

CHICAGO MANUAL INDIRECT REPORTING RULES

THE CHICAGO MANUAL INDIRECT REPORTING RULES ARE ESSENTIAL FOR ACADEMIC AND PROFESSIONAL WRITERS SEEKING TO ACCURATELY AND ETHICALLY PRESENT THE WORDS AND IDEAS OF OTHERS WITHOUT DIRECTLY QUOTING THEM. UNDERSTANDING THESE GUIDELINES IS CRUCIAL FOR AVOIDING PLAGIARISM AND FOR CRAFTING CLEAR, CONCISE, AND PROPERLY ATTRIBUTED PROSE. THIS COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE WILL DELVE INTO THE INTRICACIES OF INDIRECT REPORTING AS PRESCRIBED BY THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE, COVERING EVERYTHING FROM BASIC PRINCIPLES TO NUANCED APPLICATIONS. WE WILL EXPLORE THE IMPORTANCE OF ATTRIBUTION, THE VARIOUS METHODS OF INDIRECT REPORTING, AND COMMON PITFALLS TO AVOID. BY MASTERING THESE RULES, WRITERS CAN ENHANCE THE CREDIBILITY AND INTEGRITY OF THEIR WORK, ENSURING THAT SOURCES ARE ACKNOWLEDGED APPROPRIATELY AND THAT THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR'S MEANING IS CONVEYED FAITHFULLY. THIS ARTICLE AIMS TO BE YOUR DEFINITIVE RESOURCE ON CHICAGO MANUAL INDIRECT REPORTING RULES, EQUIPPING YOU WITH THE KNOWLEDGE TO IMPLEMENT THEM EFFECTIVELY.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

UNDERSTANDING INDIRECT REPORTING

THE CORE PRINCIPLES OF ATTRIBUTION IN INDIRECT REPORTING

DIRECT VS. INDIRECT REPORTING: KEY DIFFERENCES

WHEN TO USE INDIRECT REPORTING

PROPER ATTRIBUTION TECHNIQUES FOR INDIRECT REPORTING

PARAPHRASING EFFECTIVELY ACCORDING TO CHICAGO STYLE

SUMMARIZING IDEAS USING INDIRECT REPORTING

COMMON MISTAKES TO AVOID IN INDIRECT REPORTING

HANDLING TECHNICAL OR SPECIALIZED LANGUAGE IN INDIRECT REPORTING

CITING INDIRECTLY REPORTED INFORMATION

FINAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR INDIRECT REPORTING

UNDERSTANDING INDIRECT REPORTING

INDIRECT REPORTING, OFTEN REFERRED TO AS PARAPHRASING OR SUMMARIZING, INVOLVES RESTATING ANOTHER PERSON'S IDEAS, ARGUMENTS, OR FINDINGS IN YOUR OWN WORDS. IT IS A FUNDAMENTAL SKILL IN ACADEMIC WRITING, JOURNALISM, AND ANY FIELD WHERE ENGAGING WITH EXISTING SCHOLARSHIP OR INFORMATION IS NECESSARY. THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE (CMOS) PROVIDES A ROBUST FRAMEWORK FOR HOW TO EXECUTE THIS PRACTICE ETHICALLY AND EFFECTIVELY, ENSURING THAT THE ORIGINAL SOURCE RECEIVES PROPER CREDIT AND THAT THE READER CAN DISTINGUISH BETWEEN YOUR ORIGINAL THOUGHTS AND THOSE YOU HAVE INCORPORATED FROM ELSEWHERE. MASTERING INDIRECT REPORTING IS NOT MERELY ABOUT AVOIDING PLAGIARISM; IT IS ABOUT DEMONSTRATING A DEEP UNDERSTANDING OF THE SOURCE MATERIAL AND INTEGRATING IT SEAMLESSLY INTO YOUR OWN ARGUMENT.

