

CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE FOR LITERARY IRONY

CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE FOR LITERARY IRONY IS A CRITICAL COMPONENT OF UNDERSTANDING AND APPLYING PRECISE ACADEMIC AND LITERARY CITATION PRACTICES. THIS COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE DELVES INTO HOW THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE (CMOS), A WIDELY RESPECTED AUTHORITY FOR SCHOLARLY WRITING, ADDRESSES THE NUANCED ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF LITERARY IRONY. WE WILL EXPLORE THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF IDENTIFYING AND DEFINING IRONY WITHIN TEXTS, THE SPECIFIC STYLISTIC AND GRAMMATICAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR DISCUSSING IRONY, AND THE CRUCIAL ASPECTS OF CITING SOURCES WHEN EXAMINING THIS COMPLEX LITERARY DEVICE ACCORDING TO CMOS GUIDELINES. UNDERSTANDING THESE ELEMENTS IS VITAL FOR STUDENTS, SCHOLARS, AND WRITERS AIMING FOR CLARITY, ACCURACY, AND ADHERENCE TO ACADEMIC STANDARDS IN THEIR LITERARY CRITIQUES.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

UNDERSTANDING LITERARY IRONY
IDENTIFYING TYPES OF IRONY
THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE AND LITERARY ANALYSIS
STYLISTIC CONSIDERATIONS FOR DISCUSSING IRONY IN CMOS
GRAMMATICAL PUNCTUATION FOR IRONY
CITING WORKS DISCUSSING IRONY (CMOS)
PRACTICAL APPLICATION: EXAMPLES IN WRITING
CONCLUSION

UNDERSTANDING LITERARY IRONY

LITERARY IRONY IS A CORNERSTONE OF NARRATIVE AND THEMATIC DEVELOPMENT, SERVING AS A POWERFUL TOOL FOR AUTHORS TO IMBUE THEIR WORK WITH DEPTH, HUMOR, AND CRITICAL COMMENTARY. AT ITS CORE, IRONY INVOLVES A CONTRAST OR INCONGRUITY BETWEEN EXPECTATION AND REALITY, OR BETWEEN WHAT IS SAID AND WHAT IS MEANT. THIS DISCREPANCY CAN MANIFEST IN VARIOUS FORMS, FROM SUBTLE LINGUISTIC TWISTS TO GRAND, OVERARCHING PLOT STRUCTURES. RECOGNIZING AND EFFECTIVELY DISCUSSING IRONY IS A SKILL THAT ENRICHES THE READER'S COMPREHENSION AND ELEVATES THE ANALYTICAL RIGOR OF ANY LITERARY EXAMINATION.

THE INTERPRETATION OF IRONY IS OFTEN SUBJECTIVE, BUT ITS PRESENCE CAN BE OBJECTIVELY IDENTIFIED THROUGH CAREFUL TEXTUAL ANALYSIS. AUTHORS DELIBERATELY CRAFT THESE MOMENTS OF INCONGRUITY TO ENGAGE THE READER ON MULTIPLE LEVELS, PROMPTING REFLECTION ON THE PRESENTED WORLD, CHARACTERS, AND THEMES. THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE, WHILE PRIMARILY A GUIDE FOR MANUSCRIPT PREPARATION AND CITATION, IMPLICITLY SUPPORTS A CLEAR AND PRECISE APPROACH TO DISCUSSING LITERARY ELEMENTS LIKE IRONY THROUGH ITS EMPHASIS ON CLARITY, CONSISTENCY, AND ACADEMIC RIGOR.

IDENTIFYING TYPES OF IRONY

BEFORE DELVING INTO HOW THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE GUIDES THE DISCUSSION OF IRONY, IT IS ESSENTIAL TO GRASP THE PRIMARY CLASSIFICATIONS OF THIS LITERARY DEVICE. EACH TYPE PRESENTS A UNIQUE WAY IN WHICH THE INTENDED MEANING DIVERGES FROM THE LITERAL OR EXPECTED. UNDERSTANDING THESE DISTINCTIONS IS FUNDAMENTAL TO ACCURATE ANALYSIS AND CLEAR COMMUNICATION IN ACADEMIC WRITING.

