

CHICAGO STYLE BEST PRACTICES FOR AVOIDING PLAGIARISM

CHICAGO STYLE BEST PRACTICES FOR AVOIDING PLAGIARISM IS A CORNERSTONE OF ACADEMIC INTEGRITY AND SCHOLARLY COMMUNICATION, PARTICULARLY WHEN ADHERING TO THE RENOWNED CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE. UNDERSTANDING AND IMPLEMENTING THESE PRACTICES ENSURES THAT ORIGINAL IDEAS ARE PROPERLY CREDITED, PREVENTING THE UNINTENTIONAL OR INTENTIONAL ACT OF INTELLECTUAL THEFT. THIS COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE DELVES INTO THE INTRICACIES OF CHICAGO STYLE'S APPROACH TO CITATION, PARAPHRASING, QUOTING, AND OVERALL SOURCE MANAGEMENT, OFFERING CLEAR STRATEGIES TO MAINTAIN ETHICAL STANDARDS IN YOUR WRITING. WE WILL EXPLORE THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF AVOIDING PLAGIARISM, THE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS OF CHICAGO'S FOOTNOTE AND BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEMS, AND PRACTICAL TIPS FOR INTEGRATING SOURCES SEAMLESSLY INTO YOUR WORK. BY MASTERING THESE BEST PRACTICES, WRITERS CAN CONFIDENTLY PRESENT THEIR RESEARCH AND ARGUMENTS WHILE UPHOLDING THE HIGHEST ACADEMIC AND PROFESSIONAL EXPECTATIONS.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

UNDERSTANDING PLAGIARISM

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE CITATION SYSTEMS

PROPER ATTRIBUTION IN CHICAGO STYLE

QUOTING SOURCES EFFECTIVELY

PARAPHRASING AND SUMMARIZING WITH INTEGRITY

MANAGING YOUR SOURCES DILIGENTLY

COMMON PITFALLS AND HOW TO AVOID THEM

UNDERSTANDING PLAGIARISM IN ACADEMIC WRITING

PLAGIARISM, AT ITS CORE, IS THE ACT OF PRESENTING SOMEONE ELSE'S WORK OR IDEAS AS YOUR OWN WITHOUT PROPER ACKNOWLEDGMENT. THIS CAN MANIFEST IN VARIOUS FORMS, FROM DIRECT COPYING OF TEXT TO MORE SUBTLE INSTANCES OF IDEA APPROPRIATION. ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS AND PUBLISHERS UNIVERSALLY CONDEMN PLAGIARISM DUE TO ITS DETRIMENTAL IMPACT ON INTELLECTUAL HONESTY AND THE INTEGRITY OF SCHOLARLY DISCOURSE. RECOGNIZING THE VARIOUS GUISES OF PLAGIARISM IS THE FIRST STEP TOWARDS AVOIDING IT.

PLAGIARISM CAN BE CATEGORIZED INTO SEVERAL TYPES, EACH CARRYING SIGNIFICANT ACADEMIC PENALTIES. THESE INCLUDE DIRECT PLAGIARISM, WHERE EXACT WORDS ARE TAKEN WITHOUT QUOTATION MARKS AND CITATION; MOSAIC PLAGIARISM, WHERE PHRASES AND SENTENCES ARE BORROWED AND SLIGHTLY ALTERED OR STITCHED TOGETHER FROM DIFFERENT SOURCES WITHOUT ATTRIBUTION; ACCIDENTAL PLAGIARISM, WHICH OFTEN STEMS FROM CARELESSNESS OR MISUNDERSTANDING CITATION RULES; AND SELF-PLAGIARISM, THE REUTILIZATION OF ONE'S OWN PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED WORK WITHOUT PROPER ACKNOWLEDGMENT. EACH OF THESE NECESSITATES A DILIGENT APPROACH TO SOURCE MANAGEMENT AND CITATION.

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE CITATION SYSTEMS

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE (CMOS) OFFERS TWO PRIMARY CITATION SYSTEMS: THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM AND THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM. BOTH SYSTEMS ARE DESIGNED TO PROVIDE CLEAR AND COMPREHENSIVE ATTRIBUTION TO SOURCES, THEREBY AIDING READERS IN LOCATING AND VERIFYING THE INFORMATION PRESENTED. UNDERSTANDING THE NUANCES OF EACH SYSTEM IS CRUCIAL FOR APPLYING CHICAGO STYLE BEST PRACTICES FOR AVOIDING PLAGIARISM.

THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM

THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM IS COMMONLY USED IN THE HUMANITIES, INCLUDING LITERATURE, HISTORY, AND THE ARTS. THIS SYSTEM EMPLOYS NUMBERED FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES WITHIN THE TEXT TO REFER READERS TO SPECIFIC SOURCES. A COMPREHENSIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY AT THE END OF THE WORK LISTS ALL SOURCES CITED.

WHEN USING FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES, EACH CITATION SHOULD CORRESPOND TO A SPECIFIC PIECE OF INFORMATION OR IDEA THAT IS NOT COMMON KNOWLEDGE AND ORIGINATES FROM AN EXTERNAL SOURCE. THE FIRST CITATION OF A SOURCE TYPICALLY INCLUDES FULL PUBLICATION DETAILS, WHILE SUBSEQUENT CITATIONS FOR THE SAME SOURCE ARE OFTEN SHORTENED TO INCLUDE ONLY THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME, A SHORTENED TITLE, AND THE PAGE NUMBER. THIS DETAILED REFERENCING MINIMIZES THE RISK OF MISATTRIBUTING IDEAS.

THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM

THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM IS MORE PREVALENT IN THE SCIENCES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES. IN THIS SYSTEM, BRIEF PARENTHETICAL CITATIONS IN THE TEXT INCLUDE THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME AND THE YEAR OF PUBLICATION, OFTEN WITH A PAGE NUMBER. A CORRESPONDING REFERENCE LIST AT THE END OF THE WORK PROVIDES FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FOR ALL CITED SOURCES.

THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM ALLOWS FOR QUICK IDENTIFICATION OF THE SOURCE AND PUBLICATION YEAR DIRECTLY WITHIN THE NARRATIVE. FOR EXAMPLE, A CITATION MIGHT APPEAR AS (SMITH 2023, 45). THIS IN-TEXT ACKNOWLEDGMENT ENSURES THAT THE READER KNOWS PRECISELY WHERE THE BORROWED MATERIAL ORIGINATED, DIRECTLY CONTRIBUTING TO THE AVOIDANCE OF PLAGIARISM.

PROPER ATTRIBUTION IN CHICAGO STYLE

ACCURATE AND CONSISTENT ATTRIBUTION IS THE BEDROCK OF AVOIDING PLAGIARISM IN ANY STYLE, AND CHICAGO STYLE PROVIDES DETAILED GUIDELINES TO ACHIEVE THIS. WHETHER YOU ARE USING THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY OR AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, THE PRINCIPLE REMAINS THE SAME: GIVE CREDIT WHERE CREDIT IS DUE.

CITING ALL BORROWED MATERIAL

IT IS IMPERATIVE TO CITE ANY MATERIAL THAT IS NOT YOUR ORIGINAL THOUGHT OR COMMON KNOWLEDGE. THIS INCLUDES DIRECT QUOTATIONS, PARAPHRASED IDEAS, SUMMARIES OF ARGUMENTS, STATISTICS, IMAGES, AND ANY OTHER INFORMATION DERIVED FROM AN EXTERNAL SOURCE. FAILING TO CITE EVEN A SINGLE INSTANCE OF BORROWED MATERIAL CAN BE CONSIDERED PLAGIARISM.

THE TYPES OF INFORMATION THAT REQUIRE CITATION INCLUDE:

- DIRECT QUOTATIONS FROM A TEXT.
- PARAPHRASED OR SUMMARIZED IDEAS FROM ANOTHER SOURCE.
- SPECIFIC FACTS, FIGURES, OR STATISTICS NOT CONSIDERED COMMON KNOWLEDGE.
- REFERENCES TO THEORIES, METHODOLOGIES, OR CONCEPTS DEVELOPED BY OTHERS.
- ANY VISUAL OR MULTIMEDIA CONTENT TAKEN FROM ANOTHER SOURCE.

DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN COMMON KNOWLEDGE AND SOURCE MATERIAL

COMMON KNOWLEDGE REFERS TO FACTS OR INFORMATION THAT ARE WIDELY KNOWN AND ACCEPTED WITHIN A GENERAL AUDIENCE OR A SPECIFIC FIELD. FOR INSTANCE, STATING THAT THE CAPITAL OF FRANCE IS PARIS IS COMMON KNOWLEDGE AND GENERALLY

DOES NOT REQUIRE A CITATION. HOWEVER, IF YOU ARE DISCUSSING A SPECIFIC HISTORICAL EVENT IN PARIS OR CITING A PARTICULAR STATISTIC ABOUT ITS POPULATION, THAT INFORMATION WOULD LIKELY REQUIRE A CITATION.

WHEN IN DOUBT ABOUT WHETHER SOMETHING CONSTITUTES COMMON KNOWLEDGE, IT IS ALWAYS SAFER TO ERR ON THE SIDE OF CAUTION AND PROVIDE A CITATION. THIS DEMONSTRATES YOUR COMMITMENT TO ACADEMIC HONESTY AND PROVIDES READERS WITH THE OPPORTUNITY TO VERIFY YOUR INFORMATION, THEREBY REINFORCING CHICAGO STYLE BEST PRACTICES FOR AVOIDING PLAGIARISM.

QUOTING SOURCES EFFECTIVELY

DIRECT QUOTATIONS ARE POWERFUL TOOLS FOR ADDING AUTHORITY AND DETAIL TO YOUR WRITING, BUT THEY MUST BE USED WITH PRECISION AND METICULOUS ADHERENCE TO CITATION RULES TO PREVENT PLAGIARISM. CHICAGO STYLE OFFERS CLEAR GUIDELINES ON HOW TO INCORPORATE AND CITE QUOTATIONS.

INTEGRATING SHORT QUOTATIONS

SHORT QUOTATIONS, TYPICALLY FEWER THAN FIVE LINES OF PROSE OR THREE LINES OF VERSE, ARE USUALLY INCORPORATED DIRECTLY INTO THE TEXT AND ENCLOSED IN DOUBLE QUOTATION MARKS. THEY SHOULD BE INTRODUCED BY YOUR OWN WORDS AND FOLLOWED BY THE APPROPRIATE CITATION, WHETHER IT'S A FOOTNOTE/ENDNOTE NUMBER OR AN IN-TEXT PARENTHETICAL CITATION.

FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, YOU MIGHT WRITE: AS HISTORIAN JOHN SMITH NOTES, "THE IMPACT OF THE INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION WAS PROFOUND AND FAR-REACHING" (SMITH 2023, 15). THE CORRESPONDING FOOTNOTE WOULD PROVIDE THE FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC DETAILS. IN THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, IT WOULD BE: AS HISTORIAN JOHN SMITH NOTES, "THE IMPACT OF THE INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION WAS PROFOUND AND FAR-REACHING" (SMITH 2023, 15).

FORMATTING LONG QUOTATIONS

LONGER QUOTATIONS, KNOWN AS BLOCK QUOTATIONS, ARE SET APART FROM THE MAIN TEXT AND INDENTED. THEY DO NOT REQUIRE QUOTATION MARKS. THE LENGTH THAT CONSTITUTES A BLOCK QUOTATION CAN VARY SLIGHTLY DEPENDING ON THE CONTEXT, BUT GENERALLY, FOUR OR MORE LINES OF PROSE OR MORE THAN THREE LINES OF POETRY ARE SET AS BLOCK QUOTATIONS. THE CITATION FOLLOWS THE QUOTATION, TYPICALLY WITH THE FINAL PUNCTUATION OF THE QUOTATION PRECEDING THE CITATION.

WHEN FORMATTING A BLOCK QUOTATION, THE ENTIRE BLOCK IS INDENTED FROM THE LEFT MARGIN. THIS VISUAL SEPARATION CLEARLY DISTINGUISHES THE QUOTED MATERIAL FROM YOUR OWN PROSE, REINFORCING THE INTEGRITY OF YOUR WORK AND ADHERING TO CHICAGO STYLE BEST PRACTICES FOR AVOIDING PLAGIARISM.

USING QUOTATION MARKS AND OMISSIONS

WHEN USING QUOTATIONS, IT IS ESSENTIAL TO REPRODUCE THE ORIGINAL TEXT ACCURATELY. HOWEVER, IF YOU NEED TO OMIT CERTAIN WORDS OR PHRASES FOR BREVITY OR CLARITY, YOU MUST USE ELLIPSIS POINTS (...). SIMILARLY, IF YOU NEED TO INSERT YOUR OWN EXPLANATORY WORDS WITHIN A QUOTATION, YOU SHOULD ENCLOSE THOSE INSERTIONS IN SQUARE BRACKETS [].

