

chicago style conclusion for art history paper

The Art of the Chicago Style Conclusion for Art History Paper

chicago style conclusion for art history paper presents a critical juncture where your research culminates, synthesizing your analysis and leaving a lasting impression on your reader. This final section is not merely a summary; it's an opportunity to demonstrate the depth of your understanding, the strength of your arguments, and the significance of your findings within the broader discourse of art history. Crafting a compelling Chicago style conclusion involves understanding its specific structural requirements and thematic goals, ensuring it effectively encapsulates your paper's journey from thesis to impact. We will explore the essential components, common pitfalls to avoid, and strategies for creating a memorable and impactful closing for your art history scholarship, specifically adhering to Chicago Manual of Style conventions.

Table of Contents

- Understanding the Purpose of a Chicago Style Conclusion
- Key Elements of a Strong Art History Conclusion
- Synthesizing Your Argument: Beyond Simple Recapitulation
- Restating Your Thesis in a New Light
- Summarizing Key Evidence and Analysis
- Discussing the Broader Significance and Implications
- Suggestions for Future Research or Further Inquiry
- Common Pitfalls to Avoid in Your Conclusion
- Examples of Effective Chicago Style Conclusion Strategies
- Refining Your Conclusion for Clarity and Impact

Understanding the Purpose of a Chicago Style Conclusion

The conclusion of an art history paper, particularly when following Chicago style guidelines, serves several vital functions. It is the final opportunity to persuade your reader of the validity and importance of your thesis. This section should not introduce new information or evidence but rather consolidate what has already been presented. It acts as a bridge, connecting the detailed analysis within the body of your paper to a wider understanding of the subject matter. A well-crafted conclusion reinforces your main points and leaves the reader with a clear, memorable takeaway message regarding your interpretation of the artwork or historical period under examination.

In art history, a strong conclusion can elevate a paper from a mere descriptive account to a nuanced piece of critical scholarship. It's where the scholar demonstrates their ability to see the forest for the trees, articulating how individual observations contribute to a larger interpretive framework. This requires careful thought and precise language to ensure the concluding remarks resonate with the scholarly value of the preceding arguments. The objective is to provide a sense of closure while simultaneously opening up avenues for further thought or investigation.

Key Elements of a Strong Art History Conclusion

A robust conclusion for an art history paper, adhering to Chicago style, typically incorporates several core components. These elements work in concert to provide a comprehensive and satisfying end to your academic exploration. They are designed to reinforce your paper's central arguments and demonstrate their significance within the field.

Synthesizing Your Argument: Beyond Simple Recapitulation

Synthesizing your argument is perhaps the most crucial aspect of a Chicago style conclusion. This involves weaving together the threads of your analysis from the body of the paper into a coherent whole, rather than merely listing the points you have already made. Think of it as showing how your individual observations about brushstrokes, iconography, historical context, or artist biography all converge to support your overarching thesis. It's about revealing the emergent pattern and the deeper meaning that your evidence has uncovered. This requires a sophisticated understanding of your own research and the ability to articulate its interconnectedness effectively.

For instance, if you've analyzed the use of chiaroscuro in a Caravaggio painting and discussed its dramatic impact on religious narratives, your synthesis might connect these elements to the Counter-Reformation's desire for emotional engagement. You are not just restating that Caravaggio used light and shadow; you are explaining why that technique was so powerful in its specific historical and religious context, thereby demonstrating the full scope of your analytical prowess.

Restating Your Thesis in a New Light

While a conclusion should not simply repeat your thesis statement verbatim, it must certainly revisit and reaffirm it. The key is to rephrase your thesis using different language, reflecting the insights gained through your detailed analysis. This rephrased thesis should now carry the weight of all the evidence and argumentation you have presented. It should sound like a concluding pronouncement, a definitive statement of your paper's central claim, now fully substantiated.

Consider your initial thesis statement as a roadmap. The body of your paper is the journey, and the conclusion is the arrival at your destination, where you point back to the starting point with newfound understanding. You might have begun by stating "Picasso's *Les Femmes d'Alger* challenged traditional notions of perspective." In your conclusion, you might rephrase this as: "Ultimately, Picasso's daring fragmentation of form and space in *Les Femmes d'Alger* irrevocably fractured the centuries-old Western artistic tradition of illusionistic representation, ushering in a new era of artistic exploration."

