

# CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE PUNCTUATION ERRORS

## MASTERING THE NUANCES: A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE TO CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE PUNCTUATION ERRORS

CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE PUNCTUATION ERRORS ARE A COMMON HURDLE FOR WRITERS, EDITORS, AND ACADEMICS STRIVING FOR CLARITY AND PROFESSIONALISM. WHILE THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE (CMOS) OFFERS A ROBUST FRAMEWORK FOR GRAMMATICAL CORRECTNESS, ITS DETAILED RULES, PARTICULARLY REGARDING PUNCTUATION, CAN BE INTRICATE. THIS ARTICLE DELVES DEEP INTO PREVALENT CMOS PUNCTUATION PITFALLS, OFFERING DETAILED EXPLANATIONS AND PRACTICAL ADVICE TO NAVIGATE THESE COMPLEXITIES. WE WILL EXPLORE COMMON MISTAKES RELATED TO COMMAS, SEMICOLONS, COLONS, APOSTROPHES, QUOTATION MARKS, AND THE JUDICIOUS USE OF EM DASHES, ALL CRUCIAL ELEMENTS FOR POLISHED PROSE ACCORDING TO CHICAGO STYLE GUIDELINES. UNDERSTANDING THESE NUANCES ENSURES YOUR WRITING IS NOT ONLY GRAMMATICALLY SOUND BUT ALSO ADHERES TO THE HIGHEST STANDARDS OF ACADEMIC AND PROFESSIONAL PUBLISHING.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

- COMMON COMMA CONUNDRUMS IN CHICAGO STYLE
- SEMICOLON SLIP-UPS: WHEN AND HOW TO USE THEM CORRECTLY
- COLON CATASTROPHES: AVOIDING MISUSE AND MISUNDERSTANDING
- APOSTROPHE ABOMINATIONS: POSSESSIVES, CONTRACTIONS, AND PLURALS
- QUOTATION MARK QUANDARIES: INTEGRATING DIALOGUE AND CITATIONS
- THE EM DASH DILEMMA: STRATEGIC APPLICATION FOR EMPHASIS AND CLARITY
- BEYOND THE BASICS: OTHER PUNCTUATION PITFALLS

### COMMON COMMA CONUNDRUMS IN CHICAGO STYLE

THE COMMA, OFTEN LAUDED AS THE MOST FREQUENTLY MISUSED PUNCTUATION MARK, PRESENTS NUMEROUS CHALLENGES WITHIN THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE. ONE OF THE MOST PERSISTENT ERRORS INVOLVES THE COMMA SPLICE, WHERE TWO INDEPENDENT CLAUSES ARE JOINED BY ONLY A COMMA. CMOS STRONGLY ADVISES AGAINST THIS PRACTICE, RECOMMENDING INSTEAD A SEMICOLON, A PERIOD, OR A COORDINATING CONJUNCTION PRECEDED BY A COMMA.

ANOTHER FREQUENT MISTAKE IS THE INCORRECT USE OF COMMAS IN SERIES. CHICAGO STYLE GENERALLY ADVOCATES FOR THE SERIAL COMMA (OR OXFORD COMMA) BEFORE THE FINAL ITEM IN A LIST OF THREE OR MORE ELEMENTS. FOR INSTANCE, "THE REPORT INCLUDED DATA ON SALES, MARKETING, AND CUSTOMER SERVICE." OMITTING THIS COMMA, WHILE ACCEPTABLE IN SOME OTHER STYLES, CAN LEAD TO AMBIGUITY IN CMOS. FURTHERMORE, UNNECESSARY COMMAS PLACED BETWEEN A SUBJECT AND ITS VERB, OR A VERB AND ITS OBJECT, ARE A COMMON OVERSIGHT THAT DISRUPTS THE NATURAL FLOW OF A SENTENCE.

