

chicago manual of style for art historical research

The Chicago Manual of Style for Art Historical Research: A Comprehensive Guide

Chicago manual of style for art historical research is an indispensable tool for scholars, students, and professionals navigating the complex world of art history writing. Adhering to its guidelines ensures clarity, consistency, and academic rigor in presenting research on artworks, artists, movements, and theoretical frameworks. This comprehensive guide will delve into the essential elements of applying the Chicago Manual of Style (CMOS) specifically to art historical contexts, covering citation practices, formatting conventions, and best practices for scholarly communication. We will explore how CMOS aids in accurately attributing sources, describing visual materials, and constructing compelling arguments within the discipline. Understanding these nuances is crucial for any serious engagement with art historical scholarship.

Table of Contents

Understanding the Importance of CMOS in Art History

Navigating CMOS for Art Historical Research

Citation Styles in the Chicago Manual of Style

Footnotes and Endnotes for Art Historical Sources

Bibliography Construction for Art Historical Works

Describing Artworks and Visual Materials

Formatting and Presentation in Art Historical Writing

Key Considerations for Art Historians Using CMOS

Understanding the Importance of CMOS in Art History

The Chicago Manual of Style (CMOS) serves as a foundational reference for academic writing across

numerous disciplines, and its application in art history is particularly vital. Its primary role is to establish a uniform system of scholarly communication, ensuring that research is presented with precision and clarity. For art historians, this means more than just correct grammar; it involves meticulous attention to the description of objects, the attribution of authorship, and the contextualization of visual evidence. A consistent approach to these elements, dictated by CMOS, builds credibility and allows readers to engage with the research without distraction.

The discipline of art history inherently deals with complex visual information and often draws upon a wide array of primary and secondary sources, including archival documents, exhibition catalogues, artist interviews, and scholarly articles. Without a standardized style guide, the presentation of such diverse materials could become fragmented and confusing. CMOS provides the framework to organize this information logically, making the researcher's arguments more persuasive and the reader's comprehension smoother. It facilitates a shared understanding of conventions, which is paramount in a field that relies heavily on interdisciplinary connections and scholarly dialogue.

Furthermore, adhering to CMOS demonstrates a commitment to academic integrity and intellectual honesty. Proper citation, a core component of the manual, is essential for acknowledging the work of others and avoiding plagiarism. In art history, where the interpretation of a single artwork can be a lifelong scholarly pursuit, accurately crediting prior analyses and research is not just a requirement but a fundamental ethical obligation. The detailed guidelines on how to cite various types of art historical materials, from paintings and sculptures to performance art and digital media, ensure that every contribution is properly recognized.

Navigating CMOS for Art Historical Research

The Chicago Manual of Style, in its most current edition, offers extensive guidance applicable to art historical research. While it does not have a dedicated section solely for art history, its general principles and numerous examples can be readily adapted. Art historians will find sections on the treatment of images, the presentation of bibliographic information for various media, and the nuances

of footnote/endnote citation particularly useful. The manual's flexibility allows for adaptation to the specific needs of the field, ensuring that complex art historical arguments can be presented effectively and accurately.

Key to navigating CMOS for art historical research is understanding how to describe artworks and their associated information. This includes correctly identifying the artist, title (often italicized), date, medium, dimensions, and current location of an artwork. The manual provides clear instructions on how to present this metadata consistently, which is crucial for cataloguing and referencing objects. Familiarity with these conventions will streamline the writing process and ensure that the core elements of any art historical discussion are immediately accessible.

Art historians also benefit from CMOS's comprehensive approach to source types. The manual addresses the citation of books, journal articles, exhibition reviews, websites, and even unpublished materials, all of which are common in art historical research. Understanding how to format these citations correctly, whether in notes or in a bibliography, is essential for establishing the foundation of one's research and for allowing readers to locate the sources used.

Citation Styles in the Chicago Manual of Style

The Chicago Manual of Style offers two primary citation systems: the notes-bibliography system and the author-date system. For art historical research, the notes-bibliography system is overwhelmingly preferred and most commonly used. This system utilizes footnotes or endnotes to cite sources within the text and concludes with a bibliography listing all sources consulted. This method is particularly well-suited for art history due to its emphasis on detailed information about individual artworks and sources, allowing for in-text clarification without disrupting the narrative flow.

In the notes-bibliography system, the first citation of a source in a note provides complete bibliographic information, while subsequent citations of the same source use a shortened form. This allows for the precise referencing of specific passages or artworks while maintaining conciseness. The bibliography

then provides a comprehensive list of all works cited, organized alphabetically by author's last name. This dual approach ensures both accuracy in specific references and an overview of the scholarly landscape engaged by the research.