THE OBJECTIVE OF INDIRECT REPORTING IS TO CONVEY THE ESSENCE OF A SOURCE'S CONTRIBUTION WITHOUT USING THEIR EXACT PHRASING. THIS REQUIRES CAREFUL COMPREHENSION AND THOUGHTFUL REPHRASING. WHILE THE WORDS MAY CHANGE, THE CORE MEANING AND INTENT OF THE ORIGINAL STATEMENT MUST BE PRESERVED. THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE EMPHASIZES CLARITY, ACCURACY, AND, ABOVE ALL, SCRUPULOUS ATTRIBUTION. FAILURE TO ADHERE TO THESE PRINCIPLES CAN LEAD TO ACCUSATIONS OF PLAGIARISM, UNDERMINING THE WRITER'S CREDIBILITY AND ACADEMIC INTEGRITY. THEREFORE, A THOROUGH GRASP OF CMOS GUIDELINES ON INDIRECT REPORTING IS INDISPENSABLE FOR ANY SERIOUS WRITER.

THE CORE PRINCIPLES OF ATTRIBUTION IN INDIRECT REPORTING

AT THE HEART OF CHICAGO MANUAL INDIRECT REPORTING RULES LIES THE PRINCIPLE OF ATTRIBUTION. EVERY IDEA, FACT, OR CLAIM THAT IS NOT COMMON KNOWLEDGE AND ORIGINATES FROM ANOTHER SOURCE MUST BE ATTRIBUTED TO THAT SOURCE. THIS IS NON-NEGOTIABLE, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER YOU ARE DIRECTLY QUOTING OR INDIRECTLY REPORTING. THE GOAL IS TO GIVE CREDIT WHERE CREDIT IS DUE AND TO ALLOW YOUR READERS TO TRACE THE INFORMATION BACK TO ITS ORIGIN. CHICAGO STYLE MANDATES THAT THIS ATTRIBUTION BE CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS, TYPICALLY THROUGH IN-TEXT CITATIONS THAT CORRESPOND TO A FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC ENTRY.

THE IMPORTANCE OF ATTRIBUTION EXTENDS BEYOND MERELY AVOIDING PLAGIARISM. IT ALSO SERVES TO BOLSTER YOUR OWN ARGUMENTS BY SHOWING THAT THEY ARE INFORMED BY CREDIBLE RESEARCH AND EXISTING DISCOURSE. WHEN YOU INDIRECTLY REPORT INFORMATION, YOU ARE ESSENTIALLY VOUCHING FOR ITS ACCURACY (BASED ON YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE SOURCE) AND SITUATING YOUR OWN CONTRIBUTION WITHIN A BROADER ACADEMIC CONVERSATION. THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE PROVIDES SPECIFIC MECHANISMS FOR ENSURING THIS TRANSPARENCY, PRIMARILY THROUGH ITS NOTE SYSTEM (FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES) OR PARENTHETICAL CITATIONS, DEPENDING ON THE WRITER'S PREFERENCE AND THE PUBLICATION'S REQUIREMENTS.

DIRECT VS. INDIRECT REPORTING: KEY DIFFERENCES

THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN DIRECT AND INDIRECT REPORTING IS SIGNIFICANT IN ACADEMIC AND PROFESSIONAL WRITING. DIRECT REPORTING, OR QUOTATION, INVOLVES USING THE EXACT WORDS OF THE SOURCE, ENCLOSED IN QUOTATION MARKS. THIS IS RESERVED FOR INSTANCES WHERE THE ORIGINAL WORDING IS PARTICULARLY IMPACTFUL, PRECISE, OR ESSENTIAL TO THE AUTHOR'S POINT. INDIRECT REPORTING, CONVERSELY, INVOLVES RESTATING THE SOURCE'S IDEAS IN YOUR OWN WORDS. THIS IS GENERALLY PREFERRED WHEN THE SPECIFIC PHRASING IS LESS IMPORTANT THAN THE CONCEPT BEING CONVEYED, OR WHEN INTEGRATING THE SOURCE'S IDEA SMOOTHLY INTO THE FLOW OF YOUR OWN PROSE.

WHEN USING DIRECT QUOTES, FIDELITY TO THE ORIGINAL TEXT IS PARAMOUNT. ANY ALTERATION MUST BE INDICATED WITH ELLIPSES OR BRACKETED INSERTIONS. INDIRECT REPORTING, HOWEVER, OFFERS MORE FLEXIBILITY IN TERMS OF SENTENCE STRUCTURE AND VOCABULARY, BUT IT DEMANDS AN EVEN GREATER RESPONSIBILITY TO ACCURATELY REPRESENT THE ORIGINAL MEANING. THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE GUIDES WRITERS ON WHEN EACH METHOD IS MOST APPROPRIATE AND HOW TO EXECUTE BOTH CORRECTLY. CHOOSING THE RIGHT METHOD DEPENDS ON THE PURPOSE OF THE INTEGRATION AND THE NATURE OF THE INFORMATION BEING PRESENTED.

