

CHICAGO MANUAL LEGAL FOOTNOTES EXAMPLES

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE (CMOS) PROVIDES A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE FOR WRITERS ON A VAST ARRAY OF CITATION AND FORMATTING ISSUES, AND ITS PRINCIPLES ARE PARTICULARLY RELEVANT WHEN DEALING WITH ACADEMIC LEGAL WRITING. UNDERSTANDING CHICAGO MANUAL LEGAL FOOTNOTES EXAMPLES IS CRUCIAL FOR ANYONE AIMING FOR CLARITY, ACCURACY, AND ADHERENCE TO SCHOLARLY STANDARDS. THIS ARTICLE DELVES DEEP INTO THE INTRICACIES OF LEGAL CITATION WITHIN THE CMOS FRAMEWORK, OFFERING PRACTICAL EXAMPLES AND DETAILED EXPLANATIONS. WE WILL EXPLORE THE FUNDAMENTAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY, THE ESSENTIAL COMPONENTS OF A LEGAL FOOTNOTE, AND HOW TO CITE VARIOUS LEGAL SOURCES, INCLUDING STATUTES, CASES, AND SECONDARY LEGAL MATERIALS, ALL ACCORDING TO CMOS GUIDELINES. NAVIGATING THESE NUANCES ENSURES THAT YOUR LEGAL ARGUMENTS ARE PRESENTED WITH THE HIGHEST DEGREE OF PROFESSIONALISM AND CREDIBILITY.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

UNDERSTANDING CMOS FOOTNOTES VS. BIBLIOGRAPHY

ESSENTIAL COMPONENTS OF A CHICAGO MANUAL LEGAL FOOTNOTE

CITING PRIMARY LEGAL SOURCES

CITING SECONDARY LEGAL SOURCES

EXAMPLES OF CHICAGO MANUAL LEGAL FOOTNOTES IN PRACTICE

ADVANCED LEGAL CITATION IN CMOS

UNDERSTANDING CMOS FOOTNOTES VS. BIBLIOGRAPHY

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE PRESENTS TWO PRIMARY CITATION SYSTEMS: THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM AND THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM. FOR LEGAL WRITING, PARTICULARLY IN ACADEMIC CONTEXTS OR WHEN EXTENSIVE SOURCE REFERENCING IS REQUIRED, THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM IS GENERALLY PREFERRED. THIS SYSTEM UTILIZES FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES TO CITE SOURCES DIRECTLY WITHIN THE TEXT, PROVIDING IMMEDIATE REFERENCE FOR THE READER WITHOUT DISRUPTING THE NARRATIVE FLOW. THE BIBLIOGRAPHY THEN SERVES AS A CONSOLIDATED LIST OF ALL SOURCES CITED, OFFERING A COMPREHENSIVE OVERVIEW OF THE RESEARCH UNDERTAKEN.

THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN FOOTNOTES AND A BIBLIOGRAPHY IS FUNDAMENTAL. FOOTNOTES ARE DESIGNED FOR IMMEDIATE READER ACCESS TO THE SPECIFIC SOURCE BEING REFERENCED AT THAT PRECISE POINT IN THE TEXT. THEY ARE GRANULAR, POINTING TO A PARTICULAR PAGE OR SECTION OF A SOURCE. A BIBLIOGRAPHY, CONVERSELY, IS A BROADER COMPILATION. WHILE IT LISTS ALL CITED SOURCES, ITS PRIMARY PURPOSE IS TO GIVE A READER AN OVERVIEW OF THE RESEARCH LANDSCAPE AND TO FACILITATE FURTHER EXPLORATION OF THE TOPICS DISCUSSED. IN LEGAL SCHOLARSHIP EMPLOYING CMOS, THE FOOTNOTE OFTEN CONTAINS THE COMPLETE CITATION FOR THE FIRST MENTION OF A SOURCE, WITH SUBSEQUENT CITATIONS BECOMING SHORTENED OR USING "IBID."