The author-date system, while also part of CMOS, is less common in art history. It relies on parenthetical citations within the text (e.g., Smith 2020, 45) and a reference list at the end of the document. While it can be efficient for certain types of research, it often proves less practical for the detailed descriptive requirements of art historical scholarship, where specific details about an artwork's provenance or the nuances of a particular scholarly interpretation might necessitate more extensive in-text annotation.

Footnotes and Endnotes for Art Historical Sources

Footnotes and endnotes are the cornerstone of the notes-bibliography system favored in art historical research. These notes serve multiple purposes: citing sources, providing supplementary information, and offering tangential discussions that might interrupt the main text. In art history, notes are invaluable for detailing the provenance of an artwork, providing translations of foreign texts, or offering brief biographical information about less-known artists or patrons without derailing the primary argument.

When citing an artwork within a footnote or endnote, it is crucial to include key identifying details. This typically includes the artist's name, the title of the work (*italicized*), the date of creation, the medium, the dimensions (in that order), and the current location. For example:

-

1. Jan van Eyck, *The Arnolfini Portrait*, 1434, oil on oak panel, 82.2 cm × 60 cm, National Gallery, London.

When referencing secondary sources such as books or articles, the initial note should include the author's full name, the title of the work (italicized for books, in quotation marks for articles), publication details (place, publisher, year), and the specific page number(s) being referenced. Subsequent notes referring to the same source should use a shortened form, typically the author's last name and the page number. Consistency in formatting these notes is paramount to maintaining clarity and adhering to CMOS standards.

Bibliography Construction for Art Historical Works

The bibliography is an essential component of any art historical research project employing the Chicago Manual of Style's notes-bibliography system. It provides a comprehensive and alphabetized list of all the sources that the author has cited in their footnotes or endnotes, as well as any other sources consulted during the research process that contributed significantly to the work. This section acts as a roadmap for readers, allowing them to explore the scholarly foundation of the research and to consult the primary and secondary materials themselves.

The construction of a bibliography in CMOS follows specific formatting rules. Entries are typically arranged alphabetically by the author's last name. For books, the format usually includes the author's full name, the italicized title, the place of publication, the publisher, and the year of publication. For journal articles, it includes the author's name, the article title in quotation marks, the italicized journal title, the volume and issue number, and the year of publication.

When dealing with art historical sources, the bibliography needs to accommodate a wide range of materials. This includes:

- Exhibition catalogues, which should be treated similarly to books but with specific attention to editors and exhibition dates.
- Museum collection databases and online resources, requiring careful attention to retrieval dates

and URLs.

- Archival materials, which may require specific citation formats depending on the institution and the nature of the documents.
- Artist interviews, performance documentation, and other multimedia sources, which demand clear descriptions of the format and context.

Each type of source must be presented according to CMOS guidelines to ensure clarity and accuracy, enabling readers to fully understand the scope and depth of the research undertaken.

Describing Artworks and Visual Materials

A critical aspect of art historical research is the precise and consistent description of artworks. The Chicago Manual of Style provides a framework for this, emphasizing clarity and the inclusion of essential identifying information. When an artwork is mentioned in the text or cited in a note, it should be accompanied by key details that uniquely identify it. This typically includes the artist's name, the full title of the work (italicized), the date of creation, the medium used, the dimensions of the artwork, and its current location.

The order in which this information is presented is important for consistency. For example, a standard format for a painting might be: Artist, *Title*, Date, Medium, Dimensions, Location. The medium should be as specific as possible, such as "oil on canvas," "bronze," "watercolor on paper," or "digital print." Dimensions are typically given in centimeters or inches, with height preceding width and depth if applicable. For sculptures, depth is usually included. The location should be the name of the museum, gallery, or private collection where the artwork is currently housed.

When discussing reproductions of artworks, whether in print or online, it is also important to cite the source of the reproduction accurately. This might include the book or journal in which it appears, or the

website where it was found. The manual provides guidance on how to format these citations, ensuring that the reader can locate the visual material that the researcher is referencing. For example, a footnote might reference a specific photograph of a sculpture from an exhibition catalogue, detailing the catalogue's publication information.

Formatting and Presentation in Art Historical Writing

The overall formatting and presentation of art historical research are crucial for conveying professionalism and academic rigor. The Chicago Manual of Style provides comprehensive guidelines on everything from margins and line spacing to the formatting of headings, captions, and the treatment of images. Adhering to these conventions ensures that the reader can focus on the content of the research without being distracted by inconsistencies in presentation.