WHEN TO USE INDIRECT REPORTING

INDIRECT REPORTING IS A VERSATILE TOOL THAT WRITERS EMPLOY IN A MULTITUDE OF SITUATIONS. IT IS PARTICULARLY USEFUL WHEN YOU WANT TO INCORPORATE THE SUBSTANCE OF A SOURCE'S ARGUMENT OR FINDINGS INTO YOUR OWN DISCUSSION WITHOUT DISRUPTING THE NARRATIVE FLOW WITH LENGTHY QUOTATIONS. WHEN THE PRECISE WORDING OF THE SOURCE IS NOT CRITICAL, PARAPHRASING ALLOWS YOU TO CONDENSE INFORMATION, CLARIFY COMPLEX POINTS, OR ADAPT THE SOURCE'S LANGUAGE TO FIT YOUR OWN STYLE AND CONTEXT. IT IS ALSO THE PRIMARY METHOD FOR CONVEYING THE GENERAL GIST OF AN AUTHOR'S ENTIRE ARGUMENT OR A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF IT.

CONSIDER THESE SCENARIOS WHERE INDIRECT REPORTING IS OFTEN THE SUPERIOR CHOICE:

- WHEN SUMMARIZING A LENGTHY PASSAGE OR AN ENTIRE ARTICLE.
- WHEN THE IDEA FROM THE SOURCE IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN THE SPECIFIC WORDING.
- WHEN YOU NEED TO INTEGRATE A SOURCE'S CONTRIBUTION SMOOTHLY INTO YOUR OWN SENTENCE STRUCTURE.
- WHEN EXPLAINING A CONCEPT OR THEORY FROM A SOURCE IN SIMPLER TERMS.
- WHEN YOU WANT TO HIGHLIGHT YOUR INTERPRETATION OR ANALYSIS OF THE SOURCE'S MATERIAL.

PROPER ATTRIBUTION TECHNIQUES FOR INDIRECT REPORTING

ACCURATE AND CONSISTENT ATTRIBUTION IS THE CORNERSTONE OF ETHICAL INDIRECT REPORTING. THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE OUTLINES SEVERAL METHODS FOR ATTRIBUTING PARAPHRASED OR SUMMARIZED MATERIAL. THE MOST COMMON APPROACH INVOLVES IN-TEXT CITATIONS, WHICH CAN TAKE THE FORM OF PARENTHETICAL CITATIONS OR NUMBERED NOTES (FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES). REGARDLESS OF THE SYSTEM CHOSEN, EACH CITATION MUST PROVIDE ENOUGH INFORMATION FOR THE READER TO LOCATE THE ORIGINAL SOURCE IN YOUR BIBLIOGRAPHY OR REFERENCE LIST.

WHEN YOU PARAPHRASE OR SUMMARIZE, YOU MUST INTRODUCE THE BORROWED IDEA AND THEN FOLLOW IT WITH A CITATION. FOR EXAMPLE, YOU MIGHT WRITE: "ACCORDING TO SMITH, THE ECONOMIC POLICIES OF THE EARLY 20TH CENTURY HAD A PROFOUND IMPACT ON SUBSEQUENT SOCIAL LEGISLATION (SMITH 2020, 45)." OR, USING NOTES: "ACCORDING TO SMITH, THE ECONOMIC POLICIES OF THE EARLY 20TH CENTURY HAD A PROFOUND IMPACT ON SUBSEQUENT SOCIAL LEGISLATION."¹

THE KEY IS TO ENSURE THAT THE ATTRIBUTION IS PLACED IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO THE PARAPHRASED MATERIAL, MAKING IT IMMEDIATELY CLEAR WHO ORIGINATED THE IDEA. THIS PREVENTS ANY AMBIGUITY AND UPHOLDS ACADEMIC INTEGRITY.