## INCORRECT COMMA USAGE EXAMPLES

- COMMA SPLICE: "THE TEAM WORKED LATE, THEY NEEDED TO MEET THE DEADLINE." (INCORRECT)
- MISSING SERIAL COMMA: "SHE BOUGHT APPLES, BANANAS AND ORANGES." (LESS PREFERRED BY CMOS)
- UNNECESSARY COMMA: "THE BOOK, IS ON THE TABLE." (INCORRECT)

UNDERSTANDING THE FUNCTION OF COMMAS IN SEPARATING INTRODUCTORY CLAUSES, NONRESTRICTIVE ELEMENTS, AND APPOSITIVES IS PARAMOUNT. A NONRESTRICTIVE CLAUSE, WHICH PROVIDES ADDITIONAL, NON-ESSENTIAL INFORMATION, MUST BE SET OFF BY COMMAS. CONVERSELY, RESTRICTIVE CLAUSES, WHICH ARE ESSENTIAL TO THE MEANING OF THE SENTENCE, SHOULD NOT BE PRECEDED BY A COMMA. FOR EXAMPLE, "THE STUDENT WHO SCORED THE HIGHEST GRADE RECEIVED AN AWARD" (RESTRICTIVE, NO COMMA) VERSUS "MY BROTHER, WHO IS A DOCTOR, LIVES IN CHICAGO" (NONRESTRICTIVE, WITH COMMAS).

## SEMICOLON SLIP-UPS: WHEN AND HOW TO USE THEM CORRECTLY

SEMICOLONS ARE POWERFUL TOOLS FOR CONNECTING CLOSELY RELATED INDEPENDENT CLAUSES WITHOUT THE NEED FOR A CONJUNCTION. A PRIME EXAMPLE OF A SEMICOLON ERROR IS USING IT WHERE A COMMA WOULD SUFFICE, OR CONVERSELY, USING A COMMA WHERE A SEMICOLON IS REQUIRED. THE KEY PRINCIPLE IS THAT BOTH SIDES OF THE SEMICOLON MUST BE ABLE TO STAND ALONE AS COMPLETE SENTENCES.

FOR INSTANCE, "THE CONFERENCE WAS WELL-ATTENDED; SPEAKERS PRESENTED GROUNDBREAKING RESEARCH." THIS IS CORRECT BECAUSE "THE CONFERENCE WAS WELL-ATTENDED" AND "SPEAKERS PRESENTED GROUNDBREAKING RESEARCH" ARE BOTH INDEPENDENT CLAUSES. HOWEVER, "THE CONFERENCE WAS WELL-ATTENDED; BY MANY EXPERTS" WOULD BE INCORRECT BECAUSE THE SECOND PART IS NOT AN INDEPENDENT CLAUSE.

## COMMON SEMICOLON MISTAKES

- CONNECTING AN INDEPENDENT CLAUSE TO A PHRASE: "HE ENJOYED THE MEAL; A TRULY EXQUISITE EXPERIENCE." (INCORRECT)
- USING A SEMICOLON WHERE A COMMA IS APPROPRIATE: "SHE LIKES TO READ; WRITE; AND PAINT." (INCORRECT, A COMMA IS NEEDED BEFORE THE FINAL ITEM IN A SERIES, AND THE SEMICOLON SHOULD CONNECT INDEPENDENT CLAUSES.)
- TREATING A SEMICOLON AS A COLON: "THE RECIPE REQUIRES SEVERAL INGREDIENTS; FLOUR, SUGAR, AND EGGS." (INCORRECT, A COLON IS NEEDED HERE.)

ANOTHER AREA WHERE SEMICOLONS ARE OFTEN MISUSED IS IN LISTS, PARTICULARLY WHEN THE ITEMS IN THE LIST THEMSELVES CONTAIN COMMAS. IN SUCH CASES, SEMICOLONS CAN BE USED TO SEPARATE THE MAIN ITEMS OF THE LIST, THEREBY CLARIFYING THE STRUCTURE. FOR EXAMPLE, "THE ATTENDEES CAME FROM PARIS, FRANCE; LONDON, ENGLAND; AND ROME, ITALY." THIS APPLICATION OF SEMICOLONS PREVENTS CONFUSION AMONG THE CITY-STATE PAIRINGS.