Headings and subheadings should be used strategically to organize the research logically and to guide the reader through different sections of the argument. CMOS offers flexible options for formatting these headings, allowing for clear hierarchical structures. Captions for images are also vital in art history. They should be concise, informative, and include the essential identifying information for each artwork, as discussed previously, along with the source of the image if it is a reproduction.

The inclusion and placement of images themselves within the document also fall under CMOS guidelines. While the manual doesn't dictate the exact number of images, it emphasizes their importance for illustrating arguments. It also provides advice on how to properly credit image sources and to ensure that they are legible and appropriately sized. The goal is to create a document that is not only well-written and well-researched but also visually coherent and easy to navigate.

Key Considerations for Art Historians Using CMOS

When applying the Chicago Manual of Style to art historical research, several key considerations emerge that are specific to the discipline. One of the most significant is the consistent and accurate treatment of artwork titles. As previously noted, titles are typically italicized, and this convention should be applied uniformly throughout the text and in all citations. Similarly, the attribution of artists, especially for works where authorship is debated or attributed, requires careful attention and appropriate scholarly language.

The description of artistic mediums and techniques can also be complex. CMOS offers guidance on how to present such technical details clearly and concisely. Art historians may need to consult specialized glossaries or dictionaries of art terms to ensure accurate terminology, and CMOS provides the framework for integrating these descriptions seamlessly into the text. The manual's flexibility allows for the inclusion of detailed explanations when necessary, but conciseness is generally preferred.

Another vital aspect is the handling of provenance and exhibition history. Art historical research often involves tracing the ownership and exhibition record of an artwork. CMOS guidelines assist in presenting this information in a structured and understandable manner, often within footnotes or appendices. Finally, for digital art history projects, researchers must also consider how CMOS principles apply to online environments, ensuring accessibility and proper citation for digital resources and interactive elements.

Frequently Asked Questions

Q: Why is the Chicago Manual of Style particularly suited for art historical research?

A: The Chicago Manual of Style's notes-bibliography system, which is widely adopted in art history, allows for detailed in-text citations and supplementary information through footnotes and endnotes. This is ideal for art history, which often requires specific details about artworks, their provenance, and scholarly interpretations without disrupting the main narrative flow.

Q: What are the most common citation elements for an artwork in art historical research using CMOS?

A: The most common citation elements for an artwork include the artist's name, the italicized title of the work, the year of creation, the medium, the dimensions, and the current location of the artwork. This information should be presented consistently according to CMOS guidelines.

Q: Should I use the notes-bibliography system or the author-date system for my art historical paper?

A: The notes-bibliography system is overwhelmingly the preferred and recommended citation style for art historical research due to its suitability for detailed descriptions and source attribution. The author-date system is less common in the field.

Q: How should I format an exhibition catalogue in my bibliography according to CMOS?

A: Exhibition catalogues are typically treated similarly to books in a CMOS bibliography. You should include the editor(s) (if any), the title of the catalogue (italicized), the city of publication, the publisher, and the year of publication. Specific details about the exhibition's dates might also be included.

Q: What is the standard practice for italicizing titles in art historical writing under CMOS?

A: In art historical writing following CMOS, the titles of artworks, as well as the titles of books, journals, and other standalone published works, are typically italicized.

Q: How do I cite a digital image of an artwork from a website using CMOS?

A: To cite a digital image from a website, you would typically include the artist's name, the artwork title, the date, and the medium, followed by information about the website, including the website title, the specific URL, and the date you accessed the image.

Q: What is the role of footnotes or endnotes beyond just citing sources in art history?

A: Footnotes and endnotes in art history can also be used to provide supplementary information, such as translations of foreign-language texts, brief biographical details of minor figures, or tangential discussions that might interrupt the main text but are relevant to the argument.

Q: How do I ensure consistency in my descriptions of artworks when using CMOS?

A: Consistency is achieved by always including the same set of identifying details for each artwork and presenting them in the same order. Familiarize yourself with the standard format provided by CMOS for artwork descriptions and apply it rigorously.

[Chicago Manual Of Style For Art Historical Research](#)

Chicago Manual Of Style For Art Historical Research

Related Articles

- [chicago manual of style book proposal styles requirements](#)
- [chicago manual of style for academic writing online](#)
- [chicago manual of style for beginners quick guide](#)

[Back to Home](#)