

CAUSES OF THE AMERICAN COLONIES' DESIRE FOR FREEDOM

CAUSES OF THE AMERICAN COLONIES' DESIRE FOR FREEDOM WERE MULTIFACETED, STEMMING FROM A COMPLEX INTERPLAY OF ECONOMIC, POLITICAL, AND IDEOLOGICAL FACTORS THAT EVOLVED OVER MORE THAN A CENTURY. FROM THE EARLY DAYS OF SETTLEMENT, COLONISTS DEVELOPED DISTINCT IDENTITIES AND WAYS OF LIFE, OFTEN DIVERGING FROM THOSE IN GREAT BRITAIN. A GROWING SENSE OF SELF-GOVERNANCE, COUPLED WITH INCREASING BRITISH ATTEMPTS TO ASSERT CONTROL AND EXTRACT REVENUE, CREATED A FERTILE GROUND FOR DISSENT. THIS ARTICLE WILL DELVE INTO THE KEY GRIEVANCES, INCLUDING TAXATION WITHOUT REPRESENTATION, RESTRICTIONS ON TRADE, AND PHILOSOPHICAL SHIFTS, THAT ULTIMATELY PROPELLED THE THIRTEEN COLONIES TOWARDS REVOLUTION AND INDEPENDENCE. UNDERSTANDING THESE DEEP-SEATED ISSUES IS CRUCIAL TO GRASPING THE VERY FOUNDATION OF THE UNITED STATES.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ECONOMIC GRIEVANCES AND MERCANTILISM

POLITICAL DISAGREEMENTS AND LACK OF REPRESENTATION

THE INFLUENCE OF ENLIGHTENMENT IDEALS

SPECIFIC ACTS OF BRITISH OPPRESSION

COLONIAL SELF-GOVERNANCE AND DEVELOPING IDENTITY

ECONOMIC GRIEVANCES AND MERCANTILISM

THE ECONOMIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN GREAT BRITAIN AND ITS AMERICAN COLONIES WAS FUNDAMENTALLY SHAPED BY THE DOCTRINE OF MERCANTILISM. THIS ECONOMIC THEORY POSITED THAT A NATION'S WEALTH AND POWER WERE BEST SERVED BY INCREASING EXPORTS AND LIMITING IMPORTS, THUS MAINTAINING A FAVORABLE BALANCE OF TRADE. FOR THE COLONIES, THIS MEANT THEIR PRIMARY ECONOMIC ROLE WAS TO SERVE AS A SOURCE OF RAW MATERIALS FOR BRITISH INDUSTRIES AND AS A CAPTIVE MARKET FOR MANUFACTURED GOODS. WHILE THIS SYSTEM OFFERED SOME BENEFITS, SUCH AS PROTECTION FROM RIVAL EUROPEAN POWERS BY THE BRITISH NAVY, IT ALSO IMPOSED SIGNIFICANT LIMITATIONS ON COLONIAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ENTERPRISE.

THE IMPLEMENTATION OF MERCANTILIST POLICIES MANIFESTED THROUGH A SERIES OF NAVIGATION ACTS. THESE ACTS, PASSED BY THE BRITISH PARLIAMENT, WERE DESIGNED TO ENSURE THAT COLONIAL TRADE FLOWED EXCLUSIVELY THROUGH BRITAIN. FOR EXAMPLE, CERTAIN "ENUMERATED GOODS," SUCH AS TOBACCO, SUGAR, AND INDIGO, COULD ONLY BE SHIPPED TO ENGLAND OR OTHER ENGLISH COLONIES. THIS RESTRICTION PREVENTED COLONISTS FROM SELLING THEIR VALUABLE PRODUCTS TO POTENTIALLY MORE LUCRATIVE FOREIGN MARKETS, DIRECTLY IMPACTING THEIR POTENTIAL FOR WEALTH ACCUMULATION. FURTHERMORE, MANY MANUFACTURED GOODS THAT THE COLONISTS NEEDED HAD TO BE PURCHASED FROM BRITISH SUPPLIERS, OFTEN AT INFLATED PRICES, WHILE DOMESTIC MANUFACTURING IN THE COLONIES WAS ACTIVELY DISCOURAGED OR OUTRIGHT PROHIBITED TO PREVENT COMPETITION WITH BRITISH INDUSTRIES.

