

chicago style for gender-neutral language linguistics

The Chicago Manual of Style (CMOS) has long been a foundational text for writers and editors, guiding them in matters of grammar, punctuation, and citation. In recent years, the ongoing evolution of language, particularly concerning gender and identity, has necessitated significant updates to stylistic guidelines. As linguists and writers grapple with the nuances of inclusivity, the Chicago style for gender-neutral language linguistics has become a critical area of focus. This article delves into the comprehensive approach CMOS takes, examining its recommendations for pronoun usage, addressing individuals of indeterminate or multiple genders, and navigating the challenges of maintaining clarity and consistency in academic and general writing. We will explore the historical context of these changes and the linguistic principles that inform CMOS's evolving stance on gender-neutral terminology.

Table of Contents

Understanding the Principles of Gender-Neutral Language in Linguistics

Chicago Style's Approach to Pronouns

Singular "They" in Chicago Style

Alternatives to Gendered Language in Chicago Style

Addressing Gender Identity in Chicago Style

Historical Context and Evolution of Chicago Style on Gender

Practical Application and Examples in Linguistics

Challenges and Considerations for Writers and Editors

The Future of Gender-Neutral Language in Style Guides

Understanding the Principles of Gender-Neutral Language in Linguistics

The field of linguistics, by its very nature, is deeply concerned with language and its evolution. When discussing gender-neutral language, linguists often focus on the social and cultural implications of linguistic choices. The move towards gender-neutrality is not merely a matter of political correctness; it reflects a growing understanding of how language can either reinforce or challenge existing societal norms and power structures. Linguistically, the aim is to create language that is more accurate, inclusive, and reflective of the diversity of human experience. This involves analyzing existing linguistic patterns, identifying gendered assumptions, and developing alternatives that are both effective and widely adoptable.

Key linguistic principles underpinning gender-neutral language include the recognition of language as a dynamic system, constantly influenced by social changes. Linguists examine phenomena such as grammatical gender, the historical development of pronouns, and the social construction of gender itself. Understanding these elements allows for a more informed approach to crafting and advocating for gender-

neutral alternatives. The goal is to move beyond binary conceptions of gender that are often embedded within traditional language structures, embracing a more fluid and expansive understanding of identity.

Chicago Style's Approach to Pronouns

The Chicago Manual of Style's approach to pronouns has evolved significantly to reflect contemporary understanding and usage. Historically, prescriptive grammar often favored default masculine pronouns or discouraged the use of singular "they." However, CMOS now embraces a more descriptive and inclusive stance, acknowledging the widespread and grammatically sound use of singular "they" and offering guidance on how to apply it effectively. This shift is rooted in a recognition that language should accurately represent how people speak and write, rather than imposing rigid, outdated rules.

CMOS provides a clear framework for writers who need to refer to individuals without knowing their gender or when referring to someone who uses singular "they" pronouns. The manual emphasizes clarity, consistency, and respect for individual identity. This involves not only understanding the grammatical rules but also the social and ethical considerations that inform the use of inclusive language. The goal is to empower writers to make informed decisions that align with both linguistic best practices and a commitment to inclusivity.

Singular "They" in Chicago Style

The inclusion and endorsement of singular "they" is a cornerstone of Chicago style's gender-neutral language recommendations. CMOS acknowledges that "they" has a long history of use as a singular pronoun, predating many of the prescriptive rules that later emerged. Linguistically, this usage is sound and has become increasingly prevalent and accepted in both spoken and written English. The manual advises writers to use singular "they" when an individual's gender is unknown, irrelevant, or when the individual prefers "they/them" pronouns.

Furthermore, Chicago style provides guidance on how to handle verb agreement with singular "they." As with plural "they," singular "they" takes a plural verb. For example, "The applicant submitted their resume" and "Alex said they would be late" are grammatically correct and consistent with CMOS guidelines. The manual also addresses the possessive form "their" and the objective form "them," reinforcing the consistent application of the singular "they" across all grammatical contexts. This practical advice helps writers navigate the nuances of this increasingly common pronoun.

Alternatives to Gendered Language in Chicago Style

Beyond pronoun usage, Chicago style offers a range of strategies for avoiding gendered language in other contexts. This proactive approach encourages writers to rethink common linguistic patterns that may implicitly favor one gender over another or perpetuate stereotypes. The goal is to foster a more neutral and equitable linguistic environment across various forms of writing.