## COLON CATASTROPHES: AVOIDING MISUSE AND MISUNDERSTANDING

COLONS SERVE PRIMARILY TO INTRODUCE, ANNOUNCE, OR POINT TOWARD WHAT FOLLOWS. A FREQUENT ERROR IS THE MISUSE OF COLONS AFTER VERBS OR PREPOSITIONS WHEN THEY DO NOT DIRECTLY INTRODUCE A LIST OR AN EXPLANATION. FOR EXAMPLE, "THE INGREDIENTS ARE: FLOUR, SUGAR, AND EGGS" IS INCORRECT BECAUSE "ARE" IS A VERB, AND THE COLON SHOULD NOT FOLLOW IT DIRECTLY WHEN INTRODUCING A LIST. THE CORRECT PHRASING WOULD BE "THE INGREDIENTS ARE FLOUR, SUGAR, AND EGGS" OR "THE INGREDIENTS ARE AS FOLLOWS: FLOUR, SUGAR, AND EGGS."

SIMILARLY, PLACING A COLON AFTER A PREPOSITION IS GENERALLY ERRONEOUS UNLESS THE PREPOSITION IS PART OF A LARGER INTRODUCTORY PHRASE THAT LOGICALLY LEADS INTO THE COLON'S FUNCTION. CORRECT USAGE INVOLVES USING A COLON TO INTRODUCE A QUOTATION, A FORMAL EXPLANATION, A LIST, OR AN EMPHATIC STATEMENT. FOR INSTANCE, "HE HAD ONE GOAL: TO SUCCEED." THIS IS A CLEAR AND CORRECT USE OF A COLON TO EMPHASIZE A SINGULAR OBJECTIVE.

## PROPER COLON APPLICATIONS

- INTRODUCING A LIST: "SHE PACKED THE ESSENTIALS: A TOOTHBRUSH, TOOTHPASTE, AND A CHANGE OF CLOTHES."
- INTRODUCING AN EXPLANATION: "THE SITUATION WAS DIRE: NO FOOD, NO WATER, AND NO HOPE."
- INTRODUCING A QUOTATION: "AS SHAKESPEARE FAMOUSLY WROTE: 'TO BE, OR NOT TO BE, THAT IS THE QUESTION.'"

IT IS CRUCIAL TO REMEMBER THAT THE CLAUSE PRECEDING A COLON SHOULD IDEALLY BE A COMPLETE SENTENCE. THIS RULE REINFORCES THE COLON'S ROLE AS A FORMAL INTRODUCER RATHER THAN A CASUAL SEPARATOR. OVERLOOKING THIS PRINCIPLE CAN LEAD TO A BREAKDOWN IN THE STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY OF SENTENCES, DIMINISHING THE CLARITY THAT CMOS AIMS TO UPHOLD.

## APOSTROPHE ABOMINATIONS: POSSESSIVES, CONTRACTIONS, AND PLURALS

APOSTROPHE ERRORS ARE PERVERSIVE, OFTEN STEMMING FROM CONFUSION BETWEEN POSSESSIVES, CONTRACTIONS, AND PLURALS. THE MOST COMMON OVERSIGHT IS THE INCORRECT FORMATION OF POSSESSIVES. FOR SINGULAR NOUNS, AN APOSTROPHE FOLLOWED BY AN 'S' IS GENERALLY USED (E.G., "THE CAT'S TOY"). FOR PLURAL NOUNS ENDING IN 'S', ONLY AN APOSTROPHE IS ADDED (E.G., "THE STUDENTS' PAPERS"). FOR PLURAL NOUNS NOT ENDING IN 'S', AN APOSTROPHE AND 'S' ARE USED (E.G., "THE CHILDREN'S GAMES").