THE ECONOMIC FRICTION INTENSIFIED AS COLONIAL ECONOMIES GREW AND BECAME MORE SOPHISTICATED. COLONISTS BEGAN TO RESENT THE CONSTRAINTS PLACED UPON THEIR BURGEONING INDUSTRIES AND THEIR FREEDOM TO ENGAGE IN INTERNATIONAL TRADE. THE PERCEIVED UNFAIRNESS OF BEING ECONOMICALLY SUBSERVIENT TO THE MOTHER COUNTRY, ESPECIALLY WHEN THEY POSSESSED ABUNDANT NATURAL RESOURCES AND A GROWING POPULATION, FOSTERED A SENSE OF RESENTMENT. THIS ECONOMIC DISCONTENT WAS A SIGNIFICANT UNDERLYING FACTOR THAT FUELED THE BROADER DESIRE FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC AUTONOMY, AS COLONISTS INCREASINGLY SAW THEIR PROSPERITY BEING STIFLED BY BRITISH IMPERIAL POLICIES.

POLITICAL DISAGREEMENTS AND LACK OF REPRESENTATION

A CORE TENET OF THE COLONISTS' GRIEVANCE WAS THE PRINCIPLE OF "NO TAXATION WITHOUT REPRESENTATION." THIS CRY EMERGED AS A DIRECT RESPONSE TO THE BRITISH PARLIAMENT'S ASSERTION OF ITS RIGHT TO LEVY TAXES ON THE COLONIES, DESPITE THE COLONISTS HAVING NO ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES IN THAT LEGISLATIVE BODY. FOR DECADES, THE COLONIES HAD ENJOYED A CONSIDERABLE DEGREE OF SELF-GOVERNANCE THROUGH THEIR OWN COLONIAL ASSEMBLIES, WHICH LEVIED TAXES AND

MADE LOCAL LAWS. THIS TRADITION FOSTERED A STRONG BELIEF IN THEIR RIGHT TO CONSENT TO TAXATION THROUGH THEIR OWN CHOSEN REPRESENTATIVES.

WHEN THE BRITISH GOVERNMENT, BURDENED BY THE EXPENSES OF THE SEVEN YEARS' WAR (KNOWN AS THE FRENCH AND INDIAN WAR IN NORTH AMERICA), SOUGHT TO IMPOSE NEW TAXES LIKE THE STAMP ACT AND THE TOWNSHEND ACTS, THE COLONISTS VIEWED THESE AS DIRECT ASSAULTS ON THEIR FUNDAMENTAL LIBERTIES. THEY ARGUED THAT ANY TAX IMPOSED BY A GOVERNMENT IN WHICH THEY HAD NO VOICE WAS INHERENTLY TYRANNICAL AND ILLEGITIMATE. BRITISH OFFICIALS COUNTERED WITH THE CONCEPT OF "VIRTUAL REPRESENTATION," ARGUING THAT ALL MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT REPRESENTED THE INTERESTS OF THE ENTIRE EMPIRE, INCLUDING THE COLONIES, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THEY WERE DIRECTLY ELECTED BY THEM. THIS ARGUMENT, HOWEVER, WAS LARGELY REJECTED BY THE COLONISTS, WHO UNDERSTOOD REPRESENTATION TO BE A DIRECT, PERSONAL, AND GEOGRAPHICALLY SPECIFIC RIGHT.