Summarizing Key Evidence and Analysis

This involves a concise overview of the most compelling pieces of evidence and the most significant

analytical points you made in your paper. The goal is not to rehash every detail but to highlight the most impactful findings that directly support your thesis. Think about the pivotal moments in your argument – the critical interpretations, the historical connections, the visual analysis that most strongly validated your claims.

For example, if your paper discussed the influence of African masks on Cubism, you might briefly mention specific mask forms and the corresponding elements in early Cubist works, along with the scholarly consensus or controversies surrounding this influence. This summary reinforces the reader's memory of your strongest points without bogging them down in repetition.

Discussing the Broader Significance and Implications

This is where you move beyond the specifics of your chosen artwork or artist to consider its wider impact or meaning. What does your analysis contribute to the larger field of art history? What are the implications of your findings for understanding a particular movement, culture, or artistic practice? This section answers the "so what?" question, demonstrating the intellectual value of your research.

For instance, if your paper focused on the role of women patrons in Renaissance art, the conclusion could discuss how your findings challenge traditional narratives that often overlook female agency and contribute to a more inclusive understanding of art history. You might also touch upon how these patrons shaped artistic production in ways that continue to influence artistic patronage today.

Suggestions for Future Research or Further Inquiry

A sophisticated conclusion often leaves the door ajar for further investigation. Based on your research, what questions remain unanswered? What new avenues of inquiry have opened up? Suggesting future research demonstrates that you understand the ongoing nature of scholarly work and that your paper is part of a larger, evolving conversation.

For example, after concluding a paper on the conservation challenges of a specific fresco, you might suggest further research into new, less invasive chemical treatments or the socio-economic factors that contributed to the artwork's deterioration. This shows an awareness of the practical and theoretical dimensions of your topic beyond your immediate scope.

Common Pitfalls to Avoid in Your Conclusion

Even experienced writers can fall into common traps when concluding their academic papers. Recognizing and avoiding these pitfalls is essential for crafting a conclusion that is both effective and compliant with scholarly expectations in art history. These errors can detract from the overall quality and persuasiveness of your work.

- Introducing new evidence or arguments that were not discussed in the body of the paper.

- Simply summarizing the body paragraphs without synthesizing the overall argument.
- Apologizing for your findings or expressing doubt about your thesis.
- Using clichés or overly dramatic language that undermines the academic tone.
- Ending abruptly without a sense of closure or broader context.
- Repeating phrases or sentences verbatim from the introduction or body.
- Failing to connect the specific analysis back to the broader significance of the artwork or topic.

A common mistake is to treat the conclusion as an afterthought, rushing through it without giving it the same careful attention as the introduction or body paragraphs. This often leads to a weak, uninspired ending that fails to leave a lasting positive impression. It's crucial to allocate sufficient time and mental energy to developing a powerful concluding statement that truly solidifies your paper's contribution.

Examples of Effective Chicago Style Conclusion Strategies

Different approaches can be employed to craft an effective Chicago style conclusion, depending on the nature of your art history paper. The goal is always to leave the reader with a clear understanding of your argument's significance.

Concluding with a Powerful Statement

Sometimes, the most effective conclusion is one that ends with a strong, declarative statement that encapsulates your main argument's essence. This statement should be memorable and thought-provoking, summarizing the core takeaway from your research. It acts as a final, potent assertion of your thesis's validity and importance.

For instance, a paper examining the revolutionary impact of Impressionism might conclude with a statement like: "By dissolving the rigid boundaries of academic representation and embracing the ephemeral, the Impressionists did not merely capture a fleeting moment in time; they fundamentally redefined the very act of seeing, paving the way for all subsequent modernist departures from tradition."

Concluding with a Call to Further Consideration

Another effective strategy is to conclude by posing a question or suggesting an area for further study.

This approach acknowledges the complexity of art historical inquiry and demonstrates intellectual curiosity. It invites the reader to continue thinking about the topic and its implications long after they have finished reading your paper.