PARAPHRASING EFFECTIVELY ACCORDING TO CHICAGO STYLE

EFFECTIVE PARAPHRASING, AS GUIDED BY THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE, GOES BEYOND SIMPLY CHANGING A FEW WORDS. IT REQUIRES A DEEP UNDERSTANDING OF THE ORIGINAL TEXT AND THE ABILITY TO RE-EXPRESS ITS MEANING ACCURATELY IN YOUR OWN VOICE. WHEN YOU PARAPHRASE, YOU SHOULD AIM TO CAPTURE THE CORE IDEAS, ARGUMENTS, AND SUPPORTING DETAILS WITHOUT ALTERING THEIR INTENT OR SIGNIFICANCE. THIS OFTEN INVOLVES RESTRUCTURING SENTENCES, USING SYNONYMS, AND EXPLAINING CONCEPTS USING YOUR OWN VOCABULARY.

TO PARAPHRASE EFFECTIVELY:

- READ THE ORIGINAL PASSAGE CAREFULLY UNTIL YOU FULLY UNDERSTAND ITS MEANING.
- SET THE ORIGINAL TEXT ASIDE.
- WRITE DOWN THE MAIN POINTS IN YOUR OWN WORDS, AS IF YOU WERE EXPLAINING THEM TO SOMEONE ELSE.
- COMPARE YOUR PARAPHRASE WITH THE ORIGINAL TO ENSURE ACCURACY AND COMPLETENESS.
- NOTE ANY SUBTLE NUANCES OR CRUCIAL DETAILS THAT MAY HAVE BEEN MISSED.
- INTEGRATE THE PARAPHRASE INTO YOUR TEXT, FOLLOWED BY A PROPER CITATION.

IT IS CRUCIAL TO AVOID "PATCHWRITING," WHICH INVOLVES RETAINING MUCH OF THE ORIGINAL SENTENCE STRUCTURE AND VOCABULARY WHILE MAKING ONLY MINOR CHANGES. THIS IS STILL CONSIDERED PLAGIARISM. CHICAGO STYLE EMPHASIZES A COMPLETE RE-IMAGINING OF THE SOURCE MATERIAL IN YOUR OWN WORDS.

SUMMARIZING IDEAS USING INDIRECT REPORTING

SUMMARIZING IS A FORM OF INDIRECT REPORTING WHERE YOU CONDENSE THE MAIN POINTS OF A LONGER TEXT INTO A SHORTER OVERVIEW. UNLIKE PARAPHRASING, WHICH OFTEN FOCUSES ON A SPECIFIC PASSAGE, SUMMARIZING TYPICALLY ADDRESSES A BROADER SCOPE, SUCH AS AN ENTIRE ARTICLE, CHAPTER, OR BOOK. THE GOAL IS TO PROVIDE A CONCISE ACCOUNT OF THE AUTHOR'S PRIMARY ARGUMENTS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS, OMITTING MINOR DETAILS AND EXAMPLES.

WHEN SUMMARIZING, IT IS ESSENTIAL TO MAINTAIN THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR'S EMPHASIS AND PERSPECTIVE. WHILE YOU ARE USING YOUR OWN WORDS, THE SUMMARY SHOULD REFLECT THE SOURCE'S KEY MESSAGES ACCURATELY. FOR INSTANCE, IF AN ARTICLE ARGUES FOR A PARTICULAR SOLUTION TO A PROBLEM, YOUR SUMMARY SHOULD REFLECT THAT ARGUMENT AS THE CENTRAL THEME. THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE REQUIRES THAT EVEN SUMMARIES BE ATTRIBUTED TO THEIR ORIGINAL SOURCE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE INTELLECTUAL CONTRIBUTION.

EFFECTIVE SUMMARIZATION INVOLVES IDENTIFYING THE THESIS STATEMENT, MAIN SUPPORTING POINTS, AND CONCLUDING REMARKS OF THE SOURCE MATERIAL. IT IS A SKILL THAT DEMONSTRATES YOUR ABILITY TO GRASP COMPLEX INFORMATION AND DISTILL IT INTO ITS ESSENTIAL COMPONENTS, WHICH IS A VALUABLE ASSET IN ACADEMIC AND PROFESSIONAL WRITING.