THE INCREASING FREQUENCY OF PARLIAMENTARY ACTS AIMED AT RAISING REVENUE AND ASSERTING BRITISH AUTHORITY LED TO A GROWING CHASM IN POLITICAL UNDERSTANDING. COLONISTS BEGAN TO QUESTION THE LEGITIMACY OF PARLIAMENT'S AUTHORITY OVER THEIR INTERNAL AFFAIRS. THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NEW ADMINISTRATIVE BODIES, SUCH AS THE STAMP ACT CONGRESS, WHERE COLONIAL DELEGATES CONVENED TO PROTEST, DEMONSTRATED A GROWING UNITY AND A SHARED SENSE OF POLITICAL GRIEVANCE. THIS PERIOD SAW THE DEVELOPMENT OF A DISTINCT AMERICAN POLITICAL CONSCIOUSNESS, WHERE COLONISTS INCREASINGLY SAW THEMSELVES AS A SEPARATE POLITICAL ENTITY WITH RIGHTS DISTINCT FROM THOSE OF BRITISH SUBJECTS RESIDING IN GREAT BRITAIN.

THE INFLUENCE OF ENLIGHTENMENT IDEALS

THE INTELLECTUAL CURRENTS OF THE EUROPEAN ENLIGHTENMENT PLAYED A PROFOUND ROLE IN SHAPING THE COLONISTS' INTELLECTUAL AND PHILOSOPHICAL FRAMEWORK FOR CHALLENGING BRITISH AUTHORITY. THINKERS LIKE JOHN LOCKE, WITH HIS THEORIES OF NATURAL RIGHTS, THE SOCIAL CONTRACT, AND THE RIGHT TO REVOLUTION, PROVIDED THE COLONISTS WITH A POWERFUL INTELLECTUAL JUSTIFICATION FOR THEIR BURGEONING DISSENT. LOCKE ARGUED THAT GOVERNMENTS DERIVE THEIR JUST POWERS FROM THE CONSENT OF THE GOVERNED AND THAT INDIVIDUALS POSSESS INHERENT RIGHTS TO LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY, WHICH GOVERNMENTS ARE OBLIGATED TO PROTECT.

THESE ENLIGHTENMENT IDEALS RESONATED DEEPLY WITH COLONISTS WHO FELT THEIR RIGHTS WERE BEING VIOLATED BY THE BRITISH CROWN AND PARLIAMENT. THE CONCEPT OF THE SOCIAL CONTRACT SUGGESTED THAT IF A GOVERNMENT FAILED TO UPHOLD ITS END OF THE BARGAIN – THAT IS, TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF ITS CITIZENS – THE PEOPLE HAD THE RIGHT TO ALTER OR ABOLISH IT. THIS PHILOSOPHICAL UNDERPINNING PROVIDED A MORAL AND INTELLECTUAL BASIS FOR RESISTANCE, MOVING BEYOND MERE ECONOMIC OR POLITICAL COMPLAINTS TO A MORE FUNDAMENTAL QUESTIONING OF LEGITIMATE GOVERNMENTAL AUTHORITY.

PAMPHLETS AND ESSAYS BY COLONIAL INTELLECTUALS, SUCH AS THOMAS PAINE'S "COMMON SENSE," EFFECTIVELY TRANSLATED THESE ABSTRACT ENLIGHTENMENT PRINCIPLES INTO ACCESSIBLE ARGUMENTS FOR INDEPENDENCE. PAINE ELOQUENTLY ARTICULATED THE INHERENT RIGHTS OF MAN AND THE ABSURDITY OF AN ISLAND RULING A CONTINENT. THE WIDESPREAD CIRCULATION OF THESE IDEAS THROUGH NEWSPAPERS, TOWN MEETINGS, AND SERMONS FOSTERED A SHARED UNDERSTANDING AND COMMITMENT TO LIBERTY AND SELF-DETERMINATION AMONG A BROAD SPECTRUM OF COLONIAL SOCIETY. THIS INTELLECTUAL AWAKENING WAS A CRITICAL CATALYST, TRANSFORMING ABSTRACT GRIEVANCES INTO A COHERENT AND COMPELLING VISION FOR A NEW NATION.