VERBAL IRONY

VERBAL IRONY OCCURS WHEN A SPEAKER OR WRITER SAYS ONE THING BUT MEANS ANOTHER, OFTEN THE OPPOSITE. THIS IS PERHAPS THE MOST COMMONLY RECOGNIZED FORM OF IRONY. THE EFFECTIVENESS OF VERBAL IRONY RELIES HEAVILY ON CONTEXT, TONE, AND THE AUDIENCE'S ABILITY TO PERCEIVE THE INTENDED SUBTEXT. SARCASM IS A PARTICULARLY BITING FORM OF VERBAL IRONY.

SITUATIONAL IRONY

SITUATIONAL IRONY ARISES FROM A DISCREPANCY BETWEEN WHAT IS EXPECTED TO HAPPEN AND WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENS. THIS CAN INVOLVE A PLOT TWIST, A CHARACTER'S ACTIONS LEADING TO UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES, OR A SCENARIO WHERE THE OUTCOME IS THE REVERSE OF WHAT WAS ANTICIPATED. THE DRAMATIC EFFECT OF SITUATIONAL IRONY OFTEN STEMS FROM THE AUDIENCE'S AWARENESS OF THE IMPENDING OR UNFOLDING INCONGRUITY.

DRAMATIC IRONY

DRAMATIC IRONY IS PREVALENT IN PLAYS AND FILMS, BUT ALSO APPEARS IN LITERATURE. IT OCCURS WHEN THE AUDIENCE OR READER POSSESSES MORE KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE EVENTS OR CHARACTERS' TRUE CIRCUMSTANCES THAN THE CHARACTERS THEMSELVES. THIS CREATES SUSPENSE, TENSION, OR EVEN PATHOS AS THE AUDIENCE ANTICIPATES A REVELATION OR OUTCOME UNKNOWN TO THE CHARACTERS.

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE AND LITERARY ANALYSIS

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE (CMOS) IS AN INDISPENSABLE RESOURCE FOR WRITERS IN THE HUMANITIES, PROVIDING COMPREHENSIVE GUIDELINES ON EVERYTHING FROM MANUSCRIPT FORMATTING TO GRAMMAR, PUNCTUATION, AND CITATION. WHILE CMOS DOES NOT OFFER A PRESCRIPTIVE FRAMEWORK FOR INTERPRETING LITERARY DEVICES LIKE IRONY, IT PROVIDES THE ESSENTIAL TOOLS FOR PRESENTING SUCH INTERPRETATIONS WITH CLARITY, PRECISION, AND ACADEMIC AUTHORITY. ITS FOCUS ON CLEAR PROSE AND CONSISTENT APPLICATION OF RULES ENSURES THAT THE WRITER'S ANALYSIS OF IRONY, WHATEVER ITS FORM, IS EFFECTIVELY COMMUNICATED TO THE READER.

CMOS EMPHASIZES THE IMPORTANCE OF UNAMBIGUOUS LANGUAGE, LOGICAL ARGUMENTATION, AND PROPER ATTRIBUTION OF SOURCES. WHEN DISCUSSING LITERARY IRONY, ADHERENCE TO THESE PRINCIPLES ALLOWS FOR A ROBUST AND CREDIBLE ANALYSIS. THE MANUAL'S GUIDANCE ON SENTENCE STRUCTURE, PARAGRAPHING, AND THE USE OF EVIDENCE DIRECTLY SUPPORTS THE ARTICULATION OF COMPLEX LITERARY CONCEPTS. WRITERS USING CMOS ARE ENCOURAGED TO DEFINE THEIR TERMS CLEARLY, MAINTAIN A CONSISTENT ANALYTICAL STANCE, AND SUPPORT THEIR CLAIMS WITH WELL-CHOSEN TEXTUAL EXAMPLES.