COMMON MISTAKES TO AVOID IN INDIRECT REPORTING

WRITERS OFTEN MAKE MISTAKES WHEN INDIRECTLY REPORTING INFORMATION, WHICH CAN LEAD TO ISSUES OF ACCURACY, CLARITY, AND ETHICAL ATTRIBUTION. ONE OF THE MOST COMMON ERRORS IS INSUFFICIENT PARAPHRASING, WHERE THE WRITER RETAINS TOO MUCH OF THE ORIGINAL SENTENCE STRUCTURE OR VOCABULARY, EFFECTIVELY CREATING A FORM OF PLAGIARISM. ANOTHER PITFALL IS MISREPRESENTING THE SOURCE'S MEANING OR INTENT, OFTEN DUE TO A SUPERFICIAL UNDERSTANDING OF THE ORIGINAL TEXT.

OTHER FREQUENT MISTAKES INCLUDE:

- FAILING TO CITE PARAPHRASED OR SUMMARIZED MATERIAL AT ALL.
- PLACING THE CITATION INCORRECTLY, MAKING IT UNCLEAR WHAT INFORMATION IS BEING ATTRIBUTED.
- OVER-RELIANCE ON SYNONYMS WITHOUT CHANGING SENTENCE STRUCTURE, WHICH STILL RISKS UNINTENTIONAL PLAGIARISM.
- INCLUDING PERSONAL INTERPRETATIONS OR OPINIONS WITHIN A PARAPHRASE AS IF THEY WERE THE SOURCE'S OWN.
- SUMMARIZING OR PARAPHRASING INFORMATION THAT IS CONSIDERED COMMON KNOWLEDGE, THUS UNNECESSARILY CLUTTERING YOUR WORK WITH CITATIONS.

ADHERING STRICTLY TO THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE'S GUIDELINES ON PARAPHRASING AND ATTRIBUTION WILL HELP WRITERS NAVIGATE THESE POTENTIAL PITFALLS AND PRODUCE WORK THAT IS BOTH ACCURATE AND ETHICALLY SOUND.

HANDLING TECHNICAL OR SPECIALIZED LANGUAGE IN INDIRECT REPORTING

WHEN INDIRECTLY REPORTING ON TEXTS THAT USE TECHNICAL OR SPECIALIZED LANGUAGE, WRITERS FACE A PARTICULAR CHALLENGE: HOW TO CONVEY THE MEANING ACCURATELY WITHOUT RESORTING TO JARGON THAT MIGHT ALIENATE READERS OR MISREPRESENT THE ORIGINAL CONTEXT. THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE SUGGESTS THAT IF THE SPECIALIZED TERM IS CRUCIAL AND CANNOT BE EASILY TRANSLATED WITHOUT LOSING PRECISION, IT IS SOMETIMES ACCEPTABLE TO RETAIN THE TERM, PERHAPS WITH A BRIEF EXPLANATION OR DEFINITION WITHIN YOUR OWN TEXT.

HOWEVER, THE PRIMARY GOAL OF INDIRECT REPORTING IS TO COMMUNICATE IN YOUR OWN WORDS. IF THE SPECIALIZED TERM CAN BE EXPLAINED CLEARLY AND ACCURATELY USING MORE ACCESSIBLE LANGUAGE, THAT IS GENERALLY THE PREFERRED APPROACH. THE KEY IS TO ENSURE THAT THE READER UNDERSTANDS THE CONCEPT BEING CONVEYED. IF YOU DO CHOOSE TO RETAIN A TECHNICAL TERM, CONSIDER WHETHER IT NEEDS TO BE ITALICIZED OR IF IT SHOULD BE INTRODUCED WITH A PHRASE LIKE "WHAT THE AUTHOR TERMS X" OR "THE CONCEPT OF Y, AS SMITH CALLS IT."

REGARDLESS OF THE APPROACH, A CITATION IS ALWAYS NECESSARY TO ATTRIBUTE THE IDEA, EVEN IF THE TERMINOLOGY HAS BEEN ALTERED. THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE ENCOURAGES CLARITY AND ACCESSIBILITY WHILE MAINTAINING FIDELITY TO THE SOURCE'S ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTION.