ESSENTIAL COMPONENTS OF A CHICAGO MANUAL LEGAL FOOTNOTE

A WELL-CONSTRUCTED CHICAGO MANUAL LEGAL FOOTNOTE, PARTICULARLY FOR PRIMARY LEGAL SOURCES, TYPICALLY INCLUDES SEVERAL KEY PIECES OF INFORMATION TO ENSURE UNAMBIGUOUS IDENTIFICATION OF THE CITED MATERIAL. THE EXACT ORDER AND FORMAT CAN VARY SLIGHTLY DEPENDING ON THE TYPE OF LEGAL SOURCE, BUT THE CORE ELEMENTS REMAIN CONSISTENT. THESE ELEMENTS ARE DESIGNED TO ALLOW ANY READER, REGARDLESS OF THEIR FAMILIARITY WITH A SPECIFIC LEGAL DATABASE OR REPORTER SYSTEM, TO LOCATE THE REFERENCED MATERIAL.

AT A MINIMUM, A LEGAL FOOTNOTE WILL REQUIRE AN AUTHOR OR ORIGINATING ENTITY, A TITLE (IF APPLICABLE AND DISTINCT FROM THE SOURCE TYPE), PUBLICATION DETAILS (LIKE REPORTER, VOLUME, AND PAGE NUMBER), AND A SPECIFIC PINPOINT REFERENCE. FOR CASES, THIS MEANS THE CASE NAME, REPORTER CITATION, AND THE PINPOINT PAGE. FOR STATUTES, IT INVOLVES THE STATUTE'S NAME OR TITLE, THE CODE SECTION, AND THE YEAR OF ENACTMENT OR CODIFICATION. UNDERSTANDING THESE CORE COMPONENTS IS THE FIRST STEP TOWARD MASTERING LEGAL CITATION UNDER CMOS.

CITING PRIMARY LEGAL SOURCES

PRIMARY LEGAL SOURCES ARE THE BEDROCK OF LEGAL RESEARCH, ENCOMPASSING JUDICIAL OPINIONS (CASES) AND LEGISLATIVE

ENACTMENTS (STATUTES). THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE OFFERS SPECIFIC GUIDELINES FOR CITING THESE FOUNDATIONAL DOCUMENTS. ACCURACY IN CITING THESE SOURCES IS PARAMOUNT, AS IT DIRECTLY IMPACTS THE AUTHORITY AND RELIABILITY OF YOUR LEGAL ARGUMENTS. THESE CITATIONS MUST BE PRECISE ENOUGH FOR A READER TO LOCATE THE EXACT TEXT YOU ARE REFERENCING.

CITING COURT CASES

CITING COURT CASES UNDER CMOS REQUIRES SPECIFIC ELEMENTS TO IDENTIFY THE RULING AND THE REPORTER IN WHICH IT IS PUBLISHED. YOU NEED THE CASE NAME, THE VOLUME AND ABBREVIATION OF THE REPORTER SYSTEM, THE STARTING PAGE NUMBER OF THE CASE, AND A PINPOINT PAGE CITATION. THE OFFICIAL REPORTER IS GENERALLY PREFERRED IF ONE EXISTS, BUT WIDELY ACCEPTED UNOFFICIAL REPORTERS ARE ALSO PERMISSIBLE. FOR INSTANCE, CITING A SUPREME COURT CASE WOULD FOLLOW A DISTINCT FORMAT FROM A STATE APPELLATE COURT DECISION.

AN EXAMPLE OF A FEDERAL CASE CITATION IN A FOOTNOTE WOULD BE:

- 1. *MARBURY V. MADISON*, 5 U.S. (1 CRANCH) 137, 177 (1803).

THIS EXAMPLE INCLUDES THE CASE NAME, THE VOLUME AND ABBREVIATION OF THE U.S. REPORTS, THE FIRST PAGE OF THE CASE, AND THE SPECIFIC PAGE BEING REFERENCED (177). THE YEAR OF DECISION IS ALSO TYPICALLY INCLUDED.

CITING STATUTES

CITING STATUTES INVOLVES IDENTIFYING THE SPECIFIC LEGISLATIVE ACT AND ITS LOCATION WITHIN A CODIFIED SET OF LAWS. THIS INCLUDES THE TITLE OR NAME OF THE ACT, THE RELEVANT CODE, THE SECTION NUMBER, AND THE YEAR OF PUBLICATION OR ENACTMENT. UNLIKE CASE CITATIONS, STATUTES ARE OFTEN CITED BY THEIR OFFICIAL OR COMMONLY ACCEPTED NAME AND THE CODAL DESIGNATION. THE PINPOINT CITATION FOR A STATUTE REFERS TO THE SPECIFIC SECTION WITHIN THE CODE.