CONTRACTIONS ARE ANOTHER FREQUENT SOURCE OF APOSTROPHE BLUNDERS, PARTICULARLY THE CONFUSION BETWEEN "IT'S" (IT IS) AND "ITS" (POSSESSIVE). SIMILARLY, "THEY'RE" (THEY ARE), "THERE" (PLACE), AND "THEIR" (POSSESSIVE) ARE OFTEN MIXED UP, ALONG WITH "YOU'RE" (YOU ARE) AND "YOUR" (POSSESSIVE). CMOS STRICTLY ADHERES TO THE RULE THAT CONTRACTIONS REQUIRE APOSTROPHES TO INDICATE OMITTED LETTERS.

## COMMON APOSTROPHE PITFALLS

- INCORRECT PLURALIZATION: "THE BOOK'S ARE ON THE SHELF." (SHOULD BE "THE BOOKS ARE...")
- CONFUSING "ITS" AND "IT'S": "THE DOG WAGGED IT'S TAIL." (SHOULD BE "ITS")
- INCORRECT POSSESSIVE OF A SINGULAR NOUN ENDING IN 'S': "CHRIS'S CAR IS RED." (CMOS ALLOWS BOTH "CHRIS'S" AND "CHRIS'," BUT "CHRIS'S" IS OFTEN PREFERRED FOR CLARITY.)

A PARTICULAR POINT OF CONTENTION IS THE PLURALIZATION OF BRAND NAMES OR OTHER PROPER NOUNS USED IN A POSSESSIVE SENSE. FOR EXAMPLE, "THE COMPANY'S PROFITS SOARED" IS CORRECT. HOWEVER, WHEN REFERRING TO MULTIPLE ITEMS BELONGING TO A PLURAL NOUN, SUCH AS "THE SMITHS' HOUSE," IT IS ESSENTIAL TO ENSURE THE APOSTROPHE IS PLACED CORRECTLY. THE RULE FOR PROPER NOUNS ENDING IN 'S' CAN BE TRICKY; CMOS GENERALLY PREFERS ADDING AN APOSTROPHE AND 'S' FOR CLARITY, EVEN IF IT SOUNDS SIMILAR TO JUST ADDING AN APOSTROPHE, THOUGH BOTH ARE OFTEN ACCEPTABLE DEPENDING ON PRONUNCIATION.

## QUOTATION MARK QUANDARIES: INTEGRATING DIALOGUE AND CITATIONS

THE CORRECT PLACEMENT OF QUOTATION MARKS IN RELATION TO OTHER PUNCTUATION IS A RECURRING ISSUE IN CMOS. A FUNDAMENTAL RULE IS THAT COMMAS AND PERIODS ALWAYS GO INSIDE THE CLOSING QUOTATION MARK, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THEY ARE PART OF THE QUOTED MATERIAL. FOR EXAMPLE, "SHE SAID, 'I'LL BE THERE SOON.'" THE PERIOD IS INSIDE THE QUOTATION MARKS.

COLONS AND SEMICOLONS, HOWEVER, TYPICALLY GO OUTSIDE THE CLOSING QUOTATION MARK, AS THEY ARE CONSIDERED PART OF THE SURROUNDING SENTENCE STRUCTURE RATHER THAN THE QUOTED TEXT. FOR INSTANCE, "HE DISCUSSED THE CONCEPT OF 'EPISTEMOLOGY'; HIS ANALYSIS WAS THOROUGH." EXCLAMATION POINTS AND QUESTION MARKS FOLLOW THE SAME RULE AS PERIODS AND COMMAS: THEY GO INSIDE THE QUOTATION MARKS IF THEY ARE PART OF THE QUOTED MATERIAL, AND OUTSIDE IF THEY ARE PART OF THE LARGER SENTENCE. THIS DISTINCTION IS CRITICAL FOR MAINTAINING THE INTEGRITY OF BOTH THE QUOTED MATERIAL AND THE MAIN TEXT.