THESE CONVENTIONS ENSURE THAT THE READER UNDERSTANDS THAT THE TEXT WITHIN THE QUOTATION MARKS IS NOT ENTIRELY YOUR OWN WORDING AND HIGHLIGHTS ANY MODIFICATIONS MADE. ANY DEVIATION FROM THE ORIGINAL TEXT WITHOUT THESE

MARKERS CAN BE CONSTRUED AS MISREPRESENTATION AND, BY EXTENSION, PLAGIARISM.

PARAPHRASING AND SUMMARIZING WITH INTEGRITY

PARAPHRASING AND SUMMARIZING ARE ESSENTIAL SKILLS FOR INTEGRATING SOURCE MATERIAL INTO YOUR WRITING WITHOUT RELYING SOLELY ON DIRECT QUOTATIONS. HOWEVER, THEY ALSO PRESENT SIGNIFICANT OPPORTUNITIES FOR UNINTENTIONAL PLAGIARISM IF NOT EXECUTED CORRECTLY.

REWORDING AND RESTRUCTURING IDEAS

TO PARAPHRASE EFFECTIVELY, YOU MUST NOT ONLY CHANGE THE WORDS OF THE ORIGINAL SENTENCE BUT ALSO FUNDAMENTALLY RESTRUCTURE THE SENTENCE AND CONVEY THE MEANING IN YOUR OWN DISTINCT VOICE. SIMPLY SUBSTITUTING SYNONYMS FOR KEYWORDS IS INSUFFICIENT AND CAN STILL CONSTITUTE MOSAIC PLAGIARISM. THE GOAL IS TO ABSORB THE AUTHOR'S IDEA AND THEN EXPRESS IT AS IF IT WERE YOUR OWN THOUGHT, WHILE STILL ACKNOWLEDGING ITS ORIGIN.

WHEN PARAPHRASING, CONSIDER:

- READ THE ORIGINAL PASSAGE CAREFULLY UNTIL YOU FULLY UNDERSTAND ITS MEANING.
- PUT THE ORIGINAL TEXT ASIDE.
- WRITE THE IDEA IN YOUR OWN WORDS AND SENTENCE STRUCTURE.
- COMPARE YOUR PARAPHRASE TO THE ORIGINAL TO ENSURE ACCURACY AND THAT YOU HAVEN'T ACCIDENTALLY USED TOO MUCH OF THE ORIGINAL PHRASING.
- CITE THE SOURCE.

SUMMARIZING ARGUMENTS AND CONCEPTS

SUMMARIZING INVOLVES CONDENSING THE MAIN POINTS OF A LONGER TEXT OR ARGUMENT INTO A BRIEFER STATEMENT. LIKE PARAPHRASING, SUMMARIZING REQUIRES YOU TO UNDERSTAND THE SOURCE MATERIAL THOROUGHLY AND THEN ARTICULATE ITS ESSENCE IN YOUR OWN WORDS AND STYLE. A SUMMARY SHOULD CAPTURE THE CORE MESSAGE WITHOUT GETTING BOGGED DOWN IN MINOR DETAILS, AND IT MUST ALWAYS BE ATTRIBUTED TO THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR.

THE KEY TO AVOIDING PLAGIARISM WHEN SUMMARIZING IS TO SYNTHESIZE THE INFORMATION AND PRESENT IT THROUGH YOUR UNIQUE INTERPRETATIVE LENS. THIS MEANS GOING BEYOND MERELY REARRANGING SENTENCES AND INSTEAD ENGAGING WITH THE MATERIAL TO EXTRACT ITS FUNDAMENTAL CONTRIBUTION TO YOUR OWN ARGUMENT.

MANAGING YOUR SOURCES DILIGENTLY

EFFECTIVE SOURCE MANAGEMENT IS A PROACTIVE APPROACH TO AVOIDING PLAGIARISM. KEEPING METICULOUS RECORDS OF THE SOURCES YOU CONSULT AND THE INFORMATION YOU EXTRACT FROM THEM IS CRUCIAL FOR ACCURATE CITATION LATER ON.