STYLISTIC CONSIDERATIONS FOR DISCUSSING IRONY IN CMOS

DISCUSSING LITERARY IRONY EFFECTIVELY WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE REQUIRES CAREFUL ATTENTION TO PROSE STYLE AND ANALYTICAL PRESENTATION. THE GOAL IS TO CONVEY THE NUANCES OF IRONY WITHOUT RESORTING TO OVERLY CASUAL LANGUAGE OR JARGON THAT MIGHT OBSCURE THE MEANING. CMOS ADVOCATES FOR A SOPHISTICATED YET ACCESSIBLE WRITING STYLE THAT PRIORITIZES READER COMPREHENSION.

WHEN ANALYZING IRONY, IT IS CRUCIAL TO CLEARLY ARTICULATE THE CONTRAST BETWEEN APPEARANCE AND REALITY, OR BETWEEN EXPECTATION AND OUTCOME. THIS INVOLVES PRECISE WORD CHOICE AND WELL-CONSTRUCTED SENTENCES THAT HIGHLIGHT THE SPECIFIC NATURE OF THE IRONIC DISCREPANCY. THE USE OF QUALIFYING WORDS AND PHRASES CAN BE ESSENTIAL IN DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN DIFFERENT TYPES OF IRONY OR IN ACKNOWLEDGING POTENTIAL AMBIGUITIES IN INTERPRETATION.

HERE ARE SOME STYLISTIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DISCUSSING IRONY ACCORDING TO CMOS PRINCIPLES:

- CLEARLY DEFINE THE TYPE OF IRONY BEING DISCUSSED (VERBAL, SITUATIONAL, DRAMATIC).
- ARTICULATE THE SPECIFIC CONTRAST THAT CONSTITUTES THE IRONY.
- PROVIDE DIRECT TEXTUAL EVIDENCE (QUOTATIONS) TO SUPPORT YOUR CLAIMS.
- EXPLAIN HOW THE IRONY CONTRIBUTES TO THE TEXT'S MEANING, THEME, OR CHARACTER DEVELOPMENT.
- AVOID MAKING DEFINITIVE PRONOUNCEMENTS ON AUTHORIAL INTENT UNLESS STRONGLY SUPPORTED BY EVIDENCE.
- MAINTAIN A CONSISTENT TONE THAT IS ANALYTICAL AND OBJECTIVE.
- USE TRANSITIONAL PHRASES TO GUIDE THE READER THROUGH YOUR ANALYSIS OF IRONIC MOMENTS.

THE CLARITY OF THE PROSE DIRECTLY IMPACTS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE ANALYSIS. CMOS ENCOURAGES WRITERS TO REVISE THEIR WORK METICULOUSLY TO ELIMINATE ANY POTENTIAL FOR MISUNDERSTANDING, ESPECIALLY WHEN DEALING WITH COMPLEX LITERARY TECHNIQUES LIKE IRONY.

GRAMMATICAL PUNCTUATION FOR IRONY

WHILE THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE DOES NOT OFFER SPECIAL PUNCTUATION MARKS SOLELY FOR INDICATING IRONY, ITS ESTABLISHED RULES FOR GRAMMAR AND PUNCTUATION ARE CRUCIAL FOR CONVEYING IRONIC MEANING. THE ABSENCE OF A SPECIFIC "IRONY MARK" (LIKE THE PERCONTATION POINT, WHICH IS RARELY USED) MEANS THAT WRITERS MUST RELY ON SYNTAX, WORD CHOICE, AND CONTEXTUAL CLUES TO SIGNAL IRONY.

THE CAREFUL PLACEMENT OF COMMAS, THE JUDICIOUS USE OF QUOTATION MARKS, AND THE CONSTRUCTION OF SENTENCES CAN ALL CONTRIBUTE TO THE PERCEPTION OF IRONY. FOR INSTANCE, A SUBTLE SHIFT IN SENTENCE STRUCTURE OR THE USE OF AN UNEXPECTED ADJECTIVE CAN IMPLY A MEANING CONTRARY TO THE LITERAL. THE CONTEXT OF THE SURROUNDING TEXT IS PARAMOUNT; IRONY IS RARELY CONVEYED IN ISOLATION.