## QUOTATION MARK PLACEMENT RULES (CMOS)

- PERIODS AND COMMAS: ALWAYS INSIDE THE CLOSING QUOTATION MARK.
- COLONS AND SEMICOLONS: ALWAYS OUTSIDE THE CLOSING QUOTATION MARK.
- EXCLAMATION POINTS AND QUESTION MARKS: INSIDE IF PART OF THE QUOTE, OUTSIDE IF PART OF THE MAIN SENTENCE.

ANOTHER COMMON ERROR INVOLVES THE MISUSE OF SINGLE QUOTATION MARKS. IN CMOS, SINGLE QUOTATION MARKS ARE USED FOR QUOTES WITHIN QUOTES. FOR EXAMPLE, "HE TOLD ME, 'SHE ASKED, "ARE YOU COMING?"'" THIS HIERARCHICAL USE OF QUOTATION MARKS ENSURES CLARITY WHEN NESTING DIFFERENT LEVELS OF REPORTED SPEECH OR TEXT.

## THE EM DASH DILEMMA: STRATEGIC APPLICATION FOR EMPHASIS AND CLARITY

THE EM DASH (—) IS A VERSATILE PUNCTUATION MARK THAT CAN BE USED TO SET OFF PARENTHETICAL INFORMATION, INDICATE AN ABRUPT BREAK IN THOUGHT, OR ADD EMPHASIS. ONE OF THE MOST FREQUENT ERRORS IS THE INCONSISTENT USE OF SPACES AROUND EM DASHES. CMOS GENERALLY PREFERS NO SPACES AROUND EM DASHES, EXCEPT IN SPECIFIC CASES WHERE IT MIGHT AID READABILITY IN VERY LONG OR COMPLEX PASSAGES, THOUGH THIS IS RARE. FOR INSTANCE, "THE RESULT—A STUNNING VICTORY—SURPRISED EVERYONE."

MISUSING EM DASHES IN PLACE OF COMMAS OR PARENTHESES IS ANOTHER PITFALL. WHILE EM DASHES CAN SERVE SIMILAR FUNCTIONS, THEIR USAGE CARRIES MORE WEIGHT AND SHOULD BE DELIBERATE. THEY OFTEN CREATE A STRONGER SEPARATION OR A MORE DRAMATIC INTERRUPTION THAN COMMAS OR PARENTHESES. FOR EXAMPLE, AN EM DASH CAN BE USED TO SET OFF A SUMMARY OR AN AMPLIFICATION OF A PRECEDING STATEMENT, OFFERING A DISTINCT STYLISTIC CHOICE.

## EFFECTIVE EM DASH USAGE

- SETTING OFF NONESSENTIAL ELEMENTS FOR EMPHASIS: "HE BROUGHT A SINGLE ITEM—A WORN LEATHER-BOUND BOOK."
- INDICATING AN ABRUPT BREAK OR SHIFT IN THOUGHT: "I WAS GOING TO TELL YOU, BUT—OH, NEVER MIND."
- INTRODUCING A CONCLUDING PHRASE OR SUMMARY: "AMBITION, GREED, ENVY—THESE ARE THE VICES THAT PLAGUE MANKIND."

IT IS IMPORTANT TO DISTINGUISH THE EM DASH FROM THE EN DASH (–), WHICH IS USED TO INDICATE RANGES (E.G., "PAGES 10–15") OR CONNECTIONS BETWEEN CONCEPTS. USING AN EM DASH WHERE AN EN DASH IS APPROPRIATE, OR VICE VERSA, IS A SUBTLE BUT SIGNIFICANT PUNCTUATION ERROR ACCORDING TO CHICAGO STYLE. MASTERING THE EM DASH INVOLVES UNDERSTANDING ITS POWER TO ENHANCE READABILITY AND ADD STYLISTIC FLAIR WHEN APPLIED CORRECTLY.