KEEPING DETAILED NOTES

AS YOU RESEARCH, MAINTAIN DETAILED NOTES THAT CLEARLY DISTINGUISH BETWEEN DIRECT QUOTATIONS, PARAPHRASED IDEAS, AND YOUR OWN THOUGHTS. FOR EACH SOURCE, RECORD ALL BIBLIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION, INCLUDING AUTHOR, TITLE, PUBLICATION DATE, PUBLISHER, AND PAGE NUMBERS FOR SPECIFIC QUOTES OR DATA. THIS ORGANIZED APPROACH WILL SAVE YOU IMMENSE TIME AND PREVENT ERRORS WHEN YOU BEGIN CONSTRUCTING YOUR CITATIONS.

A ROBUST NOTE-TAKING SYSTEM SHOULD INCLUDE:

- THE FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FOR EACH SOURCE.
- DIRECTLY COPIED QUOTATIONS, CLEARLY MARKED AS SUCH, ALONG WITH THE PAGE NUMBER.
- PARAPHRASED OR SUMMARIZED IDEAS, WITH THE ORIGINAL SOURCE AND PAGE NUMBER NOTED.
- YOUR OWN REFLECTIONS, IDEAS, AND CONNECTIONS TO THE MATERIAL, DISTINCTLY SEPARATED FROM SOURCE MATERIAL.

USING CITATION MANAGEMENT TOOLS

MODERN CITATION MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE CAN BE INVALUABLE FOR KEEPING TRACK OF SOURCES AND GENERATING BIBLIOGRAPHIES AND REFERENCE LISTS. TOOLS LIKE ZOTERO, MENDELEY, OR ENDNOTE CAN HELP YOU STORE BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA, ORGANIZE YOUR RESEARCH, AND EVEN INSERT CITATIONS DIRECTLY INTO YOUR DOCUMENT ACCORDING TO CHICAGO STYLE GUIDELINES. WHILE THESE TOOLS ARE HELPFUL, THEY DO NOT REPLACE THE NEED FOR UNDERSTANDING THE UNDERLYING CITATION PRINCIPLES.

THESE TOOLS AUTOMATE MUCH OF THE TEDIOUS WORK OF FORMATTING CITATIONS, SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING THE CHANCES OF HUMAN ERROR IN TRANSCRIPTION OR MISAPPLICATION OF RULES. HOWEVER, IT REMAINS YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO ENSURE THE ACCURACY OF THE DATA ENTERED INTO THESE TOOLS AND TO VERIFY THAT THE GENERATED CITATIONS CONFORM TO CHICAGO STYLE BEST PRACTICES FOR AVOIDING PLAGIARISM.

COMMON PITFALLS AND HOW TO AVOID THEM

EVEN DILIGENT WRITERS CAN STUMBLE INTO PLAGIARISM TRAPS. AWARENESS OF COMMON ERRORS AND PROACTIVE STRATEGIES TO CIRCUMVENT THEM ARE ESSENTIAL FOR MAINTAINING ACADEMIC INTEGRITY.

CONFUSING PARAPHRASING WITH PATCHWRITING

A FREQUENT MISTAKE IS "PATCHWRITING," WHERE A WRITER REPLACES A FEW WORDS IN A SENTENCE FROM A SOURCE BUT RETAINS THE ORIGINAL SENTENCE STRUCTURE AND MOST OF THE ORIGINAL WORDING. THIS IS NOT TRUE PARAPHRASING AND IS CONSIDERED A FORM OF PLAGIARISM. TO AVOID THIS, ALWAYS ENSURE THAT YOUR PARAPHRASE REFLECTS A DEEP UNDERSTANDING OF THE MATERIAL AND IS EXPRESSED IN YOUR OWN UNIQUE SENTENCE CONSTRUCTIONS AND VOCABULARY.

TO AVOID PATCHWRITING, ASK YOURSELF:

- HAVE I CHANGED THE SENTENCE STRUCTURE SIGNIFICANTLY?
- HAVE I USED MY OWN WORDS AND PHRASING TO CONVEY THE IDEA?

- DOES MY PARAPHRASE SOUND LIKE MY OWN WRITING, NOT A SLIGHTLY ALTERED VERSION OF THE ORIGINAL?