A paper on the ethical implications of AI-generated art could conclude with a query such as: "As artificial intelligence increasingly blurs the lines between creator and tool, we must ask: to what extent can authorship be attributed, and how will this challenge our fundamental understanding of artistic intent and creative value in the 21st century?"

Concluding by Revisiting an Opening Anecdote or Image

If your introduction used a specific anecdote, artwork, or historical detail to draw the reader in, you can bring your conclusion full circle by revisiting it. This creates a sense of narrative coherence and reinforces the central theme of your paper by showing how your analysis illuminates that initial point. It offers a satisfying resolution to the story you began.

Imagine an introduction that begins with a detailed description of a Baroque ceiling fresco. The conclusion might then revisit that fresco, offering a final interpretation that is now fully informed by the paper's analysis of its iconography, patronage, and historical context, thus providing a complete and resonant understanding of that initial visual experience.

Refining Your Conclusion for Clarity and Impact

The final stage of writing your conclusion involves meticulous refinement. This means reviewing your drafted conclusion for clarity, conciseness, and overall impact. Read it aloud to catch awkward phrasing or repetitive sentences. Ensure that the tone is consistent with the rest of your paper and that your arguments are presented with confidence and authority.

Consider the following points during your revision process:

- Is the thesis restated clearly and effectively?
- Does the conclusion synthesize rather than merely summarize?
- Are the broader implications of your research articulated compellingly?
- Is the language precise and free from jargon unless absolutely necessary?
- Does the conclusion provide a strong sense of closure?
- Are there any grammatical errors or typos?

By diligently polishing your conclusion, you ensure that your hard work and insightful analysis leave a powerful and lasting impression on your reader, solidifying your position as a thoughtful and knowledgeable art historian.

FAQ

Q: What is the primary goal of a Chicago style conclusion for an art history paper?

A: The primary goal is to synthesize your arguments, reiterate your thesis in a new light, summarize key evidence, and discuss the broader significance of your research, providing a satisfying sense of closure and reinforcing the value of your analysis.

Q: Should I introduce new evidence in my Chicago style art history conclusion?

A: No, you should absolutely not introduce new evidence or arguments in your conclusion. The conclusion is for synthesizing and reinforcing what you have already presented.

Q: How is restating the thesis different from simply repeating it in a Chicago style conclusion?

A: Restating the thesis involves rephrasing your central argument using different language and demonstrating how your body of evidence has proven it, whereas simply repeating it would be verbatim or very close to the original phrasing without added insight.

Q: What does it mean to "synthesize" in an art history conclusion?

A: Synthesizing means weaving together the various threads of your analysis and evidence from the body of your paper to show how they collectively support your overall thesis, revealing the emergent patterns and deeper meaning of your research.

Q: Can I use a quote in my Chicago style art history conclusion?

A: While not explicitly forbidden, it's generally best to avoid ending your conclusion with a quote. Your own voice and synthesized arguments should provide the final impact. If a quote is absolutely essential, ensure it directly and powerfully encapsulates your main point and is thoroughly integrated.

Q: How much emphasis should I place on the broader

significance of my art history research in the conclusion?

A: Discussing the broader significance is crucial. It answers the "so what?" question and demonstrates the relevance and impact of your research within the larger field of art history, making your paper more compelling and memorable.

Q: What is a common mistake to avoid when writing a Chicago style conclusion for an art history paper?

A: A very common mistake is to simply summarize the points made in each body paragraph without truly synthesizing them into a coherent, overarching argument, or to introduce entirely new ideas that were not covered previously.

Q: Should my Chicago style conclusion feel like a definitive ending or an invitation to further study?

A: A strong conclusion often does both. It provides a definitive statement of your argument's validity while also opening avenues for further research or consideration, demonstrating the ongoing nature of scholarly inquiry.

[Chicago Style Conclusion For Art History Paper](#)

Chicago Style Conclusion For Art History Paper

Related Articles

- [chicago style citation for research proposals](#)
- [chicago style citation examples legal document](#)
- [chicago style citation handbook](#)

[Back to Home](#)