SPECIFIC ACTS OF BRITISH OPPRESSION

BEYOND GENERAL POLICIES, A SERIES OF SPECIFIC LEGISLATIVE ACTS AND EVENTS SOLIDIFIED COLONIAL GRIEVANCES AND ACCELERATED THE MOVEMENT TOWARDS INDEPENDENCE. THESE ACTS, OFTEN PERCEIVED AS PUNITIVE AND DESIGNED TO SUPPRESS COLONIAL LIBERTIES, SERVED AS CONCRETE EXAMPLES OF WHAT THE COLONISTS INCREASINGLY VIEWED AS BRITISH TYRANNY. THE STAMP ACT OF 1765, WHICH IMPOSED A TAX ON ALL PAPER DOCUMENTS, WAS MET WITH WIDESPREAD PROTEST AND BOYCOTTS, LEADING TO ITS REPEAL. HOWEVER, PARLIAMENT'S SUBSEQUENT PASSAGE OF THE DECLARATORY ACT, ASSERTING

ITS FULL POWER AND AUTHORITY TO MAKE LAWS BINDING THE COLONIES "IN ALL CASES WHATSOEVER," DEMONSTRATED THAT THE UNDERLYING ISSUE OF PARLIAMENTARY SUPREMACY REMAINED UNRESOLVED.

THE TOWNSHEND ACTS OF 1767, WHICH LEVIED DUTIES ON GOODS LIKE GLASS, LEAD, PAINT, PAPER, AND TEA, REIGNITED COLONIAL ANGER AND LED TO FURTHER BOYCOTTS AND PROTESTS. THE DEPLOYMENT OF BRITISH TROOPS TO ENFORCE THESE ACTS, AND THE SUBSEQUENT BOSTON MASSACRE IN 1770, WHERE BRITISH SOLDIERS FIRED ON A CROWD OF COLONISTS, FURTHER INFLAMED TENSIONS AND SERVED AS A POTENT SYMBOL OF BRITISH AGGRESSION. THE TEA ACT OF 1773, INTENDED TO HELP THE STRUGGLING BRITISH EAST INDIA COMPANY, WAS PERCEIVED BY COLONISTS AS A MOVE TO TRICK THEM INTO ACCEPTING PARLIAMENTARY TAXATION BY OFFERING CHEAPER TEA, WHILE STILL RETAINING THE PRINCIPLE OF TAXATION. THIS LED TO THE ICONIC BOSTON TEA PARTY, WHERE COLONISTS DUMPED TEA INTO BOSTON HARBOR.

BRITAIN'S RESPONSE TO THE BOSTON TEA PARTY, THE COERCIVE ACTS (KNOWN AS THE INTOLERABLE ACTS IN THE COLONIES), WERE DESIGNED TO PUNISH MASSACHUSETTS AND ASSERT BRITISH AUTHORITY. THESE ACTS CLOSED THE PORT OF BOSTON, ALTERED THE MASSACHUSETTS CHARTER TO RESTRICT TOWN MEETINGS, AND ALLOWED BRITISH OFFICIALS TO BE TRIED IN ENGLAND RATHER THAN IN THE COLONIES. THESE MEASURES WERE VIEWED AS A DIRECT ATTACK ON COLONIAL SELF-GOVERNANCE AND LIBERTY, UNITING THE COLONIES IN OPPOSITION. THE QUEBEC ACT, PASSED AROUND THE SAME TIME, WHICH EXPANDED THE TERRITORY OF QUEBEC AND GRANTED RELIGIOUS FREEDOM TO FRENCH CATHOLICS, ALSO CAUSED UNEASE AMONG THE LARGELY PROTESTANT COLONIES. THESE SPECIFIC, OFTEN ESCALATING, ACTS OF PERCEIVED OPPRESSION SERVED AS UNDENIABLE PROOF TO MANY COLONISTS THAT THEIR RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS WERE UNDER SERIOUS THREAT FROM THE BRITISH CROWN.