FORGETTING TO CITE INFORMAL SOURCES

PLAGIARISM IS NOT LIMITED TO PUBLISHED BOOKS AND ARTICLES. INFORMATION GATHERED FROM LECTURES, INTERVIEWS, WEBSITES, PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS, AND EVEN SOCIAL MEDIA POSTS MUST BE CITED IF IT IS NOT COMMON KNOWLEDGE. INFORMAL SOURCES CAN BE PARTICULARLY TRICKY, AS THEY MAY NOT HAVE STANDARD BIBLIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION.

ALWAYS DOCUMENT:

- THE SPEAKER OR AUTHOR OF THE INFORMATION.
- THE DATE OF THE COMMUNICATION.
- THE CONTEXT IN WHICH THE INFORMATION WAS RECEIVED.
- SPECIFIC DETAILS TO IDENTIFY THE SOURCE, SUCH AS THE NAME OF THE LECTURE OR THE WEBSITE URL.

OVER-RELIANCE ON QUOTATIONS

WHILE DIRECT QUOTES ARE VALUABLE, RELYING ON THEM TOO HEAVILY CAN WEAKEN YOUR OWN VOICE AND ARGUMENT. EXCESSIVE QUOTING CAN ALSO LEAD TO ACCIDENTAL PLAGIARISM IF QUOTES ARE NOT PERFECTLY INTEGRATED AND CITED. STRIVE TO BLEND YOUR OWN ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATIONS WITH JUDICIOUSLY CHOSEN QUOTATIONS TO CREATE A COHESIVE AND ORIGINAL PIECE OF WORK.

REMEMBER THAT YOUR WRITING SHOULD PRIMARILY BE YOUR OWN THOUGHTS, SUPPORTED AND ILLUSTRATED BY CREDIBLE SOURCES, NOT A COMPILATION OF OTHERS' WORDS. THIS BALANCE IS KEY TO DEMONSTRATING YOUR UNDERSTANDING AND ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTION TO THE FIELD, EMBODYING CHICAGO STYLE BEST PRACTICES FOR AVOIDING PLAGIARISM.

FAQ

Q: WHAT IS THE MOST COMMON FORM OF ACCIDENTAL PLAGIARISM WHEN USING CHICAGO STYLE?

A: THE MOST COMMON FORM OF ACCIDENTAL PLAGIARISM WHEN USING CHICAGO STYLE IS INSUFFICIENT PARAPHRASING, OFTEN REFERRED TO AS "PATCHWRITING." THIS OCCURS WHEN A WRITER CHANGES ONLY A FEW WORDS IN A SENTENCE FROM A SOURCE BUT RETAINS THE ORIGINAL SENTENCE STRUCTURE AND MOST OF THE ORIGINAL WORDING, FAILING TO TRULY EXPRESS THE IDEA IN THEIR OWN VOICE.

Q: HOW DOES CHICAGO STYLE HANDLE THE CITATION OF WEBSITES?

A: CHICAGO STYLE HANDLES WEBSITE CITATIONS SIMILARLY TO OTHER PUBLISHED MATERIALS, REQUIRING BOTH IN-TEXT CITATIONS AND A FULL ENTRY IN THE BIBLIOGRAPHY OR REFERENCE LIST. KEY ELEMENTS TO INCLUDE ARE THE AUTHOR (IF KNOWN), THE TITLE OF THE SPECIFIC PAGE OR ARTICLE, THE TITLE OF THE OVERALL WEBSITE, THE PUBLICATION DATE (OR ACCESS DATE IF NO PUBLICATION DATE IS AVAILABLE), AND THE URL.

Q: IS IT NECESSARY TO CITE STATISTICS EVEN IF THEY ARE FROM A COMMONLY DISCUSSED TOPIC?

A: YES, IT IS GENERALLY NECESSARY TO CITE STATISTICS EVEN IF THEY ARE FROM A COMMONLY DISCUSSED TOPIC. WHILE THE TOPIC ITSELF MIGHT BE WIDELY KNOWN, SPECIFIC NUMERICAL DATA IS USUALLY THE RESULT OF RESEARCH AND SHOULD BE ATTRIBUTED TO THE SOURCE THAT COLLECTED OR PUBLISHED THOSE STATISTICS.

Q: CAN I QUOTE MYSELF IF I AM REUSING MY OWN PREVIOUS WORK?