Several effective alternatives are recommended:

- Rephrasing sentences to avoid pronouns altogether. For instance, instead of "A doctor should check their patient's chart," one could write "Doctors should check patient charts."
- Using plural nouns where appropriate. This can often eliminate the need for singular gendered pronouns. For example, "Students should complete their assignments" is preferred over "A student should complete his or her assignment."
- Employing gender-neutral job titles or role descriptions. This includes using terms like "firefighter" instead of "fireman," "chair" or "chairperson" instead of "chairman," and "server" instead of "waiter" or "waitress."
- Using repetitive or repetitive nouns in place of pronouns where feasible. While less common, this can sometimes provide a clear alternative.

Addressing Gender Identity in Chicago Style

Chicago style's commitment to gender-neutral language extends to acknowledging and respecting diverse gender identities. The manual emphasizes the importance of using language that accurately reflects an individual's self-identified gender. This principle is paramount in ensuring respectful and accurate communication, particularly in academic and professional writing where precision is crucial.

When referring to individuals whose gender identity is known and does not align with traditional binary categories, CMOS advises writers to use the pronouns that the individual uses. This is a direct application of the principle of respecting individual identity. For individuals who identify as non-binary and use pronouns other than he/him or she/her (such as they/them, ze/hir, etc.), writers are encouraged to use

these preferred pronouns. If there is uncertainty about an individual's pronouns, the manual suggests asking respectfully or defaulting to singular "they" if that is the individual's preference.

Historical Context and Evolution of Chicago Style on Gender

The current recommendations in Chicago style regarding gender-neutral language are the result of a significant evolution over time. Early editions of the manual, like many other style guides of their era, often reflected a more prescriptive and less inclusive approach to language. Gendered defaults, such as the use of masculine pronouns as generic, were common and widely accepted within the prevailing linguistic norms of those periods.

However, as societal understanding of gender and identity has shifted, so too has the approach of major style guides. The linguistic and social movements advocating for greater inclusivity and recognition of non-binary identities have had a profound impact. Chicago style, in its recent editions, has demonstrated a willingness to adapt, moving from a prescriptive stance that often ignored or actively discouraged inclusive practices to a more descriptive and enabling one. This evolution is a testament to the dynamic nature of language and the increasing awareness of its power to include or exclude.

Practical Application and Examples in Linguistics

In the field of linguistics, the application of Chicago style's gender-neutral language principles is particularly relevant and impactful. When linguists discuss research participants, historical language users, or hypothetical individuals, the need for inclusive language is constant. The goal is to avoid reinforcing outdated gender biases within the very language used to describe language itself.

Consider a linguistic study examining language acquisition in children. Instead of referring to "a child and his toys," a gender-neutral approach would be "a child and their toys" or, if discussing multiple children, "children and their toys." Similarly, when discussing historical linguistic shifts, if the gender of the speaker is unknown or irrelevant, using singular "they" is preferred over assuming a gender or using awkward constructions like "his or her." For instance, a sentence like "The speaker in the 18th century often used the subjunctive mood, and they would employ it differently depending on the social context" is now fully acceptable and encouraged.

Another common scenario in linguistics involves discussing abstract concepts or individuals in theoretical frameworks. For example, if a linguist is presenting a theory about how individuals process language, and they need to refer to a generic person, they might write: "When a user encounters a new word, they access their lexicon to determine its meaning." This usage of singular "they" is clear, concise, and inclusive, aligning perfectly with Chicago style's guidelines.

Challenges and Considerations for Writers and Editors

While Chicago style provides robust guidance, writers and editors may still encounter challenges when implementing gender-neutral language. One significant hurdle can be inertia – a tendency to stick with familiar, traditionally gendered phrasing out of habit. Overcoming this requires conscious effort and a commitment to adopting new linguistic practices.

Another consideration is maintaining stylistic consistency, especially in longer works or collaborative projects. Editors must ensure that the chosen gender-neutral strategies are applied uniformly throughout the text to avoid confusion. Furthermore, while singular "they" is widely accepted, some readers may still be unfamiliar with or resistant to its usage. While Chicago style prioritizes inclusivity, writers may need to consider their audience and the context of their publication. However, the manual's emphasis is on leading by example and promoting best practices, recognizing that language norms evolve.

