGHTS OR ANALYSES.

- WHEN QUOTING DIRECTLY, METICULOUSLY COPY THE TEXT AND IMMEDIATELY NOTE THE SOURCE AND PAGE NUMBER.
- WHEN PARAPHRASING, CONSCIOUSLY REPHRASE THE IDEAS IN YOUR OWN WORDS FROM THE OUTSET, RATHER THAN TRYING TO "CHANGE" IT LATER.
- RECORD ALL BIBLIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FOR EACH SOURCE AS YOU BEGIN YOUR RESEARCH TO AVOID SCRAMBLING FOR DETAILS LATER.

UTILIZING CITATION MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE CAN ALSO BE EXTREMELY HELPFUL IN ORGANIZING SOURCES AND GENERATING BIBLIOGRAPHIES OR REFERENCE LISTS ACCORDING TO CHICAGO STYLE, THEREBY MINIMIZING ERRORS THAT COULD BE CONSTRUED AS CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM.

THE CONSEQUENCES OF CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM

THE CONSEQUENCES OF BEING FOUND GUILTY OF PLAGIARISM, INCLUDING CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM, CAN BE SEVERE AND FAR-REACHING, IMPACTING AN INDIVIDUAL'S ACADEMIC CAREER AND PROFESSIONAL REPUTATION. THESE REPERCUSSIONS ARE DESIGNED TO UPHOLD THE PRINCIPLES OF ACADEMIC HONESTY AND THE VALUE OF ORIGINAL SCHOLARLY CONTRIBUTION.

AT THE INSTITUTIONAL LEVEL, PENALTIES CAN RANGE FROM FAILING A SPECIFIC ASSIGNMENT TO FAILING THE ENTIRE COURSE. MORE SERIOUS OR REPEATED OFFENSES CAN LEAD TO ACADEMIC PROBATION, SUSPENSION, OR EVEN EXPULSION FROM THE UNIVERSITY. FOR GRADUATE STUDENTS AND FACULTY, PLAGIARISM CAN RESULT IN THE RETRACTION OF PUBLISHED WORKS, DENIAL OF TENURE, OR TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT. BEYOND ACADEMIC SANCTIONS, A DOCUMENTED INSTANCE OF PLAGIARISM CAN CREATE SIGNIFICANT BARRIERS TO FUTURE ACADEMIC OPPORTUNITIES, SUCH AS ADMISSION TO GRADUATE PROGRAMS OR SECURING RESEARCH GRANTS.

THE REPUTATIONAL DAMAGE CAN BE LONG-LASTING, AS ACADEMIC COMMUNITIES OFTEN VALUE INTEGRITY ABOVE ALL ELSE. THEREFORE, UNDERSTANDING AND RIGOROUSLY APPLYING THE PRINCIPLES OF CITATION AND AVOIDING ALL FORMS OF PLAGIARISM, ESPECIALLY WITHIN THE DETAILED FRAMEWORK OF CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM, IS PARAMOUNT FOR ANY ASPIRING OR ESTABLISHED SCHOLAR.

Q: WHAT IS THE PRIMARY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE FOOTNOTE/ENDNOTE SYSTEM AND THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM IN CHICAGO STYLE REGARDING PLAGIARISM PREVENTION?

A: THE PRIMARY DIFFERENCE LIES IN THEIR PRESENTATION AND THE EMPHASIS PLACED ON DIRECT ATTRIBUTION VERSUS SOURCE ACCESSIBILITY. THE FOOTNOTE/ENDNOTE SYSTEM PROVIDES IMMEDIATE, DETAILED SOURCE INFORMATION DIRECTLY ALONGSIDE THE QUOTED OR PARAPHRASED MATERIAL, ALLOWING FOR VERY PRECISE TRACKING OF IDEAS AND MINIMIZING AMBIGUITY. THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM USES A MORE CONCISE IN-TEXT CITATION THAT DIRECTS THE READER TO A COMPREHENSIVE REFERENCE LIST, MAKING IT EASIER TO FIND SOURCES BUT REQUIRING A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT APPROACH TO TRACING THE LINEAGE OF IDEAS. BOTH SYSTEMS, WHEN USED CORRECTLY ACCORDING TO CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE GUIDELINES, ARE EFFECTIVE IN PREVENTING PLAGIARISM BY ENSURING ALL BORROWED MATERIAL IS PROPERLY CREDITED.

Q: CAN I PARAPHRASE A SENTENCE FROM A SOURCE WITHOUT CITING IT IF I CHANGE MOST OF THE WORDS?

A: NO, PARAPHRASING WITHOUT CITATION IS STILL CONSIDERED PLAGIARISM, REGARDLESS OF HOW MANY WORDS YOU CHANGE. IF YOU ARE EXPRESSING AN IDEA OR INFORMATION THAT ORIGINATED FROM ANOTHER SOURCE, YOU MUST CITE THAT SOURCE ACCORDING TO CHICAGO STYLE. MERELY ALTERING A FEW WORDS OR SENTENCE STRUCTURE IS INSUFFICIENT IF THE CORE IDEA AND STRUCTURE OF THE ORIGINAL REMAIN RECOGNIZABLE. PROPER PARAPHRASING REQUIRES A SUBSTANTIAL REPHRASING AND RESTRUCTURING OF THE ORIGINAL CONTENT, AND EVEN THEN, ATTRIBUTION IS MANDATORY.