A TYPICAL FOOTNOTE CITATION FOR A FEDERAL STATUTE MIGHT LOOK LIKE THIS:

- 2. 15 U.S.C. § 78j (2018).

HERE, “15 U.S.C.” REFERS TO TITLE 15 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE, “§ 78j” IS THE SPECIFIC SECTION, AND “(2018)” INDICATES THE YEAR OF THE CODE’S PUBLICATION OR THE RELEVANT SUPPLEMENT CONTAINING THE SECTION. FOR STATE STATUTES, THE FORMAT WOULD ADAPT TO THE SPECIFIC STATE’S CODIFICATION SYSTEM.

CITING SECONDARY LEGAL SOURCES

SECONDARY LEGAL SOURCES, SUCH AS LAW REVIEW ARTICLES, BOOKS, AND TREATISES, ARE CRUCIAL FOR PROVIDING CONTEXT, ANALYSIS, AND SCHOLARLY COMMENTARY ON PRIMARY LEGAL MATERIALS. CMOS PROVIDES CLEAR GUIDELINES FOR CITING THESE SOURCES, ENSURING THAT THEIR CONTRIBUTIONS TO LEGAL DISCOURSE ARE PROPERLY ACKNOWLEDGED. THESE CITATIONS OFTEN RESEMBLE THOSE FOR OTHER ACADEMIC DISCIPLINES BUT WITH SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS FOR LEGAL AUTHORSHIP AND PUBLICATION.

CITING LAW REVIEW ARTICLES

WHEN CITING A LAW REVIEW ARTICLE, THE FOOTNOTE SHOULD INCLUDE THE AUTHOR’S FULL NAME, THE TITLE OF THE ARTICLE (IN QUOTATION MARKS), THE VOLUME NUMBER OF THE JOURNAL, THE NAME OF THE JOURNAL (ABBREVIATED IN A STANDARD WAY), THE FIRST PAGE NUMBER OF THE ARTICLE, AND A PINPOINT PAGE CITATION. THE YEAR OF PUBLICATION IS ALSO INCLUDED, OFTEN IN PARENTHESES.

AN EXAMPLE OF A LAW REVIEW ARTICLE CITATION:

- 3. CHARLES A. REICH, *THE NEW PROPERTY*, 73 YALE L.J. 733, 737 (1964).

THIS EXAMPLE PROVIDES THE AUTHOR, ARTICLE TITLE, JOURNAL VOLUME AND ABBREVIATION, THE ARTICLE'S STARTING PAGE, AND THE SPECIFIC PAGE REFERENCED. STANDARD ABBREVIATIONS FOR LAW REVIEWS ARE OFTEN USED, WHICH CAN BE FOUND IN RESOURCES LIKE THE BLUEBOOK OR THE CMOS ITSELF.

CITING LEGAL BOOKS AND TREATISES

CITING LEGAL BOOKS AND TREATISES FOLLOWS A FORMAT SIMILAR TO CITING OTHER ACADEMIC BOOKS. THE FOOTNOTE REQUIRES THE AUTHOR'S FULL NAME, THE TITLE OF THE BOOK (IN ITALICS), THE PUBLICATION CITY, THE PUBLISHER, THE PUBLICATION YEAR, AND THE SPECIFIC PAGE NUMBER BEING CITED. FOR WIDELY RECOGNIZED LEGAL TREATISES, SPECIFIC CITATION CONVENTIONS MIGHT EXIST, BUT THE GENERAL CMOS PRINCIPLES APPLY.

A TYPICAL CITATION FOR A LEGAL BOOK:

- 4. LAURENCE H. TRIBE, *AMERICAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW* 150 (2D ED. 1988).

THIS CITATION INCLUDES THE AUTHOR, BOOK TITLE, EDITION INFORMATION, PUBLICATION DETAILS, AND THE PINPOINT PAGE. IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THE INCLUSION OF EDITION INFORMATION IF IT'S NOT THE FIRST EDITION.