## BEYOND THE BASICS: OTHER PUNCTUATION PITFALLS

BEYOND THE CORE PUNCTUATION MARKS, SEVERAL OTHER AREAS CAN LEAD TO ERRORS IN CHICAGO STYLE. THE HYPHEN, FOR INSTANCE, IS OFTEN MISUSED. IT IS USED TO JOIN COMPOUND MODIFIERS BEFORE A NOUN (E.G., "A WELL-WRITTEN ESSAY") BUT TYPICALLY NOT AFTER THE NOUN ("THE ESSAY WAS WELL WRITTEN"). HYPHENATION RULES CAN BE COMPLEX, ESPECIALLY WITH PREFIXES AND COMPOUND WORDS, AND CONSULTING A DICTIONARY OR CMOS DIRECTLY IS OFTEN NECESSARY.

PARENTHESES ARE ANOTHER MARK THAT REQUIRES CAREFUL HANDLING. INFORMATION ENCLOSED IN PARENTHESES SHOULD GENERALLY BE SUPPLEMENTARY AND NOT ESSENTIAL TO THE SENTENCE'S MEANING. ERRORS OCCUR WHEN PARENTHESES ARE USED TO REPLACE NECESSARY PUNCTUATION OR WHEN THE MATERIAL WITHIN THEM IS TOO INTEGRAL TO BE SET APART. THE PLACEMENT OF TERMINAL PUNCTUATION WITH PARENTHESES ALSO FOLLOWS SPECIFIC RULES: IF THE PARENTHETICAL ELEMENT IS A COMPLETE SENTENCE WITHIN ANOTHER SENTENCE, THE PUNCTUATION BELONGS INSIDE THE CLOSING PARENTHESIS; IF IT'S NOT A COMPLETE SENTENCE, THE PUNCTUATION GOES AFTER.

## COMMON SECONDARY PUNCTUATION ERRORS

- INCORRECT HYPHENATION OF COMPOUND MODIFIERS: "A FAST-PACED DISCUSSION" VS. "A DISCUSSION THAT WAS FAST PACED."
- MISPLACED PUNCTUATION WITH PARENTHESES: "THE RESULT WAS POSITIVE (ALTHOUGH UNEXPECTED)." VS. "THE RESULT WAS POSITIVE. (ALTHOUGH IT WAS UNEXPECTED)."
- OVERUSE OR UNDERUSE OF ELLIPSES: WHILE ELLIPSES (...) INDICATE OMITTED WORDS, THEIR ARBITRARY USE CAN DISRUPT SENTENCE FLOW. CMOS PROVIDES GUIDELINES ON THEIR PROPER APPLICATION IN QUOTATIONS.

UNDERSTANDING THE PRECISE FUNCTION AND PLACEMENT OF EVERY PUNCTUATION MARK IS CRUCIAL FOR PRODUCING WRITING THAT IS BOTH CLEAR AND COMPLIANT WITH THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE. BY RECOGNIZING THESE COMMON PUNCTUATION ERRORS AND DILIGENTLY APPLYING THE RULES, WRITERS CAN SIGNIFICANTLY ENHANCE THE PROFESSIONALISM AND IMPACT OF THEIR WORK.

# FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS ABOUT CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE PUNCTUATION ERRORS

## Q: WHAT IS THE MOST COMMON PUNCTUATION ERROR ACCORDING TO THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE?

A: THE MOST PERVASIVE PUNCTUATION ERROR IS ARGUABLY THE COMMA SPLICE, WHERE TWO INDEPENDENT CLAUSES ARE JOINED BY ONLY A COMMA. CMOS STRONGLY ADVISES AGAINST THIS, RECOMMENDING ALTERNATIVES LIKE A SEMICOLON, A PERIOD, OR A COORDINATING CONJUNCTION WITH A PRECEDING COMMA.

## Q: DOES CHICAGO STYLE ALWAYS REQUIRE THE SERIAL COMMA?

A: YES, THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE GENERALLY ADVOCATES FOR THE SERIAL COMMA (ALSO KNOWN AS THE OXFORD COMMA) BEFORE THE FINAL ITEM IN A LIST OF THREE OR MORE. WHILE NOT ALWAYS MANDATORY IF AMBIGUITY IS AVOIDED, ITS INCLUSION IS THE PREFERRED AND CLEARER PRACTICE IN MOST SITUATIONS.