CITING INDIRECTLY REPORTED INFORMATION

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE OFFERS TWO PRIMARY SYSTEMS FOR CITING SOURCES, BOTH OF WHICH APPLY TO INDIRECTLY REPORTED INFORMATION: THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM AND THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM. IN THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, EACH INSTANCE OF PARAPHRASED OR SUMMARIZED MATERIAL IS FOLLOWED BY A FOOTNOTE OR ENDNOTE NUMBER. THIS NUMBER CORRESPONDS TO A DETAILED ENTRY IN THE BIBLIOGRAPHY AT THE END OF THE WORK. THE FIRST NOTE FOR A SOURCE IS TYPICALLY MORE COMPREHENSIVE THAN SUBSEQUENT NOTES.

IN THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, THE CITATION IS USUALLY EMBEDDED DIRECTLY IN THE TEXT, TYPICALLY IN PARENTHESES, AND INCLUDES THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME AND THE YEAR OF PUBLICATION, OFTEN FOLLOWED BY A PAGE NUMBER IF REFERRING TO A SPECIFIC IDEA. FOR EXAMPLE: (JOHNSON 2021, 78). THIS IS THEN MATCHED BY A FULL ENTRY IN THE REFERENCE LIST AT THE END OF THE DOCUMENT.

REGARDLESS OF THE SYSTEM USED, THE CITATION MUST BE PLACED IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE PARAPHRASED OR SUMMARIZED MATERIAL. THIS ENSURES THAT THE READER CAN READILY IDENTIFY WHICH INFORMATION IS BEING ATTRIBUTED TO THE SOURCE. PRECISION IN CITATION IS PARAMOUNT TO AVOIDING PLAGIARISM AND MAINTAINING ACADEMIC RIGOR, AS PRESCRIBED BY THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE.

FINAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR INDIRECT REPORTING

ULTIMATELY, SUCCESSFUL INDIRECT REPORTING HINGES ON A COMMITMENT TO INTEGRITY AND CLARITY. THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE PROVIDES A COMPREHENSIVE FRAMEWORK, BUT THE WRITER'S DILIGENCE IN UNDERSTANDING AND APPLYING THESE RULES IS PARAMOUNT. ALWAYS STRIVE TO ACCURATELY REPRESENT THE SOURCE'S IDEAS IN YOUR OWN WORDS, ENSURING THAT YOUR PARAPHRASES AND SUMMARIES ARE NOT MERELY COSMETIC ALTERATIONS OF THE ORIGINAL TEXT.

REMEMBER THAT THE PURPOSE OF INDIRECT REPORTING IS TO INTEGRATE EXTERNAL INFORMATION INTO YOUR OWN WORK IN A WAY THAT STRENGTHENS YOUR ARGUMENT AND DEMONSTRATES YOUR ENGAGEMENT WITH THE RELEVANT SCHOLARSHIP. BY METICULOUSLY ATTRIBUTING ALL BORROWED IDEAS AND PRESENTING THEM FAITHFULLY, YOU ENHANCE THE CREDIBILITY OF YOUR WRITING AND CONTRIBUTE RESPONSIBLY TO THE ONGOING DISCOURSE WITHIN YOUR FIELD. THE CHICAGO MANUAL INDIRECT REPORTING RULES ARE DESIGNED TO SUPPORT THIS PROCESS, FOSTERING A CULTURE OF ETHICAL SCHOLARSHIP.

Q: WHAT IS THE PRIMARY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN DIRECT AND INDIRECT REPORTING IN CHICAGO STYLE?

A: DIRECT REPORTING, OR QUOTATION, USES THE EXACT WORDS OF A SOURCE, ENCLOSED IN QUOTATION MARKS. INDIRECT REPORTING, OR PARAPHRASING/SUMMARIZING, RESTATES A SOURCE'S IDEAS OR INFORMATION IN YOUR OWN WORDS. CHICAGO STYLE REQUIRES PROPER ATTRIBUTION FOR BOTH, BUT THE METHOD OF INTEGRATION AND THE EMPHASIS ON ORIGINAL WORDING DIFFER SIGNIFICANTLY.

Q: WHEN SHOULD I USE INDIRECT REPORTING INSTEAD OF A DIRECT QUOTE ACCORDING TO THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE?