COLONIAL SELF-GOVERNANCE AND DEVELOPING IDENTITY

OVER THE DECADES, THE AMERICAN COLONIES DEVELOPED ROBUST SYSTEMS OF SELF-GOVERNANCE THAT FOSTERED A SENSE OF AUTONOMY AND A UNIQUE COLONIAL IDENTITY. EARLY COLONIAL CHARTERS OFTEN GRANTED SIGNIFICANT POWERS TO LOCAL ASSEMBLIES, WHICH BECAME THE PRIMARY VEHICLES FOR COLONIAL POLITICAL LIFE. THESE ASSEMBLIES LEVIED TAXES, ENACTED LAWS, AND MANAGED LOCAL AFFAIRS, CREATING A TRADITION OF SELF-RELIANCE AND DEMOCRATIC PRACTICE. THIS PERIOD OF RELATIVE SALUTARY NEGLECT, WHERE BRITISH ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS WAS OFTEN LAX, ALLOWED THESE INSTITUTIONS TO FLOURISH AND FOR COLONISTS TO BECOME ACCUSTOMED TO MAKING THEIR OWN DECISIONS.

AS BRITISH ATTEMPTS TO ASSERT GREATER CONTROL INCREASED, COLONISTS INCREASINGLY FELT THAT THEIR ESTABLISHED RIGHTS AND TRADITIONS OF SELF-GOVERNANCE WERE BEING UNDERMINED. THE COLONISTS HAD, IN MANY WAYS, GROWN ACCUSTOMED TO MANAGING THEIR OWN AFFAIRS AND VIEWED BRITISH INTERFERENCE AS AN UNWELCOME IMPOSITION. THIS EXPERIENCE OF GOVERNING THEMSELVES LED TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF A DISTINCT COLONIAL IDENTITY, SEPARATE FROM THAT OF BRITISH SUBJECTS IN ENGLAND. THEY DEVELOPED THEIR OWN ECONOMIES, SOCIAL STRUCTURES, AND POLITICAL IDEOLOGIES, WHICH WERE SHAPED BY THEIR UNIQUE EXPERIENCES IN THE NEW WORLD.

THIS GROWING SENSE OF A DISTINCT AMERICAN IDENTITY, COUPLED WITH THE PRACTICE OF SELF-GOVERNANCE, MADE THE COLONISTS LESS WILLING TO SUBMIT TO WHAT THEY PERCEIVED AS ARBITRARY RULE FROM ACROSS THE ATLANTIC. THEY SAW THEMSELVES NOT AS TRANSPLANTED BRITONS, BUT AS AMERICANS, WITH THEIR OWN INTERESTS AND ASPIRATIONS. THE DESIRE FOR FREEDOM WAS NOT MERELY A REACTION TO SPECIFIC GRIEVANCES, BUT ALSO A PROACTIVE ASSERTION OF THEIR RIGHT TO CONTINUE DEVELOPING THEIR OWN SOCIETY ACCORDING TO THEIR OWN VALUES AND PRINCIPLES, FREE FROM EXTERNAL DICTATION.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

Q: WHAT WAS THE PRIMARY ECONOMIC DRIVER BEHIND THE AMERICAN COLONIES' DESIRE FOR FREEDOM?

A: THE PRIMARY ECONOMIC DRIVER WAS THE RESTRICTIVE MERCANTILIST SYSTEM IMPOSED BY GREAT BRITAIN, WHICH LIMITED COLONIAL TRADE, DISCOURAGED LOCAL MANUFACTURING, AND FORCED COLONISTS TO BUY GOODS FROM BRITISH SUPPLIERS, THEREBY STIFLING THEIR ECONOMIC GROWTH AND POTENTIAL.

Q: HOW DID THE CONCEPT OF "TAXATION WITHOUT REPRESENTATION" CONTRIBUTE TO THE DESIRE FOR FREEDOM?

A: THE PRINCIPLE OF "NO TAXATION WITHOUT REPRESENTATION" WAS CENTRAL BECAUSE COLONISTS BELIEVED THAT ANY TAX IMPOSED BY THE BRITISH PARLIAMENT, WHERE THEY HAD NO ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES, WAS A VIOLATION OF THEIR FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS AND A FORM OF TYRANNY, UNDERMINING THEIR RIGHT TO CONSENT TO GOVERNANCE.

Q: WHICH ENLIGHTENMENT THINKERS MOST INFLUENCED THE COLONISTS' IDEAS ABOUT FREEDOM?