EXAMPLES OF CHICAGO MANUAL LEGAL FOOTNOTES IN PRACTICE

PUTTING THESE PRINCIPLES INTO PRACTICE IS KEY TO MASTERING CHICAGO MANUAL LEGAL FOOTNOTES EXAMPLES. THE FOLLOWING EXAMPLES ILLUSTRATE HOW TO CITE DIFFERENT TYPES OF LEGAL SOURCES WITHIN A CONTINUOUS NARRATIVE, DEMONSTRATING THE PROGRESSION OF CITATIONS FROM A FULL INITIAL MENTION TO SUBSEQUENT SHORTENED FORMS OR THE USE OF "IBID." THIS PROGRESSION IS A HALLMARK OF THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM.

IMAGINE A SCHOLARLY ARTICLE DISCUSSING A LANDMARK SUPREME COURT CASE AND ITS SUBSEQUENT LEGISLATIVE IMPACT. THE FIRST MENTION OF THE CASE WOULD BE A FULL CITATION:

- 1. *MIRANDA V. ARIZONA*, 384 U.S. 436 (1966).

IF THE NEXT REFERENCE IS TO THE SAME CASE AND PAGE, "IBID." WOULD BE USED:

- 2. *IBID.*

IF A SUBSEQUENT FOOTNOTE REFERS TO THE SAME CASE BUT A DIFFERENT PAGE:

- 3. *IBID.*, AT 444.

FOR A LATER FOOTNOTE REFERENCING THE SAME CASE BUT INTRODUCED BY OTHER CITATIONS:

- 4. *MIRANDA*, 384 U.S. AT 467.

CITING A RELEVANT STATUTE WOULD FOLLOW ITS OWN FORMAT:

- 5. 18 U.S.C. § 3501 (2018).

AND A SECONDARY SOURCE LIKE A LAW REVIEW ARTICLE:

- 6. ERWIN CHERMERINSKY, *CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: PRINCIPLES AND POLICIES* 500 (6TH ED. 2019).

THESE EXAMPLES DEMONSTRATE THE FLOW AND CONCISENESS ACHIEVED THROUGH PROPER CMOS LEGAL FOOTNOTE FORMATTING.

ADVANCED LEGAL CITATION IN CMOS

BEYOND THE FUNDAMENTAL EXAMPLES, CHICAGO MANUAL LEGAL FOOTNOTES EXAMPLES EXTEND TO MORE COMPLEX SCENARIOS, INCLUDING CITING LEGISLATIVE HISTORY, ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS, AND UNPUBLISHED MATERIALS. THE CMOS PROVIDES DETAILED GUIDANCE FOR THESE LESS COMMON, BUT OFTEN CRUCIAL, LEGAL SOURCES TO ENSURE COMPREHENSIVE AND ACCURATE REFERENCING.

FOR INSTANCE, LEGISLATIVE HISTORY MIGHT INVOLVE CITING COMMITTEE REPORTS, HEARINGS, OR DEBATES. THESE CITATIONS NEED TO CLEARLY IDENTIFY THE LEGISLATIVE BODY, THE SPECIFIC REPORT OR HEARING, AND THE RELEVANT PAGE NUMBERS. SIMILARLY, ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS FROM AGENCIES LIKE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD OR THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION REQUIRE SPECIFIC FORMATS THAT DISTINGUISH THEM FROM JUDICIAL OPINIONS.

FURTHERMORE, CITING UNPUBLISHED MATERIALS, SUCH AS MANUSCRIPTS, LETTERS, OR ORAL HISTORIES, DEMANDS CAREFUL ATTENTION TO DETAIL REGARDING THEIR ACCESSIBILITY AND FORMAT. THE GOAL ACROSS ALL THESE ADVANCED SCENARIOS IS TO PROVIDE ENOUGH INFORMATION FOR THE READER TO LOCATE AND VERIFY THE SOURCE MATERIAL, UPHOLDING THE RIGOROUS STANDARDS OF LEGAL SCHOLARSHIP.