A: YOU SHOULD USE INDIRECT REPORTING WHEN THE SPECIFIC WORDING OF THE SOURCE IS NOT CRITICAL, WHEN YOU NEED TO CONDENSE INFORMATION, OR WHEN YOU WANT TO INTEGRATE THE IDEA SMOOTHLY INTO YOUR OWN PROSE. DIRECT QUOTES ARE BEST RESERVED FOR WHEN THE ORIGINAL PHRASING IS PARTICULARLY IMPACTFUL, PRECISE, OR ESSENTIAL TO YOUR ARGUMENT.

Q: HOW DOES THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE RECOMMEND ATTRIBUTING INDIRECTLY REPORTED INFORMATION?

A: THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE RECOMMENDS USING EITHER THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM (FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES) OR THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM FOR IN-TEXT CITATIONS. REGARDLESS OF THE SYSTEM, THE CITATION MUST CLEARLY INDICATE THE SOURCE OF THE PARAPHRASED OR SUMMARIZED MATERIAL AND CORRESPOND TO A FULL ENTRY IN THE BIBLIOGRAPHY OR REFERENCE LIST.

Q: WHAT IS CONSIDERED PLAGIARISM WHEN IT COMES TO INDIRECT REPORTING IN CHICAGO STYLE?

A: PLAGIARISM IN INDIRECT REPORTING OCCURS WHEN YOU PRESENT ANOTHER PERSON'S IDEAS, ARGUMENTS, OR INFORMATION AS YOUR OWN WITHOUT PROPER ATTRIBUTION, OR WHEN YOUR PARAPHRASE IS TOO CLOSE TO THE ORIGINAL WORDING AND STRUCTURE OF THE SOURCE TEXT. THIS INCLUDES INSUFFICIENT PARAPHRASING, OFTEN REFERRED TO AS PATCHWRITING.

Q: CAN I CHANGE THE MEANING OF A SOURCE WHEN I PARAPHRASE IT ACCORDING TO CHICAGO MANUAL INDIRECT REPORTING RULES?

A: NO, CHANGING THE MEANING OF A SOURCE WHEN PARAPHRASING IS A VIOLATION OF CHICAGO MANUAL INDIRECT REPORTING RULES AND CONSTITUTES MISREPRESENTATION. THE CORE INTENT AND MESSAGE OF THE ORIGINAL SOURCE MUST BE PRESERVED ACCURATELY IN YOUR OWN WORDS.

Q: DO I NEED TO CITE INDIRECTLY REPORTED INFORMATION THAT IS COMMON KNOWLEDGE?

A: NO, YOU GENERALLY DO NOT NEED TO CITE INFORMATION THAT IS CONSIDERED COMMON KNOWLEDGE. HOWEVER, IF YOU ARE UNSURE WHETHER SOMETHING IS COMMON KNOWLEDGE OR IF IT ORIGINATES FROM A SPECIFIC SOURCE THAT YOU ARE RELYING ON HEAVILY, IT IS ALWAYS SAFER TO CITE IT. THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE EMPHASIZES CITING ANYTHING THAT IS NOT WIDELY KNOWN OR THAT YOU LEARNED FROM A SPECIFIC SOURCE.

Q: WHAT IS THE ROLE OF PAGE NUMBERS WHEN CITING INDIRECTLY REPORTED

INFORMATION IN CHICAGO STYLE?

A: WHILE PAGE NUMBERS ARE MANDATORY FOR DIRECT QUOTATIONS, THEY ARE OFTEN OPTIONAL FOR PARAPHRASED OR SUMMARIZED MATERIAL IN CHICAGO STYLE, ESPECIALLY IN THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, UNLESS THE SPECIFIC IDEA OR INFORMATION IS TIED TO A PARTICULAR LOCATION WITHIN THE SOURCE. IN THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, PAGE NUMBERS ARE FREQUENTLY INCLUDED FOR PARAPHRASES IF THEY HELP PINPOINT THE SPECIFIC IDEA. ALWAYS CHECK YOUR PUBLICATION'S SPECIFIC GUIDELINES.

Chicago Manual Indirect Reporting Rules

Chicago Manual Indirect Reporting Rules

Related Articles

- [chicago manual of style 17th edition capitalization](#)
- [chicago manual endnote formatting ethics](#)
- [chicago manual for music academics](#)

[Back to Home](#)