A: JOHN LOCKE WAS A PROFOUNDLY INFLUENTIAL THINKER, WHOSE THEORIES ON NATURAL RIGHTS (LIFE, LIBERTY, AND PROPERTY) AND THE SOCIAL CONTRACT PROVIDED A PHILOSOPHICAL BASIS FOR CHALLENGING UNJUST GOVERNMENTS AND ASSERTING THE RIGHT TO REVOLUTION IF THOSE GOVERNMENTS FAILED TO PROTECT THEIR CITIZENS.

Q: CAN YOU LIST SOME SPECIFIC BRITISH ACTS THAT FUELED COLONIAL RESENTMENT?

A: SEVERAL ACTS, INCLUDING THE STAMP ACT, THE TOWNSHEND ACTS, THE TEA ACT, AND THE COERCIVE ACTS (INTOLERABLE ACTS), WERE SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTORS TO COLONIAL RESENTMENT DUE TO THEIR PERCEIVED INFRINGEMENTS ON LIBERTIES, IMPOSITION OF TAXES, AND PUNITIVE MEASURES.

Q: HOW DID THE COLONISTS' TRADITION OF SELF-GOVERNANCE CONTRIBUTE TO THEIR PUSH FOR INDEPENDENCE?

A: FOR DECADES, COLONISTS HAD ENJOYED A DEGREE OF SELF-GOVERNANCE THROUGH THEIR COLONIAL ASSEMBLIES. THIS ESTABLISHED PRACTICE OF MAKING THEIR OWN LAWS AND MANAGING THEIR OWN AFFAIRS MADE THEM LESS WILLING TO ACCEPT INCREASED BRITISH CONTROL AND INTERFERENCE, FOSTERING A STRONG SENSE OF AUTONOMY.

Q: WHAT ROLE DID THE DEVELOPMENT OF A DISTINCT COLONIAL IDENTITY PLAY IN THE DESIRE FOR FREEDOM?

A: AS COLONISTS DEVELOPED UNIQUE ECONOMIES, SOCIAL STRUCTURES, AND POLITICAL EXPERIENCES IN THE NEW WORLD, THEY BEGAN TO SEE THEMSELVES AS DISTINCT FROM BRITONS IN ENGLAND. THIS BURGEONING AMERICAN IDENTITY MADE THEM MORE INCLINED TO PURSUE THEIR OWN PATH AND GOVERN THEMSELVES ACCORDING TO THEIR OWN VALUES, RATHER THAN REMAINING SUBJECTS OF DISTANT RULE.

Q: WAS THE DESIRE FOR FREEDOM SOLELY AN ECONOMIC ISSUE FOR THE AMERICAN COLONIES?

A: NO, THE DESIRE FOR FREEDOM WAS NOT SOLELY AN ECONOMIC ISSUE. IT WAS A COMPLEX INTERPLAY OF ECONOMIC GRIEVANCES, POLITICAL DISAGREEMENTS OVER REPRESENTATION AND GOVERNANCE, THE INFLUENCE OF ENLIGHTENMENT IDEALS PROMOTING LIBERTY AND NATURAL RIGHTS, AND A GROWING SENSE OF A DISTINCT COLONIAL IDENTITY.

Q: HOW DID THE FRENCH AND INDIAN WAR INADVERTENTLY CONTRIBUTE TO THE DESIRE FOR FREEDOM?

A: THE FRENCH AND INDIAN WAR LED TO SIGNIFICANT BRITISH DEBT, PROMPTING PARLIAMENT TO SEEK REVENUE FROM THE COLONIES THROUGH NEW TAXES. THIS INCREASED TAXATION AND CLOSER BRITISH OVERSIGHT, IN TURN, AGGRAVATED EXISTING COLONIAL GRIEVANCES AND HIGHLIGHTED THE TENSION BETWEEN COLONIAL SELF-GOVERNANCE AND IMPERIAL CONTROL.

Causes Of The American Colonies Desire For Freedom

Causes Of The American Colonies Desire For Freedom

Related Articles

- [cease and desist letters frontier](#)
- [causes of crime sociology](#)
- [cause and effect in marketing](#)

[Back to Home](#)