CONSIDER THESE POINTS REGARDING PUNCTUATION AND IRONY:

- **QUOTATION MARKS:** WHILE TYPICALLY USED FOR DIRECT SPEECH OR TO HIGHLIGHT SPECIFIC TERMS, OVERUSE OF QUOTATION MARKS TO SIGNAL IRONY CAN BECOME TIRESOME AND MAY SUGGEST THAT THE WRITER IS UNSURE OF THEIR INTERPRETATION OR THE READER'S UNDERSTANDING. THEY ARE BEST USED SPARINGLY FOR THIS PURPOSE, PERHAPS WHEN REFERRING TO A WORD OR PHRASE USED IRONICALLY WITHIN THE TEXT ITSELF, RATHER THAN TO INDICATE THE AUTHOR'S OWN IRONIC COMMENTARY.
- **SENTENCE STRUCTURE:** THE CAREFUL ARRANGEMENT OF CLAUSES AND PHRASES CAN CREATE A SENSE OF INCONGRUITY. FOR EXAMPLE, JUXTAPOSING A LOFTY STATEMENT WITH A MUNDANE REALITY THROUGH SENTENCE STRUCTURE CAN HIGHLIGHT IRONY.
- **TONE AND DICTION:** THE CHOICE OF WORDS AND THE OVERALL TONE OF THE WRITING ARE THE PRIMARY CONVEYORS OF IRONIC MEANING, RATHER THAN SPECIFIC PUNCTUATION. CMOS GUIDANCE ON CLEAR DICTION AND MAINTAINING AN APPROPRIATE TONE IS THEREFORE HIGHLY RELEVANT.

ULTIMATELY, THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CONVEYING IRONY THROUGH GRAMMAR AND PUNCTUATION RELIES ON THE WRITER'S SKILL IN EMPLOYING STANDARD ENGLISH CONVENTIONS TO CREATE SUBTLE (OR NOT-SO-SUBTLE) SHIFTS IN MEANING.

CITING WORKS DISCUSSING IRONY (CMOS)

WHEN INCORPORATING DISCUSSIONS OR INTERPRETATIONS OF IRONY FROM OTHER SCHOLARLY SOURCES INTO YOUR OWN WORK, ADHERING TO THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE'S CITATION GUIDELINES IS IMPERATIVE. CMOS OFFERS TWO PRIMARY SYSTEMS: NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY AND AUTHOR-DATE. BOTH SYSTEMS REQUIRE METICULOUS ATTENTION TO DETAIL TO GIVE PROPER CREDIT TO ORIGINAL AUTHORS AND ALLOW READERS TO LOCATE THE SOURCES CONSULTED.

WHETHER YOU ARE CITING A LITERARY CRITICISM THAT ANALYZES IRONY IN A PARTICULAR NOVEL, A THEORETICAL WORK ON THE NATURE OF IRONY, OR EVEN A PRIMARY SOURCE THAT EXEMPLIFIES IRONY, THE FORMAT OF YOUR CITATIONS MUST BE PRECISE. THIS ENSURES THE ACADEMIC INTEGRITY OF YOUR RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATES YOUR ENGAGEMENT WITH EXISTING SCHOLARSHIP.

NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM

IN THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, YOU WILL USE SUPERScript NUMBERS WITHIN THE TEXT TO REFER TO FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES. THESE NOTES CONTAIN THE FULL CITATION INFORMATION. A BIBLIOGRAPHY AT THE END OF THE WORK LISTS ALL SOURCES CONSULTED, ALPHABETIZED BY AUTHOR'S LAST NAME. WHEN CITING A WORK THAT DISCUSSES IRONY, THE NOTE AND BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRY WOULD FOLLOW THE STANDARD FORMATS FOR BOOKS, ARTICLES, OR OTHER SOURCE TYPES AS OUTLINED IN CMOS.

AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM

THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM USES PARENTHETICAL CITATIONS WITHIN THE TEXT, TYPICALLY INCLUDING THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME AND THE YEAR OF PUBLICATION. PAGE NUMBERS ARE OFTEN INCLUDED FOR DIRECT QUOTATIONS OR SPECIFIC REFERENCES. A CORRESPONDING REFERENCE LIST, ALPHABETIZED BY AUTHOR'S LAST NAME, APPEARS AT THE END OF THE WORK, PROVIDING FULL PUBLICATION DETAILS. AGAIN, THE SPECIFIC FORMAT FOR EACH ENTRY WILL DEPEND ON THE TYPE OF SOURCE BEING CITED.

REGARDLESS OF THE SYSTEM CHOSEN, CONSISTENCY IS KEY. THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE PROVIDES DETAILED EXAMPLES FOR A VAST ARRAY OF SOURCE TYPES, ENSURING THAT SCHOLARS CAN ACCURATELY CITE ANY MATERIAL THEY USE TO SUPPORT THEIR ANALYSIS OF LITERARY IRONY. PROPERLY CITING SOURCES NOT ONLY AVOIDS PLAGIARISM BUT ALSO STRENGTHENS THE CREDIBILITY OF YOUR OWN LITERARY ARGUMENTS.

PRACTICAL APPLICATION: EXAMPLES IN WRITING

APPLYING THE PRINCIPLES OF DISCUSSING LITERARY IRONY WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE REQUIRES INTEGRATING CLEAR ANALYTICAL PROSE WITH ROBUST TEXTUAL EVIDENCE. THE AIM IS TO PRESENT AN ARGUMENT ABOUT THE PRESENCE AND FUNCTION OF IRONY THAT IS BOTH PERSUASIVE AND ACADEMICALLY SOUND.

FOR INSTANCE, WHEN ANALYZING A PASSAGE FOR SITUATIONAL IRONY, ONE MIGHT WRITE: "THE CHARACTER'S FERVENT DESIRE FOR SOLITUDE, IRONICALLY, LEADS HIM INTO A BUSTLING CITY WHERE HE ENCOUNTERS MORE PEOPLE THAN HE EVER DID IN HIS SECLUDED VILLAGE. THIS JUXTAPOSITION OF HIS STATED GOAL AND THE UNEXPECTED OUTCOME HIGHLIGHTS THE THEME OF FATE'S UNPREDICTABLE NATURE." THIS SENTENCE CLEARLY IDENTIFIES THE IRONY AND BEGINS TO CONNECT IT TO A BROADER THEMATIC CONCERN. SUPPORTING THIS STATEMENT WOULD REQUIRE A DIRECT QUOTATION FROM THE TEXT ILLUSTRATING THE CHARACTER'S DESIRE AND THE SUBSEQUENT REALITY.

WHEN DISCUSSING VERBAL IRONY, A WRITER MIGHT POINT TO A CHARACTER'S SEEMINGLY INNOCUOUS STATEMENT THAT, GIVEN THE CONTEXT OF UNFOLDING EVENTS, CARRIES A DARKLY HUMOROUS OR CRITICAL UNDERTONE. FOR EXAMPLE, IF A CHARACTER BLISSFULLY REMARKS ABOUT THE "PERFECT WEATHER" JUST BEFORE A CATASTROPHIC STORM HITS, THE VERBAL IRONY IS EVIDENT. THE WRITER WOULD THEN EXPLAIN HOW THIS IRONIC UTTERANCE REVEALS THE CHARACTER'S IGNORANCE OR THE AUTHOR'S COMMENTARY ON HUMAN PREDICTABILITY IN THE FACE OF NATURAL FORCES.

THE KEY IS TO MOVE BEYOND SIMPLY STATING THAT IRONY EXISTS. CMOS ENCOURAGES A DEEPER ENGAGEMENT, PROMPTING THE WRITER TO EXPLAIN HOW THE IRONY FUNCTIONS, WHAT IT REVEALS ABOUT THE CHARACTERS, PLOT, OR THEMES, AND WHY THE AUTHOR MIGHT HAVE EMPLOYED IT. THIS INVOLVES CAREFUL SELECTION OF DESCRIPTIVE LANGUAGE AND A CLEAR, LOGICAL PROGRESSION OF IDEAS, ALL WITHIN THE STYLISTIC AND GRAMMATICAL CONVENTIONS RECOMMENDED BY THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE.