Q: WHAT ARE THE PENALTIES FOR CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM AT A UNIVERSITY?

A: PENALTIES FOR CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM CAN VARY SIGNIFICANTLY DEPENDING ON THE INSTITUTION'S ACADEMIC INTEGRITY POLICY, THE SEVERITY OF THE OFFENSE, AND WHETHER IT IS A FIRST-TIME OR REPEAT OFFENSE. COMMON PENALTIES INCLUDE: RECEIVING A FAILING GRADE FOR THE ASSIGNMENT OR THE COURSE, ACADEMIC PROBATION, SUSPENSION FROM THE UNIVERSITY, OR EVEN EXPULSION. IN SOME CASES, A FORMAL NOTATION OF THE OFFENSE MAY BE PLACED ON THE STUDENT'S ACADEMIC RECORD.

Q: IS IT PLAGIARISM IF I USE AN IDEA FROM A LECTURE OR A CONVERSATION WITHOUT CITING IT?

A: YES, IDEAS AND INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM LECTURES, PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS, OR INFORMAL DISCUSSIONS ARE CONSIDERED PROPRIETARY AND MUST BE CITED IF USED IN YOUR ACADEMIC WORK. THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE PROVIDES GUIDELINES FOR CITING SUCH SOURCES, WHICH OFTEN INVOLVE INCLUDING THE SPEAKER'S NAME, THE NATURE OF THE COMMUNICATION (E.G., LECTURE, PERSONAL INTERVIEW), THE DATE, AND THE LOCATION OR CONTEXT. FAILING TO DO SO WOULD BE A FORM OF PLAGIARISM.

Q: HOW DOES THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE ADDRESS THE USE OF COMMON KNOWLEDGE AND ITS RELATION TO PLAGIARISM?

A: THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE, LIKE OTHER ACADEMIC CITATION GUIDES, ACKNOWLEDGES THAT COMMON KNOWLEDGE DOES NOT REQUIRE CITATION. HOWEVER, THE DEFINITION OF "COMMON KNOWLEDGE" CAN BE SUBJECTIVE AND CONTEXT-DEPENDENT. GENERALLY, INFORMATION IS CONSIDERED COMMON KNOWLEDGE IF IT IS WIDELY KNOWN WITHIN A PARTICULAR FIELD OR BY THE GENERAL PUBLIC AND CAN BE FOUND IN MULTIPLE READILY AVAILABLE SOURCES. WHEN IN DOUBT, IT IS ALWAYS SAFER TO CITE THE SOURCE, ESPECIALLY IN SPECIALIZED HUMANITIES FIELDS WHERE WHAT IS COMMON KNOWLEDGE MIGHT BE MORE SPECIFIC.

Q: WHAT IS THE MOST COMMON MISTAKE STUDENTS MAKE WHEN TRYING TO AVOID

CHICAGO STYLE HUMANITIES PLAGIARISM?

A: ONE OF THE MOST COMMON MISTAKES IS THE FAILURE TO PROPERLY CITE PARAPHRASED OR SUMMARIZED MATERIAL. STUDENTS OFTEN BELIEVE THAT IF THEY HAVE PUT INFORMATION INTO THEIR OWN WORDS, IT NO LONGER REQUIRES A CITATION. HOWEVER, THE ORIGIN OF THE IDEA OR INFORMATION MUST ALWAYS BE ACKNOWLEDGED, REGARDLESS OF HOW IT IS PRESENTED. ANOTHER COMMON ERROR IS INSUFFICIENT CITATION OF DIRECT QUOTATIONS, SUCH AS FORGETTING QUOTATION MARKS OR OMITTING PAGE NUMBERS IN FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES.

Q: CAN I REUSE MY OWN PREVIOUS WORK IN A NEW ASSIGNMENT WITHOUT IT BEING CONSIDERED SELF-PLAGIARISM?

A: REUSING YOUR OWN PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED OR PUBLISHED WORK WITHOUT PROPER ACKNOWLEDGMENT IS OFTEN CONSIDERED SELF-PLAGIARISM. ACADEMIC INTEGRITY POLICIES TYPICALLY REQUIRE THAT EACH SUBMISSION BE ORIGINAL WORK FOR THAT SPECIFIC CONTEXT. IF YOU WISH TO INCORPORATE MATERIAL FROM YOUR PRIOR WORK, YOU SHOULD CLEARLY INDICATE THIS AND CITE YOUR OWN PREVIOUS WORK ACCORDING TO CHICAGO STYLE, TREATING IT AS A SOURCE, TO AVOID MISLEADING YOUR CURRENT INSTRUCTOR ABOUT THE ORIGINALITY OF YOUR EFFORT.

[Chicago Style Humanities Plagiarism](#)

Chicago Style Humanities Plagiarism

Related Articles

- [chicago style for scholarly writing formatting](#)
- [chicago style introduction table of contents](#)
- [chicago style humanities academic editor](#)

[Back to Home](#)