THE ABILITY TO ACCURATELY CITE A WIDE RANGE OF LEGAL SOURCES IS A HALLMARK OF SOPHISTICATED LEGAL WRITING. BY ADHERING TO THE PRINCIPLES OUTLINED IN THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE, AUTHORS CAN ENSURE THEIR WORK IS NOT ONLY CREDIBLE BUT ALSO EASILY VERIFIABLE. MASTERING THESE CITATION PRACTICES, FROM BASIC CASE AND STATUTE CITATIONS TO MORE COMPLEX ADMINISTRATIVE AND UNPUBLISHED MATERIALS, IS AN ONGOING PROCESS FOR ANY LEGAL SCHOLAR OR PRACTITIONER AIMING FOR CLARITY AND PRECISION.

FAQ

Q: WHAT IS THE PRIMARY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN USING FOOTNOTES AND A BIBLIOGRAPHY IN THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE FOR LEGAL WRITING?

A: IN THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE'S NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, COMMONLY USED FOR LEGAL WRITING, FOOTNOTES PROVIDE IMMEDIATE, SPECIFIC CITATIONS TO SOURCES WITHIN THE TEXT. A BIBLIOGRAPHY, CONVERSELY, IS A COMPREHENSIVE LIST OF ALL SOURCES CITED IN THE WORK, OFFERING A BROADER OVERVIEW AND ENABLING FURTHER RESEARCH.

Q: HOW DO I CITE A U.S. SUPREME COURT CASE FOR THE FIRST TIME IN A CHICAGO MANUAL LEGAL FOOTNOTE?

A: FOR THE FIRST CITATION OF A U.S. SUPREME COURT CASE, YOU WOULD TYPICALLY INCLUDE THE CASE NAME, THE VOLUME AND ABBREVIATION OF THE U.S. REPORTS, THE FIRST PAGE THE CASE APPEARS ON, AND A PINPOINT PAGE CITATION, FOLLOWED BY THE YEAR OF DECISION. FOR EXAMPLE: *BROWN V. BOARD OF EDUCATION*, 347 U.S. 483, 495 (1954).

Q: WHAT IS THE CORRECT FORMAT FOR CITING A FEDERAL STATUTE IN A CHICAGO MANUAL LEGAL FOOTNOTE?

A: CITING A FEDERAL STATUTE INVOLVES THE TITLE NUMBER OF THE U.S. CODE, THE ABBREVIATION "U.S.C.," THE SECTION SYMBOL (§), THE SPECIFIC SECTION NUMBER, AND THE YEAR OF THE CODE'S PUBLICATION OR SUPPLEMENT. FOR EXAMPLE: 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2018).

Q: How should I cite a law review article in a Chicago manual legal footnote?

A: To cite a law review article, include the author's full name, the article title in quotation marks, the journal's volume number, the abbreviated journal title, the article's first page, and a pinpoint page citation, usually followed by the year of publication in parentheses. Example: RICHARD RORTY, *THE CONTINGENCY OF COMMUNITY: AMERICAN PRAGMATISM AND THE POLITICS OF INCLUSION*, 108 HARV. L. REV. 1700, 1705 (1995).

Q: What does "IBID." mean in Chicago manual legal footnotes?

A: "IBID." is a Latin abbreviation meaning "in the same place." In Chicago manual legal footnotes, it is used to refer to the immediately preceding footnote's source when both the source and the pinpoint page are the same. If the source is the same but the pinpoint page differs, you would use "IBID., AT [NEW PAGE NUMBER]."

Q: When do I use shortened citations for legal sources in Chicago manual footnotes?

A: After the first full citation of a source, subsequent references to the same source can be shortened. This typically includes the author's last name (or a shortened title for institutional authors), a shortened version of the title if necessary, and the pinpoint page. For cases, it's often just the case name and the pinpoint page.

Q: How do Chicago manual legal footnotes handle citing books or treatises?

A: For books and treatises, a Chicago manual legal footnote requires the author's full name, the title of the book in italics, the publication city, the publisher, the publication year, and the specific page number. If it's not the first edition, edition information should also be included, such as: 2 JOHN H. BAUMAN, *LEGAL ASPECTS OF REAL ESTATE* 301 (3D ED. 2000).

[Chicago Manual Legal Footnotes Examples](#)

Chicago Manual Legal Footnotes Examples

Related Articles

- [chicago manual abstract example researchers](#)
- [chicago manual of style 17th edition teacher](#)
- [chicago manual for religious traditions](#)

[Back to Home](#)