A: YES, IF YOU ARE REUSING YOUR OWN PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED WORK, IT IS CONSIDERED SELF-PLAGIARISM IF NOT PROPERLY ACKNOWLEDGED. YOU SHOULD CITE YOUR OWN PREVIOUS WORK JUST AS YOU WOULD CITE ANY OTHER SOURCE, INDICATING THAT THE MATERIAL IS NOT ORIGINAL TO THE CURRENT WORK.

Q: WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A FOOTNOTE AND AN ENDNOTE IN CHICAGO STYLE?

A: IN CHICAGO STYLE'S NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, BOTH FOOTNOTES AND ENDNOTES SERVE THE SAME PURPOSE: TO PROVIDE A CITATION FOR A PIECE OF INFORMATION IN THE TEXT. FOOTNOTES APPEAR AT THE BOTTOM OF THE PAGE WHERE THE REFERENCE IS MADE, WHILE ENDNOTES ARE COMPILED AT THE END OF THE DOCUMENT, CHAPTER, OR BOOK. THE CHOICE BETWEEN THEM IS LARGELY A MATTER OF PUBLISHER PREFERENCE OR AUTHORIAL CONVENIENCE.

Q: HOW DO I CITE A SOURCE THAT HAS MULTIPLE AUTHORS IN CHICAGO STYLE?

A: FOR SOURCES WITH TWO OR THREE AUTHORS IN THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, ALL AUTHORS' NAMES ARE TYPICALLY INCLUDED IN THE FIRST NOTE AND THE BIBLIOGRAPHY. FOR SOURCES WITH FOUR OR MORE AUTHORS, ONLY THE FIRST AUTHOR'S NAME IS LISTED, FOLLOWED BY "ET AL." IN BOTH NOTES AND THE BIBLIOGRAPHY. IN THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, SIMILAR RULES APPLY FOR IN-TEXT CITATIONS.

Q: WHAT ARE THE CONSEQUENCES OF PLAGIARISM IN ACADEMIC SETTINGS?

A: THE CONSEQUENCES OF PLAGIARISM IN ACADEMIC SETTINGS CAN BE SEVERE AND MAY INCLUDE FAILING GRADES ON ASSIGNMENTS OR ENTIRE COURSES, SUSPENSION FROM THE INSTITUTION, EXPULSION FROM THE UNIVERSITY, DAMAGE TO ONE'S ACADEMIC REPUTATION, AND EVEN THE REVOCATION OF DEGREES.

Q: DOES CHICAGO STYLE REQUIRE A TITLE PAGE FOR ALL SUBMISSIONS?

A: WHILE CHICAGO STYLE DOES NOT MANDATE A SPECIFIC FORMAT FOR EVERY SUBMISSION, A TITLE PAGE IS GENERALLY RECOMMENDED OR REQUIRED BY MANY INSTITUTIONS AND PUBLISHERS. WHEN A TITLE PAGE IS USED, IT TYPICALLY INCLUDES THE TITLE OF THE WORK, THE AUTHOR'S NAME, AND THE NAME OF THE COURSE OR INSTITUTION.

Q: HOW CAN I ENSURE MY PARAPHRASED MATERIAL IS SUFFICIENTLY DIFFERENT FROM THE ORIGINAL SOURCE?

A: TO ENSURE YOUR PARAPHRASED MATERIAL IS SUFFICIENTLY DIFFERENT, FOCUS ON UNDERSTANDING THE CORE MEANING OF THE ORIGINAL TEXT AND THEN ARTICULATING THAT MEANING USING YOUR OWN VOCABULARY, SENTENCE STRUCTURE, AND ORGANIZATIONAL APPROACH. AVOID SIMPLY SWAPPING OUT WORDS FOR SYNONYMS; AIM TO RECONSTRUCT THE IDEA FROM THE GROUND UP IN YOUR OWN WORDS. ALWAYS COMPARE YOUR PARAPHRASE TO THE ORIGINAL TO CONFIRM IT'S DISTINCT.

Chicago Style Best Practices For Avoiding Plagiarism

Chicago Style Best Practices For Avoiding Plagiarism

Related Articles

- [chicago style capitalization rules for american english language](#)
- [chicago style bibliography generator example](#)
- [chicago style conclusion for art history paper](#)

[Back to Home](#)