## Q: HOW DOES CHICAGO STYLE HANDLE QUOTATION MARKS WITH PERIODS AND COMMAS?

A: ACCORDING TO CHICAGO STYLE, PERIODS AND COMMAS ALWAYS GO INSIDE THE CLOSING QUOTATION MARK, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THEY ARE PART OF THE QUOTED MATERIAL. THIS IS A CONSISTENT RULE THAT HELPS MAINTAIN SENTENCE CLARITY AND A CLEAN VISUAL PRESENTATION.

## Q: WHEN SHOULD I USE AN EM DASH VERSUS A HYPHEN IN CHICAGO STYLE?

A: AN EM DASH (—) IS USED FOR STRONGER INTERRUPTIONS, TO SET OFF EXPLANATORY PHRASES FOR EMPHASIS, OR TO INDICATE AN ABRUPT BREAK IN THOUGHT. A HYPHEN (-) IS PRIMARILY USED TO JOIN COMPOUND WORDS AND MODIFIERS, PARTICULARLY BEFORE A NOUN. THEY SERVE DISTINCTLY DIFFERENT GRAMMATICAL PURPOSES.

## Q: WHAT ARE THE RULES FOR APOSTROPHES WITH POSSESSIVE NOUNS ENDING IN 'S' IN CHICAGO STYLE?

A: FOR SINGULAR POSSESSIVE NOUNS ENDING IN 'S', CHICAGO STYLE GENERALLY PERMITS BOTH ADDING AN APOSTROPHE AND 'S' (E.G., "CHRIS'S BOOK") OR JUST AN APOSTROPHE (E.G., "CHRIS' BOOK"), WITH THE FORMER OFTEN PREFERRED FOR CLARITY. FOR PLURAL POSSESSIVE NOUNS ENDING IN 'S', ONLY AN APOSTROPHE IS ADDED (E.G., "THE STUDENTS' PAPERS").

## Q: CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE CORRECT PLACEMENT OF COLONS AND SEMICOLONS WITH QUOTATION MARKS IN CHICAGO STYLE?

A: IN CHICAGO STYLE, COLONS AND SEMICOLONS ARE CONSIDERED PUNCTUATION FOR THE SURROUNDING SENTENCE AND THEREFORE ARE PLACED OUTSIDE THE CLOSING QUOTATION MARK. THIS CONTRASTS WITH PERIODS AND COMMAS, WHICH ARE PLACED INSIDE.

## Q: WHAT IS A COMMON ERROR RELATED TO INTRODUCTORY PHRASES IN CHICAGO STYLE PUNCTUATION?

A: A FREQUENT MISTAKE INVOLVES THE INCORRECT USE OF COMMAS AFTER INTRODUCTORY ELEMENTS. WHILE INTRODUCTORY CLAUSES AND PHRASES USUALLY REQUIRE A COMMA, OVERUSING COMMAS OR PLACING THEM WHERE THEY INTERRUPT THE

NATURAL FLOW BETWEEN A SUBJECT AND VERB IS A COMMON PITFALL.

## **Q: HOW DOES CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE ADDRESS THE USE OF ELLIPSES?**

A: CHICAGO STYLE PROVIDES SPECIFIC GUIDELINES FOR USING ELLIPSES (...) TO INDICATE OMITTED WORDS IN QUOTATIONS. ERRORS OCCUR WHEN ELLIPSES ARE USED UNNECESSARILY, DISRUPT THE SENTENCE STRUCTURE, OR ARE NOT FORMATTED ACCORDING TO CMOS STANDARDS, WHICH MAY INVOLVE SPACES AROUND THE ELLIPSIS POINTS.

## **Chicago Manual Of Style Punctuation Errors**

Chicago Manual Of Style Punctuation Errors

## **Related Articles**

- [chicago manual of style notes bibliography examples journal](#)
- [chicago manual of style title page styles requirements](#)
- [chicago manual of style reference online](#)

[Back to Home](#)