CONCLUSION

MASTERING THE DISCUSSION OF LITERARY IRONY WITHIN THE GUIDELINES OF THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE ELEVATES ACADEMIC WRITING FROM SIMPLE OBSERVATION TO SOPHISTICATED ANALYSIS. BY UNDERSTANDING THE VARIOUS FORMS OF IRONY, EMPLOYING PRECISE LANGUAGE, AND ADHERING TO CMOS'S RIGOROUS STANDARDS FOR CLARITY AND CITATION, WRITERS CAN EFFECTIVELY COMMUNICATE COMPLEX INTERPRETATIONS OF LITERARY TEXTS. THE MANUAL SERVES NOT TO DICTATE WHAT CAN BE SAID ABOUT IRONY, BUT RATHER HOW TO SAY IT WITH AUTHORITY AND PRECISION, ENSURING THAT THE NUANCES OF THIS POWERFUL LITERARY DEVICE ARE FULLY APPRECIATED AND UNDERSTOOD BY THE INTENDED AUDIENCE.

FAQ

Q: DOES THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE HAVE A SPECIFIC SYMBOL OR MARK TO INDICATE LITERARY IRONY?

A: NO, THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE DOES NOT PRESCRIBE A SPECIFIC SYMBOL OR MARK TO INDICATE LITERARY IRONY. WRITERS ARE EXPECTED TO CONVEY IRONY THROUGH CLEAR LANGUAGE, CONTEXTUAL CLUES, AND EFFECTIVE PROSE, RELYING ON ESTABLISHED GRAMMATICAL AND STYLISTIC CONVENTIONS.

Q: How should I punctuate a sentence that contains verbal irony according to CMOS?

A: CMOS does not provide special punctuation for verbal irony. You should use standard punctuation. The irony is conveyed through word choice, tone, and the surrounding context, rather than specific punctuation marks. Overusing quotation marks to signal irony is generally discouraged.

Q: What is the most important aspect of discussing irony according to the Chicago Manual of Style?

A: The most important aspect is clarity and precision. CMOS emphasizes clear prose, logical argumentation, and accurate support for claims. When discussing irony, this means clearly defining the type of irony and explaining how it functions within the text.

Q: Can I use the term "ironic" loosely to describe unfortunate events, even if they aren't strictly literary irony?

A: While everyday language often uses "ironic" to describe any unfortunate coincidence, in academic writing under CMOS guidelines, it's best to adhere to the specific definitions of literary irony (verbal, situational, dramatic). Using the term precisely strengthens your credibility.

Q: How does CMOS guide the citation of literary criticism that analyzes irony?

A: CMOS guides the citation of all scholarly works, including criticism analyzing irony, through its notes-bibliography or author-date systems. The key is to accurately record all bibliographical information for the source material according to the specified format for books, articles, or other media.

Q: Should I always quote a passage to demonstrate irony, or can I describe it?

A: While describing irony is part of the analysis, CMOS strongly encourages the use of direct textual evidence (quotations) to support all claims. Quoting the passage where irony is present allows readers to see the evidence for themselves and understand your interpretation more fully.

Q: What are the key differences between verbal irony and situational irony that I should highlight when writing under CMOS?

A: When writing under CMOS, you should clearly distinguish that verbal irony is a discrepancy between what is said and what is meant, often involving sarcasm or understatement, while situational irony is a discrepancy between what is expected to happen and what actually happens in a given circumstance.

Q: How does CMOS address the subjective nature of interpreting irony?

A: CMOS doesn't dictate interpretation, but it guides writers to present their interpretations logically and with supporting evidence. It emphasizes that while interpretations may vary, the presentation should be clear, well-argued, and based on textual analysis, rather than unsupported assertion.

Chicago Manual Of Style For Literary Irony

Chicago Manual Of Style For Literary Irony

Related Articles

- [chicago manual of style for literary consonance](#)
- [chicago manual of style for historical literature](#)
- [chicago manual of style for literary chiasmus](#)

[Back to Home](#)