The grammatical nuances of singular "they" can also pose challenges. While the basic rules are straightforward (singular "they" takes a plural verb), complex sentence structures can sometimes make agreement tricky. Editors and writers need to pay careful attention to ensure correct verb conjugation and pronoun reference. Ultimately, the aim is to strike a balance between linguistic accuracy, adherence to style guide recommendations, and clear communication.

Finally, the ongoing evolution of language means that new terms and pronoun sets may emerge. Style guides, including Chicago, will continue to adapt. Writers and editors need to stay informed about these developments and be prepared to incorporate new understandings of gender and language into their work. This proactive engagement is crucial for maintaining relevance and accuracy in contemporary writing.

The Future of Gender-Neutral Language in Style Guides

The trajectory of gender-neutral language in style guides like Chicago indicates a clear trend towards greater inclusivity and linguistic flexibility. As societal conversations around gender continue to deepen and evolve, style guides are likely to become even more nuanced in their recommendations. We can anticipate continued attention to the development and acceptance of new terminology and grammatical structures that better reflect the diversity of human experience.

The linguistic principles underpinning these changes—such as the descriptive nature of grammar, the social construction of gender, and the dynamic nature of language—will continue to inform these updates. Style guides will likely provide more resources and examples for navigating complex situations, further empowering writers and editors to use language that is both precise and respectful. The ongoing collaboration between linguistic scholars, writers, and editors will be crucial in shaping the future of inclusive language.

FAQ

Q: What is the primary reason Chicago style has updated its guidelines for gender-neutral language?

A: The primary reason Chicago style has updated its guidelines for gender-neutral language is to reflect the evolving understanding of gender identity and to promote inclusive and respectful communication. This shift acknowledges that traditional language norms may not adequately represent the diversity of human experience and aims to provide writers with tools to communicate more accurately and inclusively.

Q: Is the singular "they" accepted in Chicago style for all contexts?

A: Yes, the singular "they" is accepted and recommended in Chicago style for various contexts, including when an individual's gender is unknown, irrelevant, or when the individual uses "they/them" pronouns. CMOS recognizes its long history of use and its grammatical validity.

Q: How does Chicago style recommend addressing individuals who use pronouns other than he/him or she/her?

A: Chicago style recommends using the specific pronouns that an individual uses for themselves. If an individual identifies as non-binary and uses pronouns like "they/them," "ze/hir," or other neopronouns, writers are advised to use these preferred pronouns to show respect for their identity.

Q: What are some alternative strategies Chicago style suggests for avoiding gendered language?

A: Chicago style suggests several strategies, including rephrasing sentences to eliminate the need for pronouns, using plural nouns where appropriate, and employing gender-neutral job titles and role descriptions (e.g., "firefighter" instead of "fireman," "server" instead of "waiter").

Q: Does Chicago style offer specific guidance on verb agreement with singular "they"?

A: Yes, Chicago style clarifies that singular "they," like plural "they," takes a plural verb. For example, "The student forgot their homework" and "The researchers presented their findings" are grammatically correct according to CMOS.

Q: How has the historical context influenced Chicago style's approach to gender-neutral language?

A: Historically, style guides often favored prescriptive rules and gendered defaults. Chicago style has evolved from this more rigid approach to a more descriptive and inclusive one, acknowledging contemporary linguistic usage and the importance of representing diverse identities accurately.

Q: What challenges might writers and editors face when implementing Chicago style's gender-neutral language guidelines?

A: Challenges include overcoming ingrained habits of using gendered language, ensuring consistency across longer texts, potentially encountering readers unfamiliar with singular "they," and navigating the nuances of complex sentence structures for pronoun agreement.

Q: How does Chicago style handle situations where an individual's pronouns are unknown?

A: In situations where an individual's pronouns are unknown, Chicago style recommends either asking respectfully if appropriate or defaulting to the singular "they," especially if that is the individual's preferred pronoun set.

Q: Is it acceptable to use gender-neutral language in academic linguistics research according to Chicago style?

A: Absolutely. Chicago style strongly encourages and supports the use of gender-neutral language in academic linguistics research to ensure accuracy, inclusivity, and a commitment to representing linguistic phenomena without reinforcing outdated gender biases.

[Chicago Style For Gender Neutral Language Linguistics](#)

Chicago Style For Gender Neutral Language Linguistics

Related Articles

- [chicago style for dissertations publishers](#)
- [chicago style for publishers](#)
- [chicago style for papers students](#)

[